JCI The Journal of Clinical Investigation

Metreleptin improves insulin sensitivity independent of food intake in humans with lipodystrophy

Rebecca J. Brown, ..., Sungyoung Auh, Phillip Gorden

J Clin Invest. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI95476.

Clinical Research and Public Health In-Press Preview Endocrinology Metabolism

BACKGROUND. Recombinant leptin (metreleptin) ameliorates hyperphagia and metabolic abnormalities in leptindeficient humans with lipodystrophy. We aimed to determine whether metreleptin improves glucose and lipid metabolism in humans when food intake is held constant.

METHODS. Patients with lipodystrophy were hospitalized for 19 days with food intake held constant by controlled diet in an inpatient metabolic ward. In a non-randomized cross-over design, previously metreleptin-treated patients (n = 8) were continued on-metreleptin for five days, and off-metreleptin for the next 14 days (withdrawal cohort). This order was reversed in metreleptin-naïve patients (n = 14), who were restudied after six months of metreleptin treatment on an ad libitum diet (initiation cohort). Outcomes included insulin sensitivity by hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp, fasting glucose and triglycerides, lipolysis measured using isotopic tracers, and liver fat by magnetic resonance spectroscopy.

RESULTS. With food intake constant, peripheral insulin sensitivity decreased by 41% after stopping metreleptin for 14 days (withdrawal cohort) and increased by 32% after starting metreleptin for 14 days (initiation cohort). In the initiation cohort only, metreleptin decreased fasting glucose by 11%, triglycerides by 41%, and increased hepatic insulin sensitivity. Liver fat decreased from 21.8% to 18.7%. In the initiation cohort, lipolysis did not change independent of food intake, but decreased after six months on metreleptin on an ad libitum diet by 30% (palmitate turnover) to 35% (glycerol turnover).

CONCLUSION. Using [...]



Find the latest version:

https://jci.me/95476/pdf

1	Metreleptin improves insulin sensitivity independent of food intake in humans						
2	with lipodystrophy						
3							
4	Rebecca J. Brown ¹ , Areli Valencia ¹ , Megan Startzell ¹ , Elaine Cochran ¹ , Peter J. Walter ² , H.						
5	Martin Garraffo ² , Hongyi Cai ² , Ahmed M. Gharib ³ , Ronald Ouwerkerk ³ , Amber B. Courville ⁴ ,						
6	Shanna Bernstein ⁴ , Robert J. Brychta ¹ , Kong Y. Chen ¹ , Mary Walter ⁵ , Sungyoung Auh ⁶ , Phillip						
7	Gorden ¹						
8	1. Diabetes, Endocrinology, and Obesity Branch (DEOB), National Institute of Diabetes						
9	and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK), National Institutes of Health (NIH),						
10	Bethesda, MD						
11	2. Clinical Mass Spectrometry Core, NIDDK, NIH, Bethesda, MD						
12	3. Biomedical and Metabolic Imaging Branch, NIDDK, NIH, Bethesda, MD						
13	4. Nutrition Department, Clinical Center, NIH, Bethesda, MD						
14	5. Clinical Core Laboratory, NIDDK, NIH, Bethesda, MD						
15	6. Office of the Clinical Director, NIDDK, NIH, Bethesda, MD						
16							
17	Corresponding Author:						
18	Rebecca J. Brown						
19	National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases						
20	National Institutes of Health						
21	Building 10-CRC, Room 6-5942						
22	10 Center Drive						
23	Bethesda, MD 20892						

- 24 Voicemail: 301-594-0609
- 25
- 26 **Conflict of Interest:** The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.
- 27 Role of the funding source: This study was supported by the intramural research program of the
- NIDDK. Metreleptin for this study was donated by Aegerion Pharmaceuticals, which had no role
- in the design, conduct, analysis, interpretation, or decision to publish the study.

30 Abstract

31

Background. Recombinant leptin (metreleptin) ameliorates hyperphagia and metabolic
 abnormalities in leptin-deficient humans with lipodystrophy. We aimed to determine whether
 metreleptin improves glucose and lipid metabolism in humans when food intake is held constant.

35

Methods. Patients with lipodystrophy were hospitalized for 19 days with food intake held constant 36 37 by controlled diet in an inpatient metabolic ward. In a non-randomized cross-over design, previously metreleptin-treated patients (N=8) were continued on-metreleptin for five days, and 38 off-metreleptin for the next 14 days (withdrawal cohort). This order was reversed in metreleptin-39 naïve patients (N=14), who were restudied after six months of metreleptin treatment on an ad40 *libitum* diet (initiation cohort). Outcomes included insulin sensitivity by hyperinsulinemic-41 euglycemic clamp, fasting glucose and triglycerides, lipolysis measured using isotopic tracers, and 42 43 liver fat by magnetic resonance spectroscopy.

44

Results. With food intake constant, peripheral insulin sensitivity decreased by 41% after stopping metreleptin for 14 days (withdrawal cohort) and increased by 32% after starting metreleptin for 14 days (initiation cohort). In the initiation cohort only, metreleptin decreased fasting glucose by 11%, triglycerides by 41%, and increased hepatic insulin sensitivity. Liver fat decreased from 21.8% to 18.7%. In the initiation cohort, lipolysis did not change independent of food intake, but decreased after six months on metreleptin on an *ad libitum* diet by 30% (palmitate turnover) to 35% (glycerol turnover).

53	Conclusion. Using lipodystrophy as a human model of leptin deficiency and replacement, we
54	showed that metreleptin improves insulin sensitivity, and decreases hepatic and circulating
55	triglycerides, independent of its effects on food intake.
56	
57	Trial registration. ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT01778556
58	
59	Funding. This research was supported by the intramural research program of the National Institute
60	of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases.

61 Introduction

62

63 Leptin is an adjocyte-derived hormone that signals overall body energy sufficiency (1) and acute energy balance (2). Leptin deficient states, such as starvation or mutations in the leptin gene, lead 64 to hyperphagia. An additional leptin deficient state is lipodystrophy, in which a deficiency of 65 66 adipose tissue results in hypoleptinemia, which induces hyperphagia, with energy intake $\sim 40\%$ higher than predicted (3). The excess caloric intake is stored as ectopic fat in liver and muscle, 67 causing severe insulin resistance and diabetes, along with hypertriglyceridemia, low levels of high-68 density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) (4, 5). 69 Therefore, patients with lipodystrophy can serve both as a model of leptin-deficiency and 70 replacement, as well as metabolic disease that is analogous to, albeit more severe than, that seen 71 in patients with obesity-associated metabolic syndrome. 72

73

74 Treatment with metreleptin, a recombinant analog of human leptin, in humans with lipodystrophy ameliorates hyperphagia, ectopic lipid storage, hypertriglyceridemia, insulin resistance, and 75 reproductive dysfunction (4, 6-9). The reduction in food intake is likely responsible for part of the 76 77 observed improvements in glucose and lipid metabolism. Rodent studies in leptin-treated ob/ob mice and n-SREBP-1c lipodystrophic mice showed an additional reduction in glucose and insulin 78 79 levels compared to pair-fed controls, suggesting that leptin has a hypoglycemic effect independent of its effects on food intake (10, 11). Whether leptin has these energy intake independent effects 80 81 in humans has not previously been determined.

Using lipodystrophy as a human model of leptin deficiency and replacement, we conducted a non-83 randomized crossover study to determine the energy intake independent effects of leptin on 84 glucose and lipid metabolism. We hypothesized that, during constant food intake, patients with 85 lipodystrophy would have greater insulin sensitivity and reduced lipolysis during the period of 86 leptin replacement versus the leptin-deficient state. Patients with no prior exposure to metreleptin 87 88 constituted the initiation cohort, and patients already undergoing metreleptin treatment prior to our study constituted the withdrawal cohort. All patients were hospitalized for 19 days with energy 89 and macronutrient intake held constant by controlled diet in an inpatient metabolic ward during 90 91 metreleptin treated and untreated periods. The withdrawal cohort was on-metreleptin for five days, and off-metreleptin for the next 14 days. This order was reversed in the initiation cohort, who were 92 93 restudied after six months of metreleptin on an *ad libitum* diet (Figure 1).

94 **Results**

Study participants. Twenty-five patients with lipodystrophy were enrolled. The flow of participants in this non-randomized crossover study is shown in Figure 2. Of the fifteen initiation subjects, one did not complete study procedures for the short-term, controlled food-intake portion of the study but completed six-month follow-up, and one completed the short-term study but was excluded from analysis of the six-month data due to non-compliance with the study drug. In the withdrawal cohort, eight subjects completed the study and were included in the analysis.

