JAM3 maintains leukemia-initiating cell self-renewal through LRP5/AKT/β-catenin/CCND1 signaling
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Leukemia-initiating cells (LICs) are responsible for the initiation, development, and relapse of leukemia. The identification of novel therapeutic LIC targets is critical to curing leukemia. In this report, we reveal that junctional adhesion molecule 3 (JAM3) is highly enriched in both mouse and human LICs. Leukemogenesis is almost completely abrogated upon Jam3 deletion during serial transplantations in an MLL-AF9-induced murine acute myeloid leukemia model. In contrast, Jam3 deletion does not affect the functions of mouse hematopoietic stem cells. Moreover, knockdown of JAM3 leads to a dramatic decrease in the proliferation of both human leukemia cell lines and primary LICs. JAM3 directly associates with LRP5 to activate the downstream PDK1/AKT pathway, followed by the downregulation of GSK3β and activation of β-catenin/CCND1 signaling, to maintain the self-renewal ability and cell cycle entry of LICs. Thus, JAM3 may serve as a functional LIC marker and play an important role in the maintenance of LIC stemness through unexpected LRP5/PDK1/AKT/GSK3β/β-catenin/CCND1 signaling pathways but not via its canonical role in cell junctions and migration. JAM3 may be an ideal therapeutic target for the eradication of LICs without influencing normal hematopoiesis.

Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is one of the most common malignant hematopoietic disorders in adults and may originate from hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) or their downstream progenitors with the accumulation of different genetic mutations. Leukemia-initiating cells (LICs) are considered to be responsible for the initiation, development, and relapse of leukemia. Because traditional strategies, such as chemotherapy or radiotherapy, cannot completely eliminate the LICs in the bone marrow (BM) niche, leukemia relapse often occurs after treatment. Although BM transplantation can cure leukemia, the difficulties in obtaining of MHC-matched donor HSCs sometimes hamper its application in the clinic. Recently, several lines of evidence have shown that leukemia could potentially be efficiently eradicated using either blocking antibodies specific to certain surface (immunogenic) molecules or chimeric antigen receptor T (CAR-T) cells (1–4). These surface molecules may also receive extrinsic regulatory signals provided by the BM special “niche” to control intrinsic genetic programs essential for LIC function. Therefore, the identification of other surface molecules specific for LIC stemness is important for screening/developing functional blocking antibodies, small-molecule chemicals, or CAR-T cells for the elimination of leukemia.
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JAM3 is highly enriched in LICs and required for their self-renewal abilities. (A) mRNA levels of JAM3 in total BM cells, CMP, GMP, MPP, ST-HSCs, LT-HSCs, YFP+ leukemia cells, YFP-Mac-1c-Kit+ LICs, and L-GMP cells was measured by quantitative RT-PCR (n = 3). (B–D) MLL-AF9+ leukemia cells were evaluated for LIC frequencies and c-Kit expression levels (MFII) in Jam3+/+ and Jam3–/– cells (n = 3; ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test). (E) Representative flow cytometric analysis of leukemia cells in the peripheral blood of recipient mice receiving transplants of WT or Jam3-null MLL-AF9+ BM cells upon the first to third transplantation. (F) Quantification data in E (n = 4–5; ***P < 0.001, 2-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post-test). PB, peripheral blood. (G–I) Survival data for recipient mice (lethally irradiated) receiving WT or Jam3-null MLL-AF9+ BM cells upon the first (H), second (I), and third transplantation (J) (n = 4–5; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, log-rank test). (J) Survival data for recipient mice (sublethally irradiated) receiving WT or Jam3-null leukemia cells upon the second transplantation (n = 5; ***P < 0.001, log-rank test). (K) Representative images of Giemsa-Wright staining for WT and Jam3-null MLL-AF9+ BM cells upon the second transplantation. (L) Quantification of the frequencies of blast cells in K (n = 3; ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test). (M) Representative images of the sizes of spleens and livers of recipient mice upon the second transplantation. (N and O) Quantification of the weight of spleens and livers in M (n = 4; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, Student’s t test). (P) Histological H&E staining of livers and spleens. (Q) Limiting dilution assays comparing the frequencies of LICs in WT and Jam3-null MLL-AF9+ BM cells. Experiments were conducted 3–5 times for validation.
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Figure 1. Jam3 is highly enriched in LICs and required for their self-renewal abilities. (A) mRNA levels of JAM3 in total BM cells, CMP, GMP, MPP, ST-HSCs, LT-HSCs, YFP+ leukemia cells, YFP-Mac-1c-Kit+ LICs, and L-GMP cells was measured by quantitative RT-PCR (n = 3). (B–D) MLL-AF9+ leukemia cells were evaluated for LIC frequencies and c-Kit expression levels (MFII) in Jam3+/+ and Jam3–/– cells (n = 3; ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test). (E) Representative flow cytometric analysis of leukemia cells in the peripheral blood of recipient mice receiving transplants of WT or Jam3-null MLL-AF9+ BM cells upon the first to third transplantation. (F) Quantification data in E (n = 4–5; ***P < 0.001, 2-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post-test). PB, peripheral blood. (G–I) Survival data for recipient mice (lethally irradiated) receiving WT or Jam3-null MLL-AF9+ BM cells upon the first (H), second (I), and third transplantation (J) (n = 4–5; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, log-rank test). (J) Survival data for recipient mice (sublethally irradiated) receiving WT or Jam3-null leukemia cells upon the second transplantation (n = 5; ***P < 0.001, log-rank test). (K) Representative images of Giemsa-Wright staining for WT and Jam3-null MLL-AF9+ BM cells upon the second transplantation. (L) Quantification of the frequencies of blast cells in K (n = 3; ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test). (M) Representative images of the sizes of spleens and livers of recipient mice upon the second transplantation. (N and O) Quantification of the weight of spleens and livers in M (n = 4; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, Student’s t test). (P) Histological H&E staining of livers and spleens. (Q) Limiting dilution assays comparing the frequencies of LICs in WT and Jam3-null MLL-AF9+ BM cells. Experiments were conducted 3–5 times for validation.