101

Baseline characteristics of subjects are shown in Table 1. Of the 15 subjects in the initiation group, 102 103 three had generalized lipodystrophy and 12 had partial lipodystrophy. Nine were non-Hispanic White, four were Hispanic White, one was Asian, and one was Other race. In the withdrawal 104 cohort, all eight patients had generalized lipodystrophy. Four were non-Hispanic White, two were 105 106 African-American, and two were Hispanic White. The majority were female (~70%) in both groups. At baseline, the initiation cohort had an endogenous leptin level of $9.5 \pm 10.2 \text{ ng/dL}$. 107 Seventy-one percent were taking insulin with a mean insulin dose among insulin users of 225 \pm 108 136 units per day. In contrast, the withdrawal cohort had a lower endogenous leptin level prior to 109 metreleptin therapy of 1.2 ± 0.5 ng/dL, reflecting greater leptin insufficiency in patients with 110 generalized lipodystrophy, and had an average of 7.7 ± 4.7 (range 0.9-14.5) years of prior 111 metreleptin treatment. None were taking insulin. The expected relationship between fat mass and 112 the log of endogenous leptin was observed in the combined cohorts ($R^2 = 0.69$, p<0.0001), with 113 114 no difference by sex, cohort (initiation versus withdrawal), or lipodystrophy type (generalized versus partial). 115

117 Short-term effects of metreleptin independent of food intake

Food intake, diet, and body composition. During the 19-day inpatient stay, patients were required 118 119 to consume all study-provided food and forbidden to consume any outside food. Any uneaten portions of the study diet were weighed, and uneaten nutrients were replaced at the next meal when 120 possible. Energy intake and macronutrient content were successfully held constant in the off-121 122 versus on-metreleptin periods in both groups (Table 2). Furthermore, multivariate analyses showed that the effects of metreleptin on outcome measures of interest were not significantly influenced 123 124 by actual caloric intake during the off and on metreleptin periods in either cohort (Supplemental 125 Table 1).

126

In the initiation cohort, body weight and fat mass significantly decreased by 0.7 kg and 0.3 kg, respectively, after two weeks on metreleptin. There was no change in body weight or fat mass in the withdrawal cohort, and no change in lean mass or percent body fat in either group.

130

Peripheral insulin sensitivity was greater on metreleptin therapy independent of food intake in 131 both initiation and withdrawal cohorts. Short-term metreleptin therapy increased hepatic insulin 132 133 sensitivity independent of food intake in the initiation cohort only. In the initiation cohort, peripheral insulin sensitivity measured by hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp increased from 4.4 134 135 ± 2.3 mg/kg_{FFM}/min at the end of Period 1 pre-metreleptin, to 5.8 ± 2.2 mg/kg_{FFM}/min at the end 136 of Period 2 on-metreleptin (p=0.001) (Figure 3). Similarly, in the withdrawal cohort, peripheral insulin sensitivity decreased from $10.9 \pm 4.1 \text{ mg/kg}_{FFM}/\text{min}$ at the end of Period 1 on-metreleptin 137 138 to $6.4 \pm 1.8 \text{ mg/kg}_{FFM}/\text{min}$ (p=0.01) at the end of Period 2 after metreleptin withdrawal (Figure 3). 139 The magnitude of the increase in insulin sensitivity in the on-versus off-metreleptin condition was greater in the leptin withdrawal cohort. In the withdrawal cohort, there was a correlation between
the reduction in peripheral insulin sensitivity after metreleptin withdrawal, and increases in fasting
glucose (p=0.014) and c-peptide (p=0.006).

143

In the initiation cohort, hepatic insulin sensitivity measured as insulin-mediated suppression of hepatic glucose production (HGP) increased from $61 \pm 23\%$ at the end of Period 1 to $75 \pm 33\%$ (p=0.008) at the end of Period 2 (Figure 3). Suppression of HGP did not change in the withdrawal cohort (Figure 3).

148

Changes in hepatic triglyceride content significantly predicted changes in both peripheral and 149 hepatic insulin sensitivity with metreleptin in the initiation cohort, only (Supplemental Tables 2 150 and 3). Moreover, changes in peripheral and hepatic insulin sensitivity with metreleptin in the 151 initiation cohort were no longer statistically significant after adjustment for changes in hepatic 152 153 triglyceride content. By contrast, intramyocellular triglyceride content was not a significant predictor of either peripheral or hepatic insulin sensitivity in most models, and improvement in 154 peripheral and hepatic insulin sensitivity with metreleptin remained statistically significant after 155 156 adjustment for intramyocellular triglyceride content (Supplemental Tables 2 and 4). Changes in body composition did not predict changes in insulin sensitivity with metreleptin, and 157 158 improvements in insulin sensitivity remained statistically significant after adjustment for body 159 composition.

160

161 Short-term metreleptin therapy decreased fasting glucose and glucosuria independent of food 162 intake in the initiation cohort. In the initiation cohort, fasting glucose decreased from 152 ± 42

mg/dL at the end of Period 1 pre-metreleptin to 136 ± 34 mg/dL (p=0.003) at the end of Period 2 163 on-metreleptin (Figure 3). In addition, 24-hour urine glucose excretion decreased from 2.0 164 [0.2,10.3] g/24h at the end of Period 1 pre-metreleptin to 1.2 [0.2,7.2] g/24h (p=0.049) at the end 165 of Period 2 on-metreleptin (Table 3). HbA1c decreased from $8.7 \pm 2.0\%$ at the end of Period 1 pre-166 metreleptin to $8.0 \pm 1.3\%$ (p=0.002) at the end of Period 2 on-metreleptin. However, because the 167 168 initial HbA1c reflected glycemic control for the three months prior to the study, this change cannot 169 be considered as independent of food intake. Relative to hospital admission when patients were on 170 an *ad libitum* diet, mean insulin dose in these patients decreased by 95 ± 126 units per day at the 171 end of Period 2 on metreleptin (p=0.04); however, there was no significant change in insulin dose or insulin secretion (measured as fasting C-peptide) independent of food intake. Fasting glucose, 172 HbA1c, C-peptide, and urine glucose excretion did not change in the withdrawal cohort. 173

174

Short-term metreleptin therapy decreased triglycerides and total cholesterol independent of food 175 intake in the initiation cohort, but did not change HDL-C, free fatty acids, or LDL-C. In the 176 initiation cohort, triglycerides decreased from 556 [224,1144] (geometric mean [25th,75th 177 percentile]) mg/dL at the end of Period 1 pre-metreleptin to 335 [162,611] mg/dL at the end of 178 179 Period 2 on-metreleptin (p=0.01) (Figure 4). Total cholesterol also decreased from 241 ± 116 mg/dL at the end of Period 1 to 171 ± 48 mg/dL at the end of Period 2 (p=0.002) (Table 3). In the 180 181 withdrawal cohort, triglycerides and total cholesterol did not change. The magnitude of the 182 decrease in total cholesterol in the on- versus off-metreleptin condition was greater in the leptin initiation cohort. Free fatty acids, HDL-C, and LDL-C did not change in either the initiation or 183 184 withdrawal cohort (Table 3).

Short-term metreleptin therapy did not change lipolysis independent of food intake. Lipolysis was quantified by infusing D_5 -glycerol and ${}^{13}C_{16}$ -palmitate to measure turnover through isotope dilution studies. In the initiation and withdrawal cohorts, short-term metreleptin with food intake held constant did not change the endogenous rate of appearance (Ra) of glycerol or palmitate (Figure 4).

191

Short-term metreleptin therapy decreased hepatic triglyceride content independent of food intake in the initiation cohort. In the initiation cohort, there was a reduction in liver fat from $21.8 \pm 10.9\%$ at the end of Period 1 pre-metreleptin to $18.7 \pm 12.5\%$ (p=0.03) at the end of Period 2 onmetreleptin (Figure 4). Liver fat did not change in the withdrawal cohort independent of food intake. Short-term metreleptin did not change either extramyocellular (EMCL) or intramyocellular lipid (IMCL) content independent of food intake in either the initiation or withdrawal cohorts (Table 3).

199

Short-term metreleptin therapy decreased total and resting energy expenditure independent of 200 food intake in the initiation cohort. In the initiation cohort, total energy expenditure decreased 201 202 from 2463 ± 362 kcal/day at the end of Period 1 pre-metreleptin to 2319 ± 400 kcal/day at the end of Period 2 on-metreleptin (p=0.001). Resting energy expenditure also decreased in this cohort 203 204 from 1855 ± 289 kcal/day to 1736 ± 308 kcal/day (p=0.01); this change was no longer statistically 205 significant after adjusting for changes in lean and fat mass. Non-resting energy expenditure (total minus resting) did not change in the initiation cohort. Total, resting, and non-resting energy 206 207 expenditure did not change in the withdrawal cohort independent of food intake.

209 Long-term effects of metreleptin while on an ad libitum diet

To study the long-term effects of metreleptin, the initiation cohort returned for a follow-up visit after 6.8 ± 1.0 months of metreleptin therapy. At this visit and during the six months prior, patients were on an *ad libitum* diet, thus any observed effects of metreleptin were not independent of food intake.

214

Long-term metreleptin therapy decreased body weight, fat mass, lean mass, and percent body fat in the initiation cohort. At the six-month follow-up visit for the initiation cohort while on an *ad libitum* diet, body weight decreased from 73.8 ± 16.0 kg pre-metreleptin to 70.8 ± 16.8 kg (p=0.005), fat mass decreased from 18.3 ± 10.6 kg to 15.5 ± 10.0 kg (p=0.028), lean mass decreased from 53.1 ± 9.2 kg to 51.5 ± 9.4 kg (p=0.002), and percent body fat decreased from 24.3 $\pm 10.8\%$ to $21.3 \pm 10.6\%$ (p=0.02).

221

222 Long-term metreleptin therapy maintained improvements in peripheral and hepatic insulin sensitivity. At the six-month follow-up visit for the initiation cohort while on an ad libitum diet, 223 peripheral insulin sensitivity improvement was maintained at $8.0 \pm 4.0 \text{ mg/kg}_{FFM}/\text{min}$ (p=0.01 vs 224 225 Period 1). There was no further increase in peripheral insulin sensitivity at six-month follow-up relative to Period 2 in the unadjusted analysis (p=0.09, Figure 3), although this difference was 226 227 significant after adjustment for covariates (p=0.048, Supplemental Table 1). Similarly, hepatic 228 insulin sensitivity improvement was maintained at the six-month follow up visit at $86 \pm 18\%$ suppression of HGP (p=0.02 vs Period 1), but there was no further increase in hepatic insulin 229 230 sensitivity relative to Period 2 (Figure 3).

Changes in hepatic triglyceride content significantly predicted long-term changes in peripheral 232 insulin sensitivity with metreleptin (Period 1 versus six-month follow-up, and Period 2 versus 6-233 234 month follow-up, Supplemental Tables 2 and 3). Hepatic triglyceride content also significantly predicted change in hepatic insulin sensitivity from Period 2 to six-month follow-up 235 (Supplemental Tables 2 and 3). Changes in both peripheral and hepatic insulin sensitivity 236 237 (Period 1 versus 6-month follow-up) were no longer statistically significant after adjustment for changes hepatic triglyceride content (Supplemental Table 3). Intramyocellular triglyceride 238 239 content was not a significant predictor of long-term change in peripheral or hepatic insulin 240 sensitivity in most models (Supplemental Tables 2 and 4).

241

Long-term metreleptin therapy maintained improvements in fasting glucose and HbA1c. At the 242 six-month follow-up for the initiation cohort while on an *ad libitum* diet, the reduction in fasting 243 glucose was maintained at $126 \pm 26 \text{ mg/dL}$ (p=0.02 vs Period 1), and HbA1c reduction was also 244 245 maintained at $6.9 \pm 1.4\%$ (p=0.01 vs Period 1), but there were no further decreases relative to Period 2 (Figure 3). Reductions in glycemia in the initiation cohort were observed despite 246 decreases in insulin doses in nine of 10 insulin-treated subjects. Relative to hospital admission, 247 248 mean insulin dose in these patients decreased by 112 ± 109 units per day (a 50% reduction) at sixmonth follow-up (p=0.01). Two subjects discontinued insulin use by their six-month follow-up. 249 250 The mean number of diabetes medications (insulin + other agents) did not change after six months. 251 There were no significant changes in C-peptide during the study.

252

Long-term metreleptin therapy maintained improvements in triglycerides and total cholesterol. At
the six-month follow-up for the initiation cohort while on an *ad libitum* diet, the reduction in

triglycerides was maintained at 304 [122,547] (p=0.24 vs Period 1, Figure 4), and reduction in total cholesterol was maintained at 129 ± 32 (p=0.02 vs Period 1) but there were no further decreases relative to Period 2. Free fatty acids, HDL-C, and LDL-C did not change in either the initiation or withdrawal cohort (Table 3). The mean number of lipid-lowering medications did not change during the study.

260

261 Long-term metreleptin therapy decreased glycerol and palmitate turnover in the initiation cohort. 262 At six-month follow-up for the initiation cohort while on an *ad libitum* diet, palmitate turnover 263 decreased by 30% from $3.2 \pm 1.3 \mu mol/kg_{LBM}/min$ prior to metreleptin in Period 1 to 2.2 ± 0.7 264 $\mu mol/kg_{LBM}/min$ (p=0.02), and glycerol turnover decreased by 35% from 4.5 \pm 2.3 265 $\mu mol/kg_{LBM}/min$ prior to metreleptin in Period 1 to $2.9 \pm 0.7 \mu mol/kg_{LBM}/min$ (p=0.02), indicating 266 a decrease in lipolysis (Figure 4).

267

268 Long-term metreleptin therapy maintained reduction in liver fat, and reduced ALT and AST. At six-month follow-up for the initiation cohort while on an ad libitum diet, reduction in liver fat was 269 maintained at $13.6 \pm 9.7\%$ (p=0.006 vs Period 1), but there was no further improvement relative 270 271 to Period 2 (Figure 4). ALT and AST were measured on study entry (prior to the controlled diet) 272 but not at the end of Period 1, thus any changes observed were not independent of food intake. 273 Mean ALT was elevated at study entry (pre-metreleptin) at 64 ± 54 U/L (normal ≤ 41 in males 274 over 18 years, \leq 33 in females over 18 years, \leq 30 in children), decreased non-significantly to 43 \pm 23 after 2 weeks, and decreased significantly to 26 \pm 13 after six months of metreleptin relative 275 276 to study entry (p=0.004). Mean AST was borderline elevated at study entry at 39 ± 25 U/L (normal 277 \leq 40 in males over 18 years, \leq 32 in females over 18 years, \leq 40 in children), decreased

significantly to 30 ± 19 after 2 weeks, and further decreased to 22 ± 7 after six months of metreleptin (p=0.03 relative to study entry; p=0.04 relative to 2 weeks).

280

Long-term metreleptin therapy did not change IMCL, and had variable effects on EMCL. IMCL
did not change in any muscle group after six months of metreleptin, but EMCL decreased in the
lateral vastus and tibialis anterior muscles and increased in the soleus muscle (Table 4).

284

Long-term metreleptin therapy maintained reduction in total and resting energy expenditure. At six-month follow-up for the initiation cohort while on an *ad libitum* diet, reductions in total and resting energy expenditure were maintained at 2296 \pm 372 kcal/day and 1731 \pm 236 kcal/day, respectively (p=0.02 vs Period 1 for both), but there was no further change relative to Period 2.

289

Adverse Events. The following not-serious adverse events occurred in one subject each in the initiation cohort during long-term metreleptin treatment, and were considered at least possibly related to research: decreased appetite, weight loss, hair loss, hypoglycemia (in a subject treated with insulin), injection site reaction, and menorrhagia. Serious adverse events considered not related to research were: abdominal pain of unknown etiology (n=1) and angioedema secondary to angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor use (n=1). Serious adverse events considered at least possibly related to research were: anemia secondary to menorrhagia (two events in one subject).

297 Discussion

298

In patients with lipodystrophy, metreleptin therapy ameliorates metabolic abnormalities by 299 reducing food intake (3, 12, 13), improving insulin resistance and diabetes (4, 13-15), and reducing 300 ectopic lipid (7). These improvements in glucose and lipid metabolism are likely due in part to the 301 302 reduction in food intake, but the clinical effects of metreleptin that are independent of changes in food intake have been poorly explored in humans. A single patient with acquired, generalized 303 304 lipodystrophy was studied while taking metreleptin, and during metreleptin withdrawal, with constant energy intake (13). Upon metreleptin withdrawal, this patient experienced no changes in 305 blood glucose, but a rise in serum insulin and triglycerides within one week (13). Although based 306 on a single subject, these data suggested that leptin affects both insulin resistance and lipid 307 metabolism independent of energy intake in humans. Our study demonstrates that metreleptin has 308 309 food-intake independent effects in humans with lipodystrophy to increase peripheral and hepatic 310 insulin sensitivity, and decrease fasting glucose, triglycerides, total cholesterol, and percent liver fat. As expected, the magnitude of metreleptin's effects independent of food intake over 2 weeks 311 was smaller than the maximal effects of long-term metreleptin treatment during *ad libitum* food 312 313 intake shown in prior studies (Figure 5) (4, 7, 15).

314

Because leptin reduces appetite (13), its effects independent of food intake cannot be studied in a free-living environment with *ad libitum* access to food. In this study, the tightly controlled nature of a metabolic ward permitted meticulous control of dietary intake, and our data confirmed that we successfully held food-intake constant for three weeks. It is likely that many of leptin's biological effects require more than two weeks of treatment initiation or withdrawal to show maximal changes and thus, our study may underestimate the biological effects of leptin that are independent of food intake. Although it would have been informative to continue the study for a longer duration, three weeks was the practical limit during which we could keep patients hospitalized on a controlled diet.

324

325 The most consistent effect of metreleptin independent of food-intake was improvement in peripheral insulin sensitivity, which was 32% greater in the initiation cohort and 41% greater in 326 327 the withdrawal cohort during the metreleptin treated periods. Hepatic insulin sensitivity was 328 higher during metreleptin treatment in the initiation cohort, only. Our human data are consistent with prior findings in rodents, which showed that leptin improved peripheral and hepatic insulin 329 sensitivity by 12-33% and 32-41%, respectively, independent of food intake (16, 17). Consistent 330 with the improvements in insulin sensitivity, two weeks of metreleptin improved fasting glucose 331 by 11%. Similar ~42-53% reduction in fasting glucose has been observed in pair-fed, leptin-332 333 deficient rodent studies (10, 11).