Jam3 is highly enriched in LICs and required for their self-renewal abilities. To understand the roles of Jam3 in LICs, we first examined the expression of Jam3 in a murine MLL-AF9-induced (tagged with yellow fluorescent protein [YFP]) AML model. The forced expression of MLL-AF9 in HSCs/progenitor cells usually results in leukemogenesis within 4 weeks. These AML cells only expressed myeloid cell markers (Mac-1 and Gr-1), not lymphoid cell markers (CD3 and B220; Supplemental Figure 1, A–C; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI93198DS1), as previously described (5, 31). To determine whether there was any difference of Jam3 expression levels between leukemogenesis and normal hematopoiesis, we measured the Jam3 transcript expression in total leukemia bulk cells (YFP+) and their comparable counterparts of normal BM cells, or immunophenotypic YFP-Mac-1c-Kit+ LICs initially reported by Somerville and Cleary (31) and their comparable counterparts of Lin-Sca-1-c-Kit+CD34+Flk2+ HSCs, using quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR). Interestingly, the level of Jam3 in mouse YFP-Mac-1c-Kit+ LICs was approximately 45–15–13-fold higher than those in the normal BM cells, HSCs, or YFP BM leukemia cells, respectively (Figure 1A). Jam3 transcript was also measured in different hematopoietic/myeloid compartments, including long-term HSCs (LT-HSCs), short-term HSCs (ST-HSCs), multipotent progenitors (MPPs), common myeloid progenitors (CMPs), and granulocyte-monocyte progenitors (GMPs), which showed that LT-HSCs had a slightly higher level of Jam3 expression than ST-HSCs, MPPs, CMPs, and GMPs (Figure 1A). Since some groups (such as Scott Armstrong’s group, ref. 32) have revealed that LICs are enriched in Lin IL7R-Sca-1 c-Kit+CD34+Flk2–H/III–L-GMP cells, we also measured the Jam3 transcript in L-GMP cells and found that they had an expression level of Jam3 similar to that of YFP-Mac-1c-Kit+ LICs, which was around 16- and 18-fold greater than those of normal LT-HSCs and GMP, respectively (Figure 1A). Moreover, although only 30% of AML cells were positive for Jam3 expression (Figure 1B), this population contains approximately 5.0-fold more immunophenotypic LICs (52.3% vs. 10.4%; Figure 1C) and expressed approximately 5.6-fold higher intensities of the LIC marker c-Kit compared with Jam3– cells (mean fluorescence intensity [MFI], 13.3 vs. 2.4; Figure 1D). Consistently, LICs had much higher percentages of Jam3+ cells than mature leukemia cells (41.3% vs. 14.6%; Supplemental Figure 1, D and E). These unique characteristics of Jam3 caused us to further study its functions in LICs.

Using WT and Jam3-knockout mice (Jam3+/+ and Jam3–/– hereafter), we then examined the frequencies of WT and Jam3-null YFP+ leukemia cells of primary recipient mice, which showed no significant differences in the peripheral blood 3 weeks after transplantation (Figure 1, E and F). Surprisingly, the recipients receiving Jam3-null cells had slightly reduced survival time compared with their WT counterparts (36 vs. 45 days; Figure 1G), although the LIC frequencies from both peripheral blood and BM (Supplemental Figure 1, F–H) and the weight and infiltration of the leukemic livers or spleens were not significantly altered between these 2 groups (Supplemental Figure 1, I and J). We speculated that the decreased survival in Jam3-null primary recipient mice might be caused by the increased frequency of Jam3-null myeloid progenitors as previously described (22). To further pinpoint the functions of Jam3 in LICs, we performed serial transplantation with the same number of AML cells and found that Jam3-null YFP+ leukemia cells in peripheral blood were markedly decreased compared with WT controls after both the second and third transplantations (39.7% vs. 96.3% and 13.9% vs. 74.1%, respectively; Figure 1, E and
Figure 2. JAM3 promotes the self-renewal of LICs through enhanced cell cycle entry. (A) Representative flow cytometric analysis for WT and Jam3-null L-GMP cells of the recipients upon the secondary transplantation. (B) Quantification of frequencies of L-GMP cells in A (n = 3; ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test). (C and D) Survival data for recipient mice receiving WT or Jam3-null L-GMP cells upon the second to third transplantation (n = 5; **P < 0.01, log-rank test). (E-G) Representative images of colony formation of WT and Jam3-null YFP+Mac-1+c-Kit+ LICs of the secondary recipients in the first plating (E). The colony numbers (F) and total cell numbers of colonies in E (G) were counted (n = 3; ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test). (H-J) Representative images of colony formation of WT and Jam3-null leukemia cells clonogenically derived from the first plating (H). The colony numbers (I) and total cell numbers of colonies in H (J) were calculated (n = 3; ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test). (K) Cell cycle status was determined in WT and Jam3-null YFP+Mac-1+c-Kit+ LICs of the secondary recipients. (L) Quantitative analysis of the cell cycle distribution in K (n = 4–6; ***P < 0.001, 2-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post-test). (M) CFSE-labeled WT and Jam3-null leukemia cells of secondary recipients were transplanted and analyzed for the homed CFSE+ cells in the recipients’ BM and spleen (n = 5–6). (N) WT and Jam3-null leukemia cells of secondary recipients were transplanted into the recipient mice by intratibial injection, followed by the examination of leukemia cells 2 weeks later (n = 5; ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test). (O) Representative flow cytometric analysis of apoptosis of WT or Jam3-null YFP+Mac-1+c-Kit+ LICs. (P) Quantification of data in O (n = 4). Experiments were conducted 3–5 times for validation.
showed that the deletion of JAM3 resulted in an 85.6% decrease in the functional LICs compared with the WT counterparts (1 in 208 vs. 1 in 30; Figure 1Q and Supplemental Table 1). Moreover, we also used 2 other leukemia models, the AML1-ETO9a–induced M2 AML model (33) and the N-Myc–induced B cell acute lymphoid leukemia model (34) (B-ALL), to test whether JAM3 plays a specific role in certain types of leukemia. As shown in Supplemental Figure 1, K–O, although Jam3 transcript was expressed in both AML1-ETO9a + and N-Myc + leukemia cells as determined by quantitative RT-PCR, recipient mice receiving Jam3-null AML1-ETO9a + AML cells, but not N-Myc + B-ALL cells, had significantly extended survival during serial transplantation. In contrast, we found that JAM3 had no effect on normal hematopoiesis, as evaluated by a competitive transplantation (Supplemental Figure 1, P and Q), which is consistent with previously reported data (22). Interestingly, no significant changes of HSC self-renewal and differentiation abilities were found in Jam3-null F). Consistently, recipient mice receiving Jam3-null leukemia cells had remarkably delayed survival times during the subsequent serial transplantation (45 vs. 20 days and 52 vs. 18.5 days for the second and third transplantation, respectively; Figure 1, H and I). More strikingly, the development of leukemia was completely abolished when primary leukemia cells were injected into sublethally irradiated recipient mice (Figure 1J).

Meanwhile, Giemsa-Wright staining displayed a significantly lower frequency of the Jam3-null blast cells in the BM compared with WT controls upon secondary transplantation (Figure 1, K and L), which was consistent with a notable decrease in the weight of spleens and livers of Jam3-null leukemic recipient mice (Figure 1, M–O). The histological H&E staining also revealed much less infiltration in the recipient mice injected with Jam3-null leukemia cells (Figure 1P). More importantly, the LIC frequencies were further determined in WT and Jam3-null leukemia cells of secondary recipient mice by a limiting dilution analysis, which showed that the deletion of Jam3 resulted in an 85.6% decrease in the functional LICs compared with the WT counterparts (1 in 208 vs. 1 in 30; Figure 1Q and Supplemental Table 1).

Moreover, we also used 2 other leukemia models, the AML1-ETO9a–induced M2 AML model (33) and the N-Myc–induced B cell acute lymphoid leukemia model (34) (B-ALL), to test whether JAM3 plays a specific role in certain types of leukemia. As shown in Supplemental Figure 1, K–O, although Jam3 transcript was expressed in both AML1-ETO9a + and N-Myc + leukemia cells as determined by quantitative RT-PCR, recipient mice receiving Jam3-null AML1-ETO9a + AML cells, but not N-Myc + B-ALL cells, had significantly extended survival during serial transplantation. In contrast, we found that JAM3 had no effect on normal hematopoiesis, as evaluated by a competitive transplantation (Supplemental Figure 1, P and Q), which is consistent with previously reported data (22). Interestingly, no significant changes of HSC self-renewal and differentiation abilities were found in Jam3-null F). Consistently, recipient mice receiving Jam3-null leukemia cells had remarkably delayed survival times during the subsequent serial transplantation (45 vs. 20 days and 52 vs. 18.5 days for the second and third transplantation, respectively; Figure 1, H and I). More strikingly, the development of leukemia was completely abolished when primary leukemia cells were injected into sublethally irradiated recipient mice (Figure 1J).
HSCs upon serial transplantation (Supplemental Figure 1, R–U). Taken together, these data suggest that JAM3 is required to maintain the self-renewal ability of LICs, but not HSCs, which indicates that JAM3 may be an ideal target for LICs. Because we observed that the self-renewal ability was dramatically reduced upon the second or third transplantation, we mainly focused on the phenotypes in secondary recipient mice for subsequent studies.

**JAM3 promotes the self-renewal of LICs through enhanced cell cycle entry.** To further understand how JAM3 maintains the self-renewal of LICs, the frequency of YFP⁺Mac-1⁺c-Kit⁻ LICs was analyzed in the BM of the secondary recipient mice. Surprisingly, we did not observe significantly different WT and *Jam3*-null LIC frequencies (Supplemental Figure 2, A and B). Because the Lin⁺ IL7R⁻Sca-1⁻c-Kit⁻CD34⁻FcR-II/III⁻ L-GMP population has been suggested to be another, more stringent way to determine the immunophenotypic LICs, we measured the L-GMP cell frequency and demonstrated that the percentage of *Jam3*-null L-GMP cells was markedly reduced compared with the WT population (Figure 2, A and B). More importantly, the median leukemia latency in *Jam3*-null L-GMP cells from both primary and secondary recipient mice was significantly extended (Figure 2, C and D), indicating that JAM3 is indispensable for the self-renewal abilities of LICs. Interestingly, a surrogate functional analysis with methylcellulose medium in vitro showed that the clonogenic potential of *Jam3*-null YFP⁺Mac-1⁺c-Kit⁻ LICs was almost completely abolished, as indicated by the remarkable reduction in both the colony number and total cell number during primary plating (colony number, 188 vs. 24; cell number, 3.0 × 10⁵ vs. 0.5 × 10⁵; Figure 2, E–G). The secondary plating with clonogenically derived leukemia cells further revealed a marked decrease in colony size and total cell number, although the colony number was only slightly reduced (Figure 2, H–J). These data also suggest that *jam3*-null YFP⁺Mac-1⁺c-Kit⁻ LICs have severe functional defects, although the LIC percentage is similar to WT counterparts (Supplemental Figure 2, A and B).