334

Based on prior data in humans (7, 18), we hypothesized that improved insulin sensitivity with 335 336 metreleptin would be due to reductions in ectopic triglyceride in liver and myocytes. However, only reductions in hepatic triglyceride were observed. Numerous studies have demonstrated an 337 338 association between hepatic triglyceride content and peripheral insulin resistance, although the 339 direction of causality in this relationship is unclear (19-22). Although it is possible that lipid 340 laden hepatocytes secrete cytokines or other substances that increase muscle insulin resistance, it 341 is also possible that skeletal muscle or adipose tissue insulin resistance lead to hepatic 342 triglyceride accumulation through mechanisms such as increased free fatty acid delivery from

adipose tissue to liver, or increased de novo lipogenesis stimulated by hyperinsulinemia. In 343 rodents, a liver-targeted mitochondrial uncoupling agent led to decreases in both hepatic and 344 345 peripheral insulin resistance, supporting the notion of a causal relationship between hepatic triglyceride and peripheral insulin resistance (23). Multivariate analyses in the current study also 346 support a stronger role for intrahepatic triglyceride (versus intramyocellular triglyceride) in 347 348 mediating both hepatic and peripheral insulin resistance, although we cannot prove a causal relationship. Changes in hepatic triglyceride content, but not intramyocellular triglyceride 349 350 content, significantly predicted changes in both peripheral and hepatic insulin sensitivity, and 351 changes in insulin sensitivity were no longer statistically significant after adjustment for changes in hepatic triglyceride. This suggests that reductions in hepatic triglyceride content with 352 metreleptin may have mediated the improvements in insulin sensitivity. 353

354

Although patients who initiated metreleptin lost a small amount of weight and fat mass during 355 356 metreleptin treatment with constant food intake, these changes in body composition did not predict changes in insulin sensitivity, and thus the small reductions in weight and body fat 357 observed in the metreleptin-initiation cohort were not likely to have contributed to improved 358 359 insulin sensitivity. An unexpected finding was the decrease in resting and total energy expenditure in the metreleptin-initiation cohort during constant food intake. Limited data in 360 361 patients with congenital leptin deficiency or weight loss have suggested that metreleptin either 362 does not change energy expenditure (24), or increases non-resting energy expenditure (25, 26). 363 The biology underlying the reduction in resting energy expenditure in this study remains to be 364 determined, but might include decreased urinary glucose loss, decreased energetic cost of hepatic 365 glucose production (27, 28), decreased patient movement during measurement of energy

expenditure after repeated testing (29), and that subjects were in slightly negative energy
balance. Regardless of the reason for decreased energy expenditure, it is clear that there was no
increase in energy expenditure with metreleptin that contributed to weight loss or improved
insulin sensitivity.

370

371 Our study shows that there are food-intake independent effects of metreleptin on lipid metabolism, 372 with a reduction in circulating and hepatic triglycerides and total cholesterol in humans with 373 lipodystrophy. Although rodent studies have not demonstrated clinically relevant changes in lipids 374 independent of food intake, mechanistic studies in rodents have suggested that these effects may be mediated by increased expression of enzymes and transcription factors involved in fatty acid 375 oxidation (e.g. mitochondrial and peroxisomal acyl-coenzyme A oxidase, peroxisomal 376 proliferator-activated receptor-alpha) and decreased expression of those regulating fatty acid 377 synthesis (e.g. stearoyl-CoA desaturase-1) (30-32). 378

379

We found that metreleptin treatment for six-months while on an ad libitum diet decreased both 380 glycerol and palmitate turnover in subjects with lipodystrophy, indicating a reduction in lipolysis. 381 382 This reinforces data from a prior study in three subjects with lipodystrophy, in whom three to five months of metreleptin non-significantly decreased glycerol turnover (7). In contrast, in vitro and 383 384 in vivo rodent studies have shown that leptin treatment reduces muscle, liver, and adipose 385 triglyceride content by increasing lipolysis and fatty acid oxidation (33-39). These lipolytic effects of leptin have been shown in obese rodents with mutations in the leptin gene or leptin-receptor, 386 387 but not in rodents with lipodystrophy, suggesting that the observed lipolytic effects of leptin 388 require normal adipose depots. Contrary to the findings in obese rodent models, long-term

metreleptin had anti-lipolytic effects in subjects with lipodystrophy. Although humans with 389 lipodystrophy have a paucity of adipose tissue, these subjects are known to have elevated rates of 390 lipolysis compared to gender-, age-, and BMI-matched controls prior to metreleptin therapy, 391 presumably reflecting greater lipolysis in their residual fat mass (7). The effects of long-term 392 metreleptin to suppress lipolysis are presumably secondary to improved insulin sensitivity, and 393 394 hence increased insulin-mediated suppression of lipolysis. Given the hierarchy of physiologic responses to insulin, with suppression of lipolysis being the most sensitive, followed by 395 396 suppression of hepatic glucose production, followed by glucose uptake in muscle, it is somewhat 397 surprising that short-term metreleptin treatment did suppress hepatic glucose production and increase muscle glucose uptake, but did not decrease lipolysis. We speculate that the null effects 398 399 of short-term metreleptin on lipolysis may be due to opposing direct lipolytic effects of leptin, 400 versus indirect suppression of lipolysis mediated by improved insulin sensitivity.

401

402 A limitation of our study was the small number of participants, but lipodystrophy is a rare disorder. We had limited success in demonstrating biological effects of metreleptin withdrawal independent 403 of food intake. Other than effects on peripheral insulin sensitivity, the withdrawal cohort did not 404 405 experience the food-intake independent effects of metreleptin therapy that were observed in the initiation cohort. This may have been due to small sample size, as there were few statistical 406 407 differences for metabolic changes in the on-versus off-metreleptin periods between the withdrawal 408 and initiation cohorts. The lack of changes in the withdrawal cohort may also be due to two biological factors. First, the withdrawal cohort had an average of 7.7 ± 4.7 (range 0.9-14.5) years 409 410 of prior metreleptin treatment, resulting in euglycemia and normal triglycerides despite their 411 lipodystrophy diagnosis. Second, two weeks of metreleptin withdrawal may have been insufficient

to detect metabolic changes in the withdrawal cohort. By contrast, the initiation cohort had no exposure to metreleptin and worse metabolic profiles at baseline, allowing for metabolic changes that were of greater magnitude. The two groups also differed in types of lipodystrophy. In the withdrawal cohort, all subjects had generalized lipodystrophy, and in the initiation cohort, most subjects had partial lipodystrophy. This difference is not a likely explanation for the lack of effects in the withdrawal cohort because we would have expected greater effects in subjects with generalized lipodystrophy who have lower endogenous leptin levels, but this was not observed.

419

420 By using lipodystrophy as a model for leptin-deficiency and replacement, we have successfully demonstrated that metreleptin therapy has food-intake independent effects on glucose and lipid 421 metabolism in humans. In addition to serving as a model for leptin-deficiency, lipodystrophy is 422 also a more severe form of the obesity-associated metabolic syndrome. Although metreleptin 423 treatment has biological effects in states of chronic hypoleptinemia, it has little effect on appetite, 424 425 body weight, or hormonal axes in leptin replete subjects undergoing either mild, ongoing caloric restriction, or acute, severe energy restriction (72 hour fast), despite the fact that caloric restriction 426 can acutely decrease leptin levels (40-43). This study provides evidence for food-intake 427 428 independent effects of metreleptin in leptin-deficient humans, but effects of leptin independent of food intake have yet to be explored in leptin-sufficient human models such as the obesity-429 430 associated metabolic syndrome.

431 Methods

Study subjects. This was a non-randomized, crossover group study. Two groups of patients aged 432 14 to 70 years with lipodystrophy were studied: leptin initiation and leptin withdrawal. Participants 433 434 were recruited by referral from November 2012 to January 2017. Leptin initiation subjects had no prior exposure to exogenous metreleptin and leptin withdrawal subjects had taken a stable dose of 435 exogenous metreleptin for a minimum of four months prior to study participation. The flow chart 436 437 of study participants in each cohort is shown in Figure 1. Of the 25 patients enrolled, 15 were in the leptin-initiation cohort and 10 were in the leptin-withdrawal cohort. In the leptin-initiation 438 cohort, one subject did not complete data collection for the short-term study, but continued study 439 drug and completed the long-term study, and another subject completed the short-term study but 440 was excluded from analysis of the long-term study because of non-compliance with metreleptin 441 therapy. In the leptin-withdrawal cohort, one subject withdrew consent and another subject was 442 excluded from analysis due to recurrent hypoglycemia during the short-term study. Therefore, 14 443 subjects in the leptin-initiation cohort and eight subjects in the leptin-withdrawal cohort were 444 445 included in final analysis.

446

Inclusion/Exclusion criteria. Eligibility was based on a clinical diagnosis of lipodystrophy, age \geq 14 years, and one or more metabolic abnormalities including diabetes mellitus defined by the 2007 American Diabetes Association criteria, insulin resistance (fasting insulin \geq 30 µIU/mL), or hypertriglyceridemia (fasting triglyceride > 200 mg/dL). Patients were also required to have low endogenous serum leptin measured either at NIH or at an outside laboratory prior to metreleptin treatment (< 8 ng/mL in males, < 12 ng/mL in females). Exclusion criteria included HIVassociated lipodystrophy, active inflammatory disease or glucocorticoid use, and changes in diabetes or lipid-lowering medications within the past six weeks. Because of the risk of worsening metabolic status with metreleptin withdrawal, additional exclusion criteria applied to the leptinwithdrawal cohort, including: age < 18 years, HbA1c \geq 9%, serum triglycerides > 800 mg/dL, > 1 lifetime episode of acute pancreatitis, or \geq 1 episode of pancreatitis while on metreleptin, lipase greater than upper limit of normal at study entry, or known presence of neutralizing antibodies to leptin.