Several lines of evidence indicate that LICs may exist in a nongenescent population of cells controlled by certain cyclins or other cell cycle regulators, such as CCND2 (35). Recently, Iwasaki et al. provided interesting data and showed that CD93 marks nongenescent human LICs by controlling their self-renewal through the inhibition of CDKN2B (8). These studies prompted us to analyze the cell cycle status in *jam3*-null LICs by Ki-67/Hoechst 33342 staining, which demonstrated that there was a remarkably increased frequency of *jam3*-null LICs in G₁ phase in comparison with WT controls (60.8% vs. 45.2%), but a 30% decrease in the S-G₂-M fraction (Figure 2, K and L). Similar changes in the cell cycle distribution were found using Pyronin Y/Hoechst 33342 staining (Supplemental Figure 2, C and D). Moreover, to understand whether the cell cycle phenotype starts after transplantation or soon after MLL-AF9 is expressed, we examined the cell cycle status at different time points during primary transplantation. We did not find any cell cycle changes between WT and *jam3*-null LICs 48 hours after MLL-AF9 transduction (Supplemental Figure 2, E and F) or 2 weeks after transplantation (Supplemental Figure 2G). However, G₁ cell cycle arrest could be detected 4 weeks after transplantation (Supplemental Figure 2H), indicating that cell cycle phenotype starts after transplantation or during the late stage of proliferation/self-renewal of LICs in vivo, which is further enhanced upon serial transplantation. These results also suggest that the reduced self-renewal ability upon *jam3* deletion may be caused by the dysregulation of certain cell cycle regulators.

Since many studies have reported that JAM3 plays a role in cell-cell interaction by interplaying with certain unknown soluble or membrane-bound molecules (27, 36, 37), JAM3 may interact with stromal cells in the BM niche to regulate LIC activities. To address this possibility, we then performed experiments by culturing YFP⁺Mac-1⁺c-Kit⁻ LICs with the BM stromal cell line OP9-DL1 in either normoxic or hypoxic conditions (1% O₂) to mimic BM niche. Although a 1.5- or 2.0-fold increase in cell number from WT LICs was observed when cultured without stromal cells in normoxic or hypoxic conditions, respectively, a 4- or 4.5-fold greater cell number was found upon coculture with OP9-DL1 cells compared with that of *jam3*-null cells (Supplemental Figure 2, I, J, M). Similarly, this coculture system revealed that WT LICs gave rise to many more colonies than their counterparts in both conditions (Supplemental Figure 2, K, L, and N). These data indicate that stromal cells may be involved in the cell-cell interaction and support leukemia growth in vivo.

Because JAM3 has also been reported to be a key adhesion molecule in controlling cell migration and adhesion, to exclude the possibility that defective LIC migration or adhesion contributes to the effects of *jam3* loss, we first analyzed the homing ability of *jam3*-null leukemia cells. Surprisingly, there was no significant difference in the frequencies of WT and *jam3*-null CFSE-labeled total BM leukemia cells that homed to the BM or spleen 16 hours after injection (Figure 2M and Supplemental Figure 2O). Furthermore, a total of 2 × 10⁵ YFP⁺Mac-1⁺c-Kit⁻ LICs were injected into the lethally irradiated mice, followed by analyses of the homed cells in spleens and BM at 6, 12, and 18 hours after transplantation. However, no significant differences in homing abilities were found between WT and *jam3*-null LICs (Supplemental Figure 2, P and Q). To further test whether JAM3 controls the migration of LICs out of the BM, *jam3*-null leukemia cells were transplanted into recipient mice by intratibial injection, followed by the detection of YFP⁺ leukemia cells in the BM, peripheral blood, and spleen. Similarly, the frequencies of leukemia cells were simultaneously reduced in all the tested tissues (Figure 2N). *jam3* deletion also had no effect on the migration and adhesion abilities of LICs as evaluated by in vitro Transwell assay (Supplemental Figure 2R) and OP9-DL1 cell-mediated adhesion analysis (Supplemental Figure 2S), respectively. Together with the homing analyses, these results clearly show that JAM3 does not affect the migration and adhesion ability of LICs. JAM3 also had no effect on the apoptosis or differentiation of LICs, as evaluated by annexin V and 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) staining (Figure 2, O and P) or flow cytometric analyses of the Gr-1 expression levels on BM leukemia cells (Supplemental Figure 2, T and U). Thus, JAM3 is mainly required for the G₁-S transition but not for migration, adhesion, apoptosis, and differentiation, which contributes to the self-renewal of LICs and leukemia development.

**JAM3 maintains the CCND1 level to promote the self-renewal of LICs.** To unravel the underlying molecular mechanisms that control the self-renewal and cell cycle arrest in *jam3*-null LICs, WT and *jam3*-null LICs were subjected to microarray analyses. Because we mainly found that *jam3* deletion led to the loss of self-renewal and...
cell cycle changes of LICs, we first focused on the signal pathways that might be involved in self-renewal and cell cycle regulation, such as signal transduction and phosphorylation pathways in Gene Ontology (GO) analysis (Figure 3A) and Wnt signaling and hematopoietic cell lineage in Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis (Figure 3B), and consistently demonstrated that several such related genes (Mef2c, Bmi1, Camkkid, Camk4, and Gata2 for self-renewal; Cdk4 and Ccnd1 for cell cycle; Ccnd1, Fzd4, and Myc for Wnt signaling) were notably reduced in Jam3-null LICs compared with WT controls (Supplemental Figure 3A). On the other side, we did not observe notable difference in migration or cell adhesion (Figure 2N and Supplemental Figure 2, P and Q) and apoptosis (Figure 2, O and P), which prompted us to speculate that the changes in migration, cell adhesion, or apoptosis in GO or NF-κB pathway in KEGG might not be important for the Jam3-null phenotype. Meanwhile, given that the mice were lethally irradiated and the immune system was destroyed before transplantation, and little evidence supported that Jam3 was important for immune response, we believed that the immune response in GO or TNF pathway in KEGG also might not be the potential candidate.

We then validated the mRNA expression levels of these candidates by quantitative RT-PCR and demonstrated that Camkkid, Camk4, Gata2, and Ccnd1 transcripts were markedly downregulated (Figure 3C), indicating that they may become downstream targets of Jam3. Because Ccnd1 serves as a key cell cycle regulator for the G1-S transition and is one of the downstream targets of Wnt signaling, which is also consistent with the G1 phase arrest and downregulation of Wnt signaling in Jam3-null LICs (Figure 2, K and L, and Figure 3B), it is very likely that Ccnd1 is a potential target of Jam3. Consistent with a 99% reduction in the mRNA level of Ccnd1, Western blotting analysis also showed that the CCND1 protein level was almost completely abolished in Jam3-null LICs (Figure 3D). Because Ccnd1 overexpression in Jam3-null cells had markedly reduced survival compared with mice injected with Jam3-null control cells, which was comparable to the WT counterparts. In contrast, the overexpression of Ccnd1 had no influence on the WT leukemia cells (Figure 3E), indicating that the physiological protein level of CCND1 is critical to maintain the stemness of LICs.

Figure 4. JAM3 collaborates with LRP5 to activate β-catenin/CCND1 signaling. (A) Phospho-β-catenin (S552) and total β-catenin levels were evaluated between WT and Jam3-null YFP+Mac-1+c-Kit+ LICs by immunoblotting. (B) β-Catenin levels were compared between WT and Jam3-null YFP+Mac-1+c-Kit+ LICs by immunofluorescence staining. Scale bars: 5 µm. (C) A constitutively active form of phospho-β-catenin (S37A, β-catenin5A) was subcloned in the pCDH-EF1a-T2A-mCherry vector and ectopically expressed in Jam3-null leukemia cells, which were then injected into recipient mice. Survival was compared among the mice receiving WT cells, Jam3-null cells, and β-catenin5A-overexpressing WT or Jam3-null cells (n = 5–6; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, log-rank test). (D) Phospho-β-catenin (S552) and total β-catenin levels were validated in leukemia cells from the rescue experiment in C. (E) The cell cycle distribution in YFP+Mac-1-c-Kit+ LICs from the rescue experiment in C was determined using Ki-67 and Hoechst 33342 staining (n = 3; ***P < 0.001, 2-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post-test). (F) Streptipl-tagged Jam3 and FLAG-tagged LRP5 were overexpressed in 293T cells, and their lysates were coimmunoprecipitated by streptipl beads, followed by Western blotting analysis for FLAG (LRP5). (G) A reverse coimmunoprecipitation experiment was performed after LRP5-FLAG pull-down, followed by Western blotting analysis for streptipl (Jam3). The empty vector was used as the control. Experiments were conducted 3 times for validation.
The ectopically expressed levels of β-catenin were confirmed by immunoblotting (Figure 4D and Supplemental Figure 4, A and B). Similarly to Ccnd1, overexpression of β-catenin was also able to reverse the G1-S transition, as measured by Ki-67/Hoechst 33342 staining (Figure 4E).

To determine how JAM3 influences the protein level of β-catenin, coimmunoprecipitation experiments were performed to test whether JAM3 was directly associated with the Wnt receptors and coreceptors, such as LRP5, FZD1, and FZD4. Interestingly, LRP5, but not FZD1, FZD4, or other candidate receptors, could be detected when JAM3 was pulled down (Figure 4F and data not shown). Conversely, JAM3 could be detected upon LRP5 pull-down (Figure 4G). These results suggest that JAM3 interacts with LRP5 to promote β-catenin activation and translocation into the nucleus to activate downstream targets. In addition, it seems that JAM3 can also stabilize the protein level of β-catenin.

LRP5 interacts with PDK1 to activate AKT signaling to inhibit GSK3β activities. To further understand how JAM3 affects the β-catenin/CCND1 pathway, we further examined the level of effect on WT leukemia cells (Figure 4C). The ectopically expressed levels of β-catenin were confirmed by immunoblotting (Figure 4D and Supplemental Figure 4, A and B). Similarly to Ccnd1, overexpression of β-catenin was also able to reverse the G1-S transition arrest, as measured by Ki-67/Hoechst 33342 staining (Figure 4E).

To determine how JAM3 influences the protein level of β-catenin, coimmunoprecipitation experiments were performed to test whether JAM3 was directly associated with the Wnt receptors and coreceptors, such as LRP5, FZD1, and FZD4. Interestingly, LRP5, but not FZD1, FZD4, or other candidate receptors, could be detected when JAM3 was pulled down (Figure 4F and data not shown). Conversely, JAM3 could be detected upon LRP5 pull-down (Figure 4G). These results suggest that JAM3 interacts with LRP5 to promote β-catenin activation and translocation into the nucleus to activate downstream targets. In addition, it seems that JAM3 can also stabilize the protein level of β-catenin.

LRP5 interacts with PDK1 to activate AKT signaling to inhibit GSK3β activities. To further understand how JAM3 affects the β-catenin/CCND1 pathway, we further examined the level of...
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JAM3 expression is found to be significantly elevated in AML cells compared with normal control (Supplemental Figure 7C). Although the data for JAM3 expression in MLL-AF9+ AML are not available in these databases, we found that JAM3 expression level in MLL-rearranged leukemia [t(11q23)/MLL] is similar to that in HSCs (Supplemental Figure 7B). However, it is possible that LICs from these different types of AMLs have higher levels of JAM3 than that in HSCs, since current databases do not provide this information.