460

Study design. The study design is shown in Figure 2. Initiation subjects were studied for the first 461 462 five days without metreleptin (Period 1), then treated with metreleptin (5 mg subcutaneously q12 hours) for the next 14 days (Period 2). Withdrawal subjects were studied for the first five days on 463 their home dose of metreleptin (Period 1), then withdrawn from metreleptin for the next 14 days 464 (Period 2). Metreleptin was donated by Aegerion Pharmaceuticals (Cambridge, MA). Subjects and 465 investigators were not blinded to the intervention. All subjects were hospitalized on the metabolic 466 467 unit of the NIH Clinical Center, and consumed a controlled diet provided by the metabolic kitchen. The study diet was controlled for macronutrient content (20±5% protein, 25±5% fat, 55±5% 468 carbohydrate). Research dietitians used the Mifflin St. Jeor equations for males with an activity 469 470 factor of 1.5 to estimate total caloric requirements (for both male and female participants). Food intake (total kilocalories and macronutrient content) was adjusted for body weight fluctuations to 471 472 ensure eucaloric feeding during Period 1 and then the energy was clamped for Period 2, in order 473 to assess leptin's effects independent of energy intake. Subjects were instructed in the importance of eating 100% of food given, and not consuming any additional food. However, to determine 474 475 possible deviations from the study diet, any uneaten food was weighed and the uneaten kilocalories 476 were recorded. At the end of Period 2, metreleptin was restarted in subjects in the withdrawal cohort at their previous doses. Patients in the initiation cohort continued self-administered metreleptin treatment after discharge, and underwent follow-up evaluation after six months of treatment on an *ad libitum* diet. For patients in the initiation cohort with partial lipodystrophy, metreleptin was continued at a dose of 5 mg q12 hours. For patients in the initiation cohort with generalized lipodystrophy, the metreleptin dose was lowered at the end of Period 2 to prevent excessive weight loss during the six-month follow-up period.

483

Apart from insulin and sulfonylureas, subjects continued their pre-admission medications throughout the study, including oral hypoglycemic agents, lipid-lowering medications, and other medications either related or unrelated to lipodystrophy and its complications. Initiation subjects taking insulin or sulfonylureas were at risk of hypoglycemia due to improved insulin sensitivity after metreleptin. None of the withdrawal subjects were taking insulin. Glucose monitoring was performed in subjects with diabetes prior to meals and at bedtime. Due to hypoglycemia risk, insulin and sulfonylurea doses were reduced as needed to minimize hypoglycemia.

491

Primary outcomes. The aim of this study was to determine the energy intake-independent effects 492 493 of leptin on glucose and lipid metabolism in lipodystrophic subjects. The pre-specified primary outcome for glucose metabolism was total body insulin sensitivity (measured as glucose disposal 494 495 rate during a hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp), and for lipid metabolism was the rate of 496 lipolysis (measured using glycerol stable isotope tracers). For leptin initiation and withdrawal cohorts, clinical values were collected at study entry, end of Period 1, and end of Period 2 (Figure 497 498 2). Additional clinical values were obtained from the leptin initiation cohort at the six-month 499 follow-up visit while on an *ad libitum* diet.

Additional outcomes included serum leptin levels, anthropometric parameters (body mass index 501 502 [BMI] and body fat percent), glycemic and lipid variables (fasting glucose, fasting insulin, fasting c-peptide, HbA1c, lipids, urinary glucose excretion, number of anti-diabetic and lipid-lowering 503 medications, insulin use and average daily insulin dose among insulin users), hepatic insulin 504 505 sensitivity (measured as suppression of endogenous glucose production during a hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp), rates of lipolysis and fatty acid turnover (measured using 506 507 glycerol and palmitate stable isotope tracers), and lipid content in liver and skeletal muscles 508 (measured using magnetic resonance spectroscopy [MRS]).

509

Metabolites and hormones. Blood samples were obtained following an 8-12 hour fast. Urine was 510 collected over 24-hour periods. Glucose, insulin, C-peptide, HbA1c, total cholesterol, HDL-C, 511 LDL-C, triglycerides, and urinary glucose excretion were analyzed using standard techniques of 512 513 the NIH Clinical Center laboratory. In the withdrawal cohort, endogenous leptin in fasting serum samples was measured prior to metreleptin initiation by radioimmunoassay (EMD-Millipore, 514 Billerica MA). The intra and inter assay coefficient of variation were 9.3% and 9.6% respectively. 515 516 Of note, these samples for measurement of endogenous leptin were collected immediately prior to metreleptin initiation, 0.9 to 14.5 years prior to participation in the current study, under other IRB-517 518 approved protocols. In both cohorts, leptin was also measured in fasting EDTA-plasma samples at 519 the end of Periods 1 and 2, and again after six months of metreleptin in the initiation cohort, by ELISA (EMD-Millipore, Billerica MA). The intra and inter assay coefficient of variation were 520 521 3.9% and 4.8% respectively.

Body composition. A DXA scan was obtained to measure fat and lean body mass at the end of
Period 1 and Period 2 for both cohorts, and during the six-month follow-up for the initiation cohort
only (iDXA, GE Healthcare, Madison, WI).

526

Energy expenditure. Energy expenditure was measured at the end of Period 1 and Period 2 for both 527 528 cohorts, and during the six-month follow-up for the initiation cohort only. Resting energy expenditure (REE) was measured using indirect calorimetry with a hood calorimeter (ParvoMedics 529 530 TrueOne2400, Sandy UT) upon awakening after a minimum 8-hour fast, in a resting supine position. Twenty-four-hour total energy expenditure (TEE) was using a whole-room indirect 531 calorimeter (metabolic chamber) (44). Periods of exercise during the 24-hour metabolic chamber 532 stay were assessed using a microwave detection system; these periods were excluded from the 533 analysis of TEE, with data renormalized to a 24-hour period. Non-resting energy expenditure was 534 calculated as the difference between TEE and REE. 535

536

MRI/MRS. Hepatic triglyceride content was measured using MRS as previously described (45, 46).
Intramyocellular and extramyocellular triglyceride content in the vastus lateralis, anterior tibialis,
and soleus muscles were measured using MRS as previously described (46).

540

541 *Tracer dilution and clamp studies*. Following an overnight fast, stable isotope tracers were used to 542 measure glucose, glycerol, and palmitate turnover using the tracer dilution method. At 0500 hours, 543 one catheter was inserted into the forearm vein to infuse stable isotopically labeled tracers. A 544 second catheter was inserted into a vein in the contralateral hand or arm to obtain blood samples. 545 A primed, continuous infusion of [6,6-²H₂]glucose (priming dose 28 µmol/kg of body weight;

infusion rate 0.4 μ mol/kg of body weight/min for 180 min) was used to measure basal endogenous production (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories). At 0700 hours, a primed, continuous infusion of $[^{2}H_{5}]glycerol$ (priming dose 0.045 μ mol/kg_{BW}; infusion rate: 0.18 μ mol/kg_{BW}/min) and an unprimed infusion of $[U^{-13}C_{16}]$ palmitate (infusion rate: 0.006 μ mol/kg_{BW}/min) were administered for 60 minutes to measure rate of lipolysis (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories).

551

552 At 0830 hours, a hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp study began. Regular human insulin was infused at a priming rate of 240 mU/m2/min for eight minutes, followed by a continuous insulin 553 infusion at 120 mU/m²/min for approximately 3 hours. [6,6-²H₂]glucose was infused at 25% of the 554 baseline rate (0.1 µmol/kg_{BW}/min). Dextrose solution (20%) enriched with 2.5% [6,6-²H₂]glucose 555 tracer was infused at a variable rate to maintain blood glucose at 100 ± 5 mg/dL. Due to severe 556 557 insulin resistance and hyperglycemia, two subjects maintained a steady state glucose of 132 ± 1.3 mg/dL for all visits. Blood samples (0.5 mL) were obtained every five to 10 min for analysis of 558 559 whole-blood glucose concentration, measured by an automated glucose analyzer (Yellow Springs Instruments Co.). Blood samples for analysis of glucose, insulin, C-peptide, and [6,6-²H₂]glucose 560 were collected every 10 minutes during steady-state (the final 30 minutes of the study). 561

562

Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. Isotope enrichment was measured using a Waters Acquity UPLC and a Thermo Scientific Q-Exactive (high resolution – accurate mass). The separation was on a Waters BEH Amide column (1.7 μ m 2.1 x 100 mm) using solvent A (30% ACN, 70% H₂O, 0.1% NH₃) and solvent B (80% ACN, 20% H₂O, 0.1% NH₃). The Q-Exactive with HESI-II electrospray source negative ion used targeted-SIM mode at 70K resolution for palmitate, 70K full scan for glycerol and targeted-SIM mode at 140K for glucose. Each targetedSIM was triggered by an inclusion list of the natural occurring molecule. Glucose was measured at m/z 179.0556, $[6,6-{}^{2}H_{2}]$ glucose at 181.0684, glycerol at 91.0388, $[{}^{2}H_{5}]$ glycerol at 96.0700, palmitate at 255.2336 and $[U-{}^{13}C]$ palmitate at 271.2874. Standards of 0 – 16.7 molar percent enrichment (MPE) of $[6,6-{}^{2}H_{2}]$ glucose, 0 – 13.1 MPE $[{}^{2}H_{5}]$ glycerol, and 0 – 0.9 MPE $[U-{}^{13}C]$ palmitate were calibrated with $R^{2} > 0.99$ (47).

574

575 *Calculations.* The rate of appearance of glucose, glycerol, and palmitate per kg of lean body mass 576 was calculated by measuring isotope enrichment using the single pool model (48). Peripheral 577 insulin sensitivity (M value) was calculated as the average glucose infusion rate during 30-minute 578 steady-state of the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp and corrected for fat-free mass (49). 579 Hepatic glucose production was calculated as the difference between basal glucose rate of 580 appearance and glucose infusion rate during clamp steady-state.