[GEO] GSE42519 for normal hematopoiesis and GSE13159 for AML cells), which shows that JAM3 expression level increases in AML with t(15;17) or AML with complex aberrant karyotype (AML complex) compared with HSCs or GMP cells, but not in AML with inv(16)/t(16;16), AML with t(8;21), or AML with t(11q23)/MLL (Supplemental Figure 7B). Consistently, in other RNA sequencing expression data of 9,736 tumors and 8,587 normal samples from the The Cancer Genome Atlas project (TCGA; https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/tcga) and the Genotype-Tissue Expression project (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/), JAM3 expression is found to be significantly elevated in AML cells compared with that in normal control (Supplemental Figure 7C). Although the data for JAM3 expression in MLL-AF9+ AML are not available in these databases, we found that JAM3 expression level in MLL-rearranged leukemia [t(11q23)/MLL] is similar to that in HSCs (Supplemental Figure 7B). However, it is possible that LICs from these different types of AMLs have higher levels of JAM3 than that in HSCs, since current databases do not provide this information.
We believed that the reduction in number of primary AML cells was due to the G1 cell cycle arrest (Supplemental Figure 7, F and G), which might further lead to the apoptosis during in vitro culture (Supplemental Figure 7, H and I). Meanwhile, we knocked down the JAM3 expression in human cord blood CD34+ cells and revealed that the engraftment remained unchanged 2 months after transplantation (Supplemental Figure 7, J and K), indicating that JAM3 had no effect on the stemness maintenance of normal human stem progenitor cells, which was similar to what we found in murine HSCs. In summary, a working model is depicted in Supplemental Figure 7L, indicating that JAM3 interacts with LRP5 to activate the downstream PDK1/AKT pathway, followed by the downregulation of GSK3β and activation of β-catenin/CCND1 signaling to maintain the self-renewal ability and cell cycle entry of LICs. Conversely, JAM3 does not affect normal hematopoiesis. These findings support the notion that JAM3 serves as a functional LIC marker and may be an ideal target for eradicating LICs without affecting normal HSC functions.

Figure 7. JAM3 supports the growth of human acute myeloid LICs. (A) Representative flow cytometric analysis of JAM3 expression on the immunophenotypic Lin CD34–CD38–CD90–CD45RA– LICs (LMPP cells) and CD34–CD38– differentiated human AML cells (AML#7 in Supplemental Table 2). (B) Quantification of the MFIs for JAM3 expression on LMPP cells or CD34–CD38– differentiated leukemia cells in A (AML#2, #5, #6, #8 in Supplemental Table 2; n = 5; *P < 0.05, Student’s t test). (C) Quantification of the relative frequency of JAM3+ cells in LMPP or CD34–CD38– differentiated leukemia cells in A (n = 5; *P < 0.05, Student’s t test). (D–H) Cell numbers of 5 patient AML samples were counted at the indicated days after knockdown of JAM3 by sh1188 or scrambled shRNA (AML#1–AML#5 in Supplemental Table 2; n = 3; **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, 2-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post-test). Experiments were conducted 3–5 times for validation.

Interestingly, it seems that the rates of point mutation and copy number variation (CNV) are relatively low in AML samples (0.03% and 0.12%, respectively), while the frequency of gene overexpression (8.14%) is much higher than point mutation and CNV (Supplemental Table 3), which is consistent with our findings that deletion of Jam3 in murine LICs leads to a notably delayed leukemogenesis. More importantly, the JAM3 expression level was inversely correlated with the overall survival of AML patients, showing that the lower expression level of JAM3 in AML patients (0%–50%, top 50%) led to the much longer overall survival (Supplemental Figure 7D). Because it seems that not enough MLL-AF9 cases are available in the database for a similar plot for the overall survival, we showed a plot with all the MLL-rearranged AML cases (TCGA AML database, https://cancergenome.nih.gov/; accessed November 5, 2012), which showed that JAM3 expression negatively regulates the overall survival of patients (Supplemental Figure 7E).

We thus knocked down JAM3 in several human M2 (1 case, AML#4) and M5 AML samples (4 cases, AML#1, AML#2, AML#3, and AML#5) with or without MLL-AF9 fusion using sh1188 and found that the JAM3-knockdown CD34+ LICs from all the samples had a notable delayed growth ability, indicating that JAM3 is also required for the proliferation of human LICs (Figure 7, D–H). We believed that the reduction in number of primary AML cells was due to the G1 cell cycle arrest (Supplemental Figure 7, F and G), which might further lead to the apoptosis during in vitro culture (Supplemental Figure 7, H and I). Meanwhile, we knocked down the JAM3 expression in human cord blood CD34+ cells and revealed that the engraftment remained unchanged 2 months after transplantation (Supplemental Figure 7, J and K), indicating that JAM3 had no effect on the stemness maintenance of normal human stem progenitor cells, which was similar to what we found in murine HSCs. In summary, a working model is depicted in Supplemental Figure 7L, indicating that JAM3 interacts with LRP5 to activate the downstream PDK1/AKT pathway, followed by the downregulation of GSK3β and activation of β-catenin/CCND1 signaling to maintain the self-renewal ability and cell cycle entry of LICs. Conversely, JAM3 does not affect normal hematopoiesis. These findings support the notion that JAM3 serves as a functional LIC marker and may be an ideal target for eradicating LICs without affecting normal HSC functions.

Discussion

JAM3 is known to be involved in the regulation of cell migration or polarization of many cell types, including endothelial cells, neural stem cells, and spermatocytes. Herein, we have provided...
several lines of unexpected evidence showing that JAM3 does not affect the migration, adhesion, or homing ability of LICs but that it is critical for the maintenance of the self-renewal ability of LICs through LRP5/PDK1/akt/β-catenin/CCND1 signaling. These results suggest that JAM3 has distinct functions in different cell types, such as solid tumors or leukemia cells. Moreover, Jam3 is highly expressed on LICs (Figure 1A) and is only enriched in approximately 30% of leukemia cells (but this population consists of approximately 5-fold more immunophenotypic LICs; Figure 1B-D), indicating that Jam3 may be an ideal surface marker for the enrichment of functional LICs as exhibited by the dramatically extended survival times of Jam3-null leukemic mice in this study. It is also possible that Jam3 is enriched in other types of cancer stem cells (such as solid tumors) and has overwhelming influences on the cell fates of cancer stem cells.

In this study, we demonstrated that JAM3 directly interacts with LRP5 to enhance the PDK1/akt pathway or inhibit GSK3β signaling to activate the downstream targets of β-catenin/CCND1, although the underlying details are not fully understood. To our knowledge, this is the first report showing that JAM3 is associated with LRP5, although which domain of JAM3 is involved in this interaction remains unknown. Mandicourt et al. have shown that serine 281 phosphorylation is critical for the establishment of tight junctions and cell polarity (39). It will be interesting to further explore whether the Jam3/Lrp5 interaction is also dependent on serine 281 phosphorylation or whether other phosphorylation sites are required for Jam3’s role in leukemogenesis. Although LRP5 seems to be able to recruit both PDK1 and GSK3β to enhance the downstream β-catenin/CCND1 activities, our data show that constitutively active AKT signaling can partially rescue the loss of JAM3 function (Figure 5D), indicating that Jam3/LRP5/PDK1/akt, but not Jam3/LRP5/GSK3β, control the β-catenin/CCND1 activities during leukemogenesis. Meanwhile, we also observed that the total protein levels of PDK1 and β-catenin were notably decreased in Jam3-null LICs, suggesting that Jam3/LRP5 signaling has additional roles in maintaining the stability of these proteins. More efforts are required to fully illustrate the underlying regulatory network of Jam3/LRP5/PDK1/akt/β-catenin/CCND1 signaling.

JAM3 belongs to the immunoglobulin superfamily and is an important adhesion molecule with multiple functions. Jam3 may interact with other adhesion molecules, such as ITGB3, to regulate cell permeability (41) or adhesion/migration (39). We also demonstrated that Jam3 directly associates with LRP5, which may interact with ITGB3 or other surface molecules to trigger downstream signaling. Currently, Jam2 has been identified as a ligand for Jam3, and their interaction is important for the homing of human lymphoma cells to lymphoid organs (21). It will be interesting and important to know whether there are other ligands that have a much higher affinity for Jam3 than Jam2 does and whether the microenvironment also plays a role in Jam3-mediated leukemogenesis. A delineation of all the underlying extracellular interactions and intracellular signaling pathways induced by the Jam3 complex will benefit the development of cancer treatment strategies using anti-JAM3 antibodies or other small-molecule chemicals.

Currently, it is still controversial whether LICs reside in a quiescent cell population. Although many studies have indicated that quiescent LICs contribute to leukemia development (42–44), some studies have also suggested that there is a subset of actively cycling leukemia cells enriched for LIC activities (8). Cell cycle regulators, such as CDK6, may also differentially affect the maintenance of HSC or LIC activities (45). Consistently, our current data also show that another cell cycle regulator, CCND1, is important for the self-renewal of LICs. Loss of CCND1 leads to cell cycle arrest in G1 phase, which dramatically delays leukemogenesis.

Whether other cyclin proteins or cyclin-dependent kinases are also involved in the regulation of LIC stemness requires further investigation. It seems that tumor-initiating cells may not need to sustain a quiescent status compared with normal counterparts, although the underlying mechanisms remain largely unknown. Identification of other molecules that control the cell cycle status of LICs will further consolidate the notion that the quiescence and stemness of LICs are connected. In summary, we have revealed a novel role of Jam3 in sustaining the self-renewal capacity of LICs, but not HSCs, which is fine-tuned by the unexpected pathways of LRP5/PDK1/akt/GSK3β/β-catenin/CCND1. Jam3 is highly enriched in functional LICs and may be an ideal therapeutic target for the elimination of LICs with immune strategies.

**Methods**

*Mice.* The Jam3-knockout mice with a C57BL/6 background were purchased from Mutant Mouse Resource and Research Centers. The CD45.1 mice were provided by Jiang Zhu at Ruijing Hospital, Shanghai, China. C57BL/6 CD45.2 and NOD-SCID mice were ordered from Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Co. Ltd. and maintained at Animal Core Facility. All the animal experiments were approved by our institution and conducted under the Guideline for Animal Care at Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine.

Establishment and analysis of the murine AML model, rescue experiments, and competitive reconstitution analysis. A transplantable MLL-AF9-inducible murine AML model was established as previously described (9). Briefly, an MSCV-MLL-AF9-IRES-YFP–encoding plasmid (32) and a pCL-ECO packaging plasmid were transfected into 293T cells (ATCC) to produce retroviruses, followed by the infection of isolated WT and Jam3-null Lin− fetal liver cells by 2 rounds of spinoculation in the presence of 4 μg/ml Polybrene. Infected cells (2 × 10⁶ to 3 × 10⁶) were transplanted into lethally irradiated (10 Gy) C57BL/6 mice by retro-orbital injection. Serial transplantations were performed with 8,000 purified YFP+ BM leukemia cells or 600 purified L-GMP cells of either primary or secondary recipient mice. In another case, 400,000 YFP+ leukemia cells of primary recipients were transplanted into sublethally irradiated recipient mice for the evaluation of leukemia development. For the limiting dilution analysis, the indicated YFP+ WT and Jam3-null MLL-AF9+ BM cells (Supplemental Table 1) that were collected from secondary recipients were cotransplanted with 2 × 10⁶ competitor cells into lethally irradiated recipient mice. The survival times were recorded to calculate LIC frequencies using L-Calc software from Stemcell Technologies. For establishing the M2 AML or B cell acute lymphoid leukemia model, MigR1-AML1-ETO9a-IRES-GFP– or pMXs-N-Myc-IRES-GFP–encoding plasmid was used to transform hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells (33, 34).