581

582 *Power and sample size calculations.* Power analyses were conducted a priori based on data from previous human studies using leptin-deficient and replacement models and indicated that a sample 583 size of 10 subjects in each group (leptin-initiation and leptin-withdrawal) would provide 80% 584 585 power to detect significant differences between the off versus on metreleptin condition during constant food intake for the following primary and secondary outcomes: peripheral insulin 586 587 sensitivity, hepatic insulin sensitivity, fasting plasma glucose, rate of lipolysis, and fasting 588 triglycerides. Given the limited pool of subjects with lipodystrophy already taking metreleptin (leptin-withdrawal cohort) who met inclusion/exclusion criteria, we were unable to accrue the 589 590 target sample size of 10 for this group.

591

Statistics. For all outcomes, normally distributed data were reported as mean \pm SD. Non-normally 592 distributed data were reported as geometric mean [25th,75th percentiles]. Measurements in each of 593 the primary and secondary outcomes were analyzed to detect differences between Period 1 and 594 Period 2 for each cohort (leptin-initiation and leptin-withdrawal). For the leptin-initiation cohort, 595 secondary analyses were conducted to detect differences between Period 1 and six-month follow-596 597 up, and between Period 2 and six-month follow-up using both multiple paired comparisons, as well as linear mixed models with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons for pairs of 598 timepoints. 599

600

Data analysis for primary and secondary outcomes was done in two ways: without covariate 601 adjustment and with covariate adjustment. Potential covariates included in each model were: 602 baseline (pre-diet) value for the outcome, age, sex, race, type of lipodystrophy (partial versus 603 604 generalized, initiation cohort only), endogenous leptin level prior to metreleptin treatment, and 605 measured mean caloric intake during Period 1 and Period 2. For total body insulin sensitivity, additional models were conducted including the above covariates plus body weight, fat mass, and 606 lean mass during the metreleptin treated and untreated conditions. For both hepatic and total body 607 608 insulin sensitivity, additional models were conducted including covariates age, sex, and hepatic 609 and intramyocellular triglyceride content (together and in separate models) during the metreleptin 610 treated and untreated conditions. For total, resting, and non-resting energy expenditure, models 611 included fat mass and lean body mass as covariates.

612

613 Unadjusted comparisons for each outcome were conducted using the paired t-test (for normally614 distributed variables) or Wilcoxon paired test (for skewed variables). For adjusted comparisons

for each outcome, a variable selection for linear mixed model was conducted and then a final linear 615 mixed model with the selected covariates was performed to compare timepoints. With a single 616 exception, noted in the Results, adjustment for covariates did not alter the statistical significance 617 of any primary or secondary outcome. Therefore, only the unadjusted analyses are presented in the 618 Results and Figures. Linear mixed model analyses for covariate-adjusted analysis are presented in 619 620 Supplemental Tables 1-4. If significant differences were present in Period 1 versus Period 2 for an outcome in either the initiation or withdrawal cohort, we compared the delta between Periods 1 621 622 and 2 for the two cohorts using 2-sample t-tests (for normally distributed variables) or Mann-623 Whitney tests (for skewed variables). Only differences that were statistically significant are mentioned in the Results. 624

625

For the two prespecified co-primary outcomes of total body insulin sensitivity and lipolysis
(glycerol Ra), a p-value <0.025 was considered statistically significant to account for multiple
comparisons. No multiplicity corrections were used for secondary outcomes, and a p-value <0.05
was considered statistically significant. All reported p-values are two-sided. Data analysis was
conducted by using SAS software (version 9.4, Cary, NC) and GraphPad Prism (version 7.00,
GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com).

632

Study Approval. The institutional review board of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive
and Kidney Diseases approved this study. All patients or legal guardians for those under 18 years
of age provided written informed consent before participation, and minor subjects provided written
assent. This study was registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov (trial ID NCT01778556).

637 Author Contributions

RJB initiated the investigation, led the clinical experiments and wrote, reviewed, and edited the 638 manuscript. AV obtained and analyzed the data, and wrote, edited, and reviewed the manuscript. 639 MS obtained data, and wrote, edited, and reviewed the manuscript. EC obtained data, and edited 640 and reviewed the manuscript. AG obtained and interpreted MRS data, and reviewed and edited the 641 manuscript. RJB and KYC obtained and interpreted energy expenditure data, and reviewed and 642 edited the manuscript. PW, HMG, HC, and MW obtained data, and edited and reviewed the 643 manuscript. AC and SB designed and implemented the controlled study diet and reviewed and 644 645 edited manuscript. AS provided statistical guidance prior to study implementation, conducted statistical analyses, and edited and reviewed the manuscript. PG contributed to the design of the 646 study, and reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors gave final approval of the version to 647 be published. 648

649 Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the intramural research program of the NIDDK. We would like to thank the nurses in the Metabolic Unit of the NIH Clinical Center for excellent patient care. Metreleptin for this study was donated by Aegerion Pharmaceuticals. This study would not have been possible without the altruism of our patients and their families, who devoted their time and energy to help us enhance scientific knowledge.

- Address correspondence to: Dr. Rebecca J. Brown, Room 6-5940, Building 10, 10 Center Drive,
- 657 Bethesda, MD, 20814. Phone: 301-594-0609; Email: brownrebecca@mail.nih.gov.

658	References
-----	------------

- Friedman JM, and Halaas JL. Leptin and the regulation of body weight in mammals. *Nature*.
 1998;395(6704):763-70.
- Chin-Chance C, Polonsky KS, and Schoeller DA. Twenty-four-hour leptin levels respond to
 cumulative short-term energy imbalance and predict subsequent intake. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2000;85(8):2685-91.
- Moran SA, Patten N, Young JR, Cochran E, Sebring N, Reynolds J, et al. Changes in body
 composition in patients with severe lipodystrophy after leptin replacement therapy. *Metabolism.*2004;53(4):513-9.
- 668 4. Diker-Cohen T, Cochran E, Gorden P, and Brown RJ. Partial and generalized lipodystrophy:
 669 comparison of baseline characteristics and response to metreleptin. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.*670 2015;100(5):1802-10.
- 5. Zadeh ES, Lungu AO, Cochran EK, Brown RJ, Ghany MG, Heller T, et al. The liver diseases of
 lipodystrophy: The long-term effect of leptin treatment. *Journal of Hepatology*. 2013;59(1):1317.
- Moran SA, Patten N, Young JR, Cochran E, Sebring N, Reynolds J, et al. Changes in body
 composition in patients with severe lipodystrophy after leptin replacement therapy. *Metabolism.* 2004;53(4):513-9.
- 677 7. Petersen KF, Oral EA, Dufour S, Befroy D, Ariyan C, Yu C, et al. Leptin reverses insulin resistance
 678 and hepatic steatosis in patients with severe lipodystrophy. *J Clin Invest.* 2002;109(10):1345-50.
- 679 8. Lungu AO, Zadeh ES, Goodling A, Cochran E, and Gorden P. Insulin Resistance Is a Sufficient Basis
 680 for Hyperandrogenism in Lipodystrophic Women with Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome. *Journal of*
- 681 Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2012;97(2):563-7.

- Abel BS, Muniyappa R, Stratton P, Skarulis MC, Gorden P, and Brown RJ. Effects of Recombinant
 Human Leptin (Metreleptin) on Nocturnal Luteinizing Hormone Secretion in Lipodystrophy
 Patients. *Neuroendocrinology*. 2016;103(3-4):402-7.
- Schwartz MW, Baskin DG, Bukowski TR, Kuijper JL, Foster D, Lasser G, et al. Specificity of leptin
 action on elevated blood glucose levels and hypothalamic neuropeptide Y gene expression in
 ob/ob mice. *Diabetes.* 1996;45(4):531-5.
- Shimomura I, Hammer RE, Ikemoto S, Brown MS, and Goldstein JL. Leptin reverses insulin
 resistance and diabetes mellitus in mice with congenital lipodystrophy. *Nature*.
 1999;401(6748):73-6.
- McDuffie JR, Riggs PA, Calis KA, Freedman RJ, Oral EA, DePaoli AM, et al. Effects of exogenous
 leptin on satiety and satiation in patients with lipodystrophy and leptin insufficiency. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2004;89(9):4258-63.
- 694 13. Oral EA, Simha V, Ruiz E, Andewelt A, Premkumar A, Snell P, et al. Leptin-replacement therapy for
 695 lipodystrophy. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;346(8):570-8.
- Vatier C, Fetita S, Boudou P, Tchankou C, Deville L, Riveline J, et al. One-year metreleptin improves
 insulin secretion in patients with diabetes linked to genetic lipodystrophic syndromes. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2016;18(7):693-7.
- Ebihara K, Kusakabe T, Hirata M, Masuzaki H, Miyanaga F, Kobayashi N, et al. Efficacy and safety
 of leptin-replacement therapy and possible mechanisms of leptin actions in patients with
 generalized lipodystrophy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2007;92(2):532-41.
- Shi ZQ, Nelson A, Whitcomb L, Wang J, and Cohen AM. Intracerebroventricular administration of
 leptin markedly enhances insulin sensitivity and systemic glucose utilization in conscious rats.
 Metabolism. 1998;47(10):1274-80.