For the rescue experiments, the retroviral plasmid MSCV-Ccnd1-IRES-mCherry, lentiviral plasmid pCDH-EF1a–β-catenin-CN-T2A-mCherry (S37A) (constitutively phosphorylated at S552), or pCDH-EF1a–AKT-CN-T2A-mCherry (E17K) (constitutively phosphorylated...
at T308; the pCDH-EF1a-T2A plasmid was provided by Chuanxin Huang, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine) was cotransfected with pCL-ECO (2:1, for retroviral plasmid) or pMD2G and pSPAX2 (4:1:3, for lentiviral plasmid) into 293T cells (ATCC), and the resulting retroviral or lentiviral supernatant was collected for the infection of WT and JAM3-null leukemia cells, followed by transplanation into recipient mice as previously described (11). The expression levels of CCND1, β-cateninCS (S552), and AKTCS (T308) were further measured in WT, JAM3-null, and Cnd1/β-cateninCS/aktCS-overexpressing JAM3-null leukemia cells by immunoblotting.

For the competitive reconstitution analysis, a total number of 2 × 10⁴ CD45.2 WT and JAM3-null donor BM cells were mixed with the same number of competitor BM cells and transplanted into 8- to 10-week-old lethally irradiated CD45.1 mice, followed by an analysis of the repopulation and multiple lineages of donor cells 4, 8, and 16 weeks after transplantation. Donor BM cells were further isolated from primary or secondary recipient mice 2–4 months after transplantation, followed by injection into the secondary and tertiary recipients, respectively.

Flow cytometric analysis. Flow cytometric analysis and cell cycle analysis were performed as previously described (9). In brief, the myeloid/lymphoid lineages and YFP+Mac-1+c-Kit+ immunophenotypic LICs of WT and JAM3-null AML were stained with anti–mouse Mac-1-APC, Gr-1-PE, CD3-APC, B220-PE, and c-Kit-PE monoclonal antibodies (BioLegend). Alternatively, lineage IL7R Sca-1-c-Kit+CD34+Flk2–CD34– immunophenotypic L-GMP cell frequencies were measured as previously described (32). Human Lin CD34+CD38-CD90-CD45RA+ LICs (LMPP cells) or cord blood Lin–CD34+CD38– JAM3+null AML recipients were stained with anti–annexin V and 7-aminoactinomycin D (BD Pharmingen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In some cases, LICs were stained with anti–annexin V and 7-aminoactinomycin D, Pyronin Y/Hoechst 33342 staining, or BrdU incorporation analysis. To evaluate the apoptotic status, LICs were transfected into 293T cells, followed by coimmunoprecipitation with anti–phospho-PDK1 (S241) (Bioworld), anti-LRP5 (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-CCND1 (Cell Signaling Technology), anti–β-catenin (Cell Signaling Technology), anti–phospho-β-catenin (S9) (Abways), anti–β-catenin (Proteintech), anti–phospho-β-catenin (S552) (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-AKT (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti–phospho-AKT (T308) (Abways), anti-PDK1 (Bioworld), anti–phospho-PDK1 (S241) (Bioworld), anti–LRP5 (Cell Signaling Technology), and anti–β-actin (Calbiochem). Detailed antibody information is listed in Supplemental Table 4.

Microarray analysis and quantitative RT-PCR. WT and JAM3-null YFP+Mac-1-c-Kit+ LICs were sorted by flow cytometry for the extraction of total RNA and subjected to microarray analysis at Bohao Biotechnology Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China. Gene ontology enrichment analysis was performed by the Bioconductor package topGO. A KEGG pathway enrichment analysis was conducted by the Bioconductor package GSEABase (https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/GSEABase.html). We have deposited the microarray data in the GEO repository (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE109311), and the accession number GSE109311 was assigned. The selected target genes were further validated by quantitative RT-PCR analysis. Briefly, first-strand cDNA was reverse transcribed using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega). PCR reactions were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In brief, 20-μl reactions with 2×ABT SYBR Green PCR Master Mix, primers, and cDNA were used for the evaluation of expression levels. The experiments were conducted in triplicate with an Applied Biosystems 7900HT PCR system. The mRNA level was normalized to the level of β-actin RNA transcripts. The primer sequences used are shown in Supplemental Table 5.

Colony-forming unit assays, Giemsas-Wright staining, and H&E staining. The indicated numbers of YFP+Mac-1-c-Kit+ LICs of secondary recipient mice were seeded in methylcellulose (M3534, Stem Cell Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The same numbers of first plated leukemia cells were replated in methylcellulose for further analysis of the self-renewal ability of LICs. The numbers of colonies were counted 7–10 days after culture. Giemsa-Wright staining was performed with BM leukemia cells of secondary recipient mice, and the frequencies of blast cells were calculated according to their typical morphologies. Liver and spleen tissues were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. Sections were stained with H&E for the analysis of the infiltration of leukemia cells.

Lentivirus construction, infection, and in vitro proliferation analysis. shRNAs targeting human JAM3, LRPS, or a scrambled shRNA were constructed using a lentiviral vector, PLKO1-GFP (sequences listed in Supplemental Table 5). Human JAM3 was subcloned into pLVX-IRES-GFP vector. Lentiviruses were produced using calcium phosphate transfection method with the packaging plasmids of pSPAX2 and pMD2G. Lentiviral