- Rouru J, Cusin I, Zakrzewska KE, Jeanrenaud B, and Rohner-Jeanrenaud F. Effects of intravenously
 infused leptin on insulin sensitivity and on the expression of uncoupling proteins in brown adipose
 tissue. *Endocrinology.* 1999;140(8):3688-92.
- Perry RJ, Samuel VT, Petersen KF, and Shulman GI. The role of hepatic lipids in hepatic insulin
 resistance and type 2 diabetes. *Nature*. 2014;510(7503):84-91.
- 19. Korenblat KM, Fabbrini E, Mohammed BS, and Klein S. Liver, muscle, and adipose tissue insulin
 action is directly related to intrahepatic triglyceride content in obese subjects. *Gastroenterology*.
 2008;134(5):1369-75.
- Hwang JH, Stein DT, Barzilai N, Cui MH, Tonelli J, Kishore P, et al. Increased intrahepatic
 triglyceride is associated with peripheral insulin resistance: in vivo MR imaging and spectroscopy
 studies. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2007;293(6):E1663-9.
- Hernandez EA, Kahl S, Seelig A, Begovatz P, Irmler M, Kupriyanova Y, et al. Acute dietary fat intake
 initiates alterations in energy metabolism and insulin resistance. *J Clin Invest.* 2017;127(2):695708.
- D'Adamo E, Cali AM, Weiss R, Santoro N, Pierpont B, Northrup V, et al. Central role of fatty liver
 in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance in obese adolescents. *Diabetes Care.* 2010;33(8):1817-
- 721 22.
- Perry RJ, Kim T, Zhang XM, Lee HY, Pesta D, Popov VB, et al. Reversal of hypertriglyceridemia, fatty
 liver disease, and insulin resistance by a liver-targeted mitochondrial uncoupler. *Cell Metab.*2013;18(5):740-8.
- Farooqi IS, Jebb SA, Langmack G, Lawrence E, Cheetham CH, Prentice AM, et al. Effects of
 recombinant leptin therapy in a child with congenital leptin deficiency. *N Engl J Med.*1999;341(12):879-84.

Rosenbaum M, Goldsmith R, Bloomfield D, Magnano A, Weimer L, Heymsfield S, et al. Low-dose
leptin reverses skeletal muscle, autonomic, and neuroendocrine adaptations to maintenance of
reduced weight. *J Clin Invest.* 2005;115(12):3579-86.

- Rosenbaum M, Murphy EM, Heymsfield SB, Matthews DE, and Leibel RL. Low dose leptin
 administration reverses effects of sustained weight-reduction on energy expenditure and
 circulating concentrations of thyroid hormones. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2002;87(5):2391-4.
- 73427.Ravussin E, Bogardus C, Schwartz RS, Robbins DC, Wolfe RR, Horton ES, et al. Thermic effect of735infused glucose and insulin in man. Decreased response with increased insulin resistance in
- obesity and noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Invest.* 1983;72(3):893-902.
- Veldhorst MA, Westerterp-Plantenga MS, and Westerterp KR. Gluconeogenesis and energy
 expenditure after a high-protein, carbohydrate-free diet. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2009;90(3):519-26.
- Shannon JR, Gottesdiener K, Jordan J, Chen K, Flattery S, Larson PJ, et al. Acute effect of ephedrine
 on 24-h energy balance. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. 1999;96(5):483-91.
- 741 30. Prieur X, Tung YCL, Griffin JL, Farooqi IS, O'Rahilly S, and Coll AP. Leptin Regulates Peripheral Lipid
- 742 Metabolism Primarily through Central Effects on Food Intake. *Endocrinology*. 2008;149(11):5432-
- 743

9.

- 744 31. Donahoo WT, Stob NR, Ammon S, Levin N, and Eckel RH. Leptin increases skeletal muscle 745 lipoprotein lipase and postprandial lipid metabolism in mice. *Metabolism*. 2011;60(3):438-43.
- 74632.Cohen P, Miyazaki M, Socci ND, Hagge-Greenberg A, Liedtke W, Soukas AA, et al. Role for stearoyl-
- 747 CoA desaturase-1 in leptin-mediated weight loss. *Science*. 2002;297(5579):240-3.
- Minokoshi Y, Kim YB, Peroni OD, Fryer LG, Muller C, Carling D, et al. Leptin stimulates fatty-acid
 oxidation by activating AMP-activated protein kinase. *Nature*. 2002;415(6869):339-43.
- 34. Steinberg GR, and Dyck DJ. Development of leptin resistance in rat soleus muscle in response to
 high-fat diets. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2000;279(6):E1374-82.

- 35. Siegrist-Kaiser CA, Pauli V, Juge-Aubry CE, Boss O, Pernin A, Chin WW, et al. Direct effects of leptin
 on brown and white adipose tissue. *J Clin Invest.* 1997;100(11):2858-64.
- 36. Wang MY, Lee Y, and Unger RH. Novel form of lipolysis induced by leptin. J Biol Chem.
 1999;274(25):17541-4.
- Frühbeck G, Aguado M, Gómez-Ambrosi J, and Martínez JA. Lipolytic Effect ofin VivoLeptin
 Administration on Adipocytes of Lean andob/obMice, but Notdb/dbMice. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*. 1998;250(1):99-102.
- 38. Shimabukuro M, Koyama K, Chen GX, Wang MY, Trieu F, Lee Y, et al. Direct antidiabetic effect of
 leptin through triglyceride depletion of tissues. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
- 761 *of the United States of America*. 1997;94(9):4637-41.
- 762 39. Zeng WW, Pirzgalska RM, Pereira MMA, Kubasova N, Barateiro A, Seixas E, et al. Sympathetic
 763 Neuro-adipose Connections Mediate Leptin-Driven Lipolysis. *Cell.* 2015;163(1):84-94.
- Heymsfield SB, Greenberg AS, Fujioka K, Dixon RM, Kushner R, Hunt T, et al. Recombinant leptin
 for weight loss in obese and lean adults: a randomized, controlled, dose-escalation trial. *JAMA*.

766 1999;282(16):1568-75.

- Zelissen PM, Stenlof K, Lean ME, Fogteloo J, Keulen ET, Wilding J, et al. Effect of three treatment
 schedules of recombinant methionyl human leptin on body weight in obese adults: a randomized,
 placebo-controlled trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2005;7(6):755-61.
- Shetty GK, Matarese G, Magkos F, Moon HS, Liu X, Brennan AM, et al. Leptin administration to
 overweight and obese subjects for 6 months increases free leptin concentrations but does not
 alter circulating hormones of the thyroid and IGF axes during weight loss induced by a mild
 hypocaloric diet. *Eur J Endocrinol.* 2011;165(2):249-54.

- Hukshorn CJ, Saris WH, Westerterp-Plantenga MS, Farid AR, Smith FJ, and Campfield LA. Weekly
 subcutaneous pegylated recombinant native human leptin (PEG-OB) administration in obese
 men. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2000;85(11):4003-9.
- 44. Brychta RJ, Rothney MP, Skarulis MC, and Chen KY. Optimizing energy expenditure detection in
- 778 human metabolic chambers. *Conference proceedings : Annual International Conference of the*
- 779 IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society
 780 Annual Conference. 2009;2009:6864-8.
- 781 45. Ouwerkerk R, Pettigrew RI, and Gharib AM. Liver metabolite concentrations measured with 1H
 782 MR spectroscopy. *Radiology*. 2012;265(2):565-75.
- Muniyappa R, Noureldin R, Ouwerkerk R, Liu EY, Madan R, Abel BS, et al. Myocardial Fat
 Accumulation Is Independent of Measures of Insulin Sensitivity. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism.* 2015;100(8):3060-8.
- 47. Walter PJ, Garraffo HM, Chung S, and Brown R. *Mass Spectrometry and Allied Topics*. Indianapolis,
 787 IN; 2017.
- Wolfe R, and Chinkes DL. *Isotopic tracers in metabolic research: principles and practice of kinetic analysis.* Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2005.
- 49. DeFronzo RA, Tobin JD, and Andres R. Glucose clamp technique: a method for quantifying insulin
 secretion and resistance. *Am J Physiol.* 1979;237(3):E214-23.





798 Figure 1. Study design. The leptin-initiation cohort was untreated for first five days (Period 1), then metreleptin was given for the following 14 days (Period 2). This order was reversed for leptin-799 800 withdrawal cohort. During the short-term study, an isocaloric diet was maintained for both cohorts to permit study of metreleptin's effects during constant energy and macronutrient intake. During 801 both Periods 1 and 2, patients in both cohorts underwent a DXA scan, hyperinsulinemic-802 euglycemic clamp, and MRS/MRI scan. This was repeated at a six-month follow-up visit in the 803 initiation cohort only during *ad libitum* diet. 804

805





Figure 2. Study flow chart. A total of 25 subjects were enrolled in the study, 15 in the initiation and 10 in the withdrawal cohort. In the initiation cohort, one subject did not have complete data collection for the short-term study, but completed the long-term study, and one subject was excluded from final analysis of the long-term study because of non-compliance with metreleptin. In the withdrawal cohort, one subject withdrew and another subject with type 1 diabetes was excluded from the analysis due to recurrent hypoglycemia during the short-term study.





Figure 3. Glucose control and insulin sensitivity improved in humans with lipodystrophy 816 817 while on metreleptin independent of food intake. (A) Fasting glucose levels in leptin-initiation and leptin-withdrawal subjects while off (white bars), on (black bars), and after six months on 818 (gray bars) metreleptin. The dotted gray line indicates of the upper limit of normal (100 mg/dL). 819 (B) Hemoglobin A1c. The dotted gray line indicates the threshold for diagnosis of diabetes (6.5%). 820 (C) Whole-body insulin sensitivity reflected by the M value (hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic 821 clamp). (D) Insulin-mediated suppression of hepatic glucose production (HGP) as an indicator of 822 hepatic insulin sensitivity. Data shown represent the mean \pm SEM. The study was powered to 823 detect differences between the off versus on leptin state (black versus white bars) during constant 824

food intake. * indicates P < 0.05 determined by 2-tailed *t* test or Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test between each pair of time points based on data distribution. # indicates P < 0.05 by linear mixed model for all three timepoints with post-hoc pairwise Bonferroni correction in the leptininitiation cohort.



Figure 4. Triglycerides and liver fat decreased in humans with lipodystrophy while on 830 metreleptin independent of food intake. (A) Triglycerides of leptin-initiation subjects and leptin-831 withdrawal subjects while off (white bars), on (black bars), or after six months on (gray bars) 832 metreleptin. The dotted line indicates the upper limit of normal (150 mg/dL). (B) Percent liver fat 833 measured by magnetic resonance spectroscopy. The dotted line indicates upper limit of normal 834 835 (5%). (C) Glycerol rate of appearance (Ra) in plasma. (D) Palmitate Ra in plasma. Data shown represent the mean \pm SEM or geometric mean \pm 95% CI (triglycerides). The study was powered 836 to detect differences between the off versus on leptin state (black versus white bars) during 837 838 constant food intake. * indicates P<0.05 determined by 2-tailed t test or Wilcoxon matched-pairs

signed rank test between each pair of time points based on data distribution. # indicates P<0.05
by linear mixed model for all three timepoints with post-hoc pairwise Bonferroni correction in the
leptin-initiation cohort.

	Effects of leptin independent of food intake	Maximal effects of leptin
Peripheral Insulin Sensitivity	←	←
Hepatic Insulin Sensitivity	↑	1
Blood glucose	\rightarrow	\checkmark
Lipolysis	\Leftrightarrow	\rightarrow
Plasma triglycerides	\rightarrow	\rightarrow
Hepatic triglyceride	\checkmark	\checkmark
Intramyocellular lipid	\leftrightarrow	\checkmark

⁸⁴³

844 Figure 5. Effects of leptin in patients with lipodystrophy independent of food intake versus

845 maximal effects of leptin during *ad libitum* food intake. The current study demonstrated

846 effects of leptin replacement with metreleptin with food intake held constant over 2 weeks.

847 These effects were smaller in magnitude than the maximal effects of metreleptin demonstrated in

848 long-term studies with ad libitum food intake.

849 **Table 1. Baseline characteristics in initiation and withdrawal cohorts**

Clinical Values	Initiation (n=15)	Withdrawal (n=8)
Type of lipodystrophy	(3/12)	(8/0)
(Generalized/Partial)		
Sub-type of lipodystrophy	3 CGL	7 CGL
	12 FPL	1 AGL
Sex (Male/female)	(3/12)	(3/5)
Age (years)	32 ± 17	25 ± 6
Race/ethnicity	9 Caucasian	4 Caucasian
	4 Hispanic	2 African-American
	1 Asian	2 Hispanic
	1 Other	
Endogenous leptin level (ng/dL)	$9.5 \pm 10.2^{\text{A}}$	$1.2\pm0.5^{\mathrm{A}}$
Duration of metreleptin treatment prior	0	7.7 ± 4.7
to study (years)		
Subjects on insulin (%)	71	0
Insulin dose (units per day, insulin users	225 ± 136	0
only)		
Number of diabetes medications	1.6 ± 1.2	0.4 ± 0.5
Number of lipid medications	1.8 ± 0.9	0.4 ± 0.7

B50 Data represent mean \pm SD except as noted. CGL: Congenital generalized lipodystrophy; FPL:

851 Familial partial lipodystrophy; AGL: Acquired generalized lipodystrophy. ^AEndogenous leptin

levels were measured by ELISA in the initiation cohort, and by RIA in the withdrawal cohort prior

853 to metreleptin initiation.

	Initiation (n=14)			Withdrawal (n=8)			
	OFF	ON	ON P		OFF	Р	
	(Period 1)	(Period 2)		(Period 1)	(Period 2)		
Diet Composition							
Energy Intake (kcals)	2416 ± 312	2422 ± 370	0.85	2350 ± 501	2425 ± 525	0.38	
Protein intake (%)	17.3 ± 1.1	17.4 ± 1.8	0.61	17.6 ± 2.1	17.6 ± 2.2	0.74	
Carbohydrate intake	52.2 ± 1.8	52.2 ± 1.8	0.44	53.2 ± 1.1	52.9 ± 1.3	0.26	
(%)							
Fat intake (%)	30.4 ± 1.0	30.0 ± 0.5	0.22	29.2 ± 1.9	29.5 ± 2.1	0.13	
Body Composition							
Body Weight (kg)	73.8 ± 16.0	73.1 ± 15.8	0.04	59.3 ± 17.2	59.0 ± 16.7	0.53	
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.5 ± 4.5	25.0 ± 4.7	0.01	19.8 ± 4.2	20.0 ± 4.1	0.41	
Lean mass (kg)	53.1 ± 9.2	52.7 ± 9.3	0.32	54.3 ± 13.8	54.8 ± 13.1	0.81	
Fat mass (kg)	18.3 ± 10.6	18.1 ± 10.6	0.02	4.1 ± 1.2	4.2 ± 0.9	0.76	
Percent Fat Mass (%)	24.3 ± 10.8	24.1 ± 10.9	0.16	7.4 ± 1.6	7.6 ± 1.2	0.81	
Plasma leptin	9.5 ± 10.2	71.0 ± 25.3	0.0001	62.0 ± 79.4	3.7 ± 8.6	0.008	
(ng/dL) ^A							

854 Table 2. Diet and body composition off- and on-metreleptin treatment.

B55 Data represent mean \pm SD. ^AThe plasma leptin assay measures both endogenous leptin and

856 exogenous metreleptin.

	Initiation (n=14)			Withdrawal (n=8)		
	OFF ON ON		ON	ON	OFF	
	(Period 1)	(Period 2)	(6-month)	(Period 1)	(Period 2)	
Glycemic						
Parameters						
Fasting glucose	152 ± 42	136 ± 34^{A}	126 ± 26^{B}	97 ± 18	105 ± 33	
(mg/dL)						
Fasting insulin	40 [23,57]	33 [18,63]	25 [12,66]	20 [13,28]	31 [15,51]	
(µU/mL)						
Fasting c-peptide	4.0 ± 1.6	4.2 ± 1.9	3.4 ± 1.9	3.5 ± 1.4	5.2 ± 2.2	
(ng/mL)						
Urinary glucose	2.0 [0.2,10.3]	1.2 [0.2,7.2] ^A	0.4 [0.1,0.6]	0.2 [0.1,0.7]	0.3 [0.1,2.4]	
excretion (g/24h)						
Lipid Parameters						
Triglycerides	556	326	304	133	165	
(mg/dL)	[224,1144]	[162,660] ^A	[122,547]	[78,215]	[99,361]	
Total cholesterol	241 ± 116	171 ± 48^A	$171 \pm 58^{\text{B}}$	129 ± 32	123 ± 29	
(mg/dL)						
LDL-C (mg/dL)	87 ± 34	78 ± 33	73 ± 32	68 ± 27	54 ± 24	
HDL-C (mg/dL)	27 ± 5	25 ± 5	28 ± 5	32 ± 7	29 ± 8	
FFA (mEq/L)	0.43 ± 0.17	0.42 ± 0.18	0.41 ± 0.10	0.20 ± 0.09	0.23 ± 0.06	

857 Table 3. Metabolic characteristics off- and on-metreleptin treatment.

858

FFA, free fatty acids. Data represent mean \pm SD or geometric mean [25th,75th centile] based on

distribution of data. ^ASignificant difference between Period 1 vs Period 2, ^BSignificant difference

860 between Period 1 vs six-month visit. There were no significant differences between Period 2 vs

861 six-month visit in the initiation cohort.

		Initiation (n=12	Withdrawal (n=6)		
	OFF	ON	ON	ON	OFF
	(Period 1)	(Period 2)	(6-month)	(Period 1)	(Period 2)
IMCL (%)					
Lateral	7.7 ± 4.1	7.7 ± 3.5	7.2 ± 4.9	3.9 ± 3.4	3.7 ± 3.3
Vastus					
Tibialis	8.0 ± 4.7	7.9 ± 3.9	6.6 ± 3.4	4.9 ± 1.8	6.3 ± 3.7
Anterior					
Soleus	11.8 ± 6.3	18.0 ± 10.1	13.3 ± 7.6	7.9 ± 6.0	10.0 ± 7.8
EMCL (%)					
Lateral	19.4 ± 10.5	16.5 ± 11.8	$13.4\pm9.2^{\rm A}$	2.5 ± 2.2	4.4 ± 2.9
Vastus					
Tibialis	27.5 ± 16.4	28.6 ± 22.4	18.0 ± 12.8 ^A	5.0 ± 4.2	6.2 ± 3.9
Anterior					
Soleus	50.8 ± 25.7	41.5 ± 23.0	$54.6\pm39.1^{\mathrm{B}}$	5.4 ± 3.6	6.3 ± 2.8

862 Table 4. Intramyocellular (IMCL) and extramyocellular (EMCL) lipid content in muscles
863 during off- and on-metreleptin treatment.

B64 Data show mean ± SD of all subjects. There were no significant differences between Period 1 vs
 B65 Period 2, ^ASignificant decrease from Period 1 to six-month visit, and ^BSignificant increase from

866 Period 2 to six-month visit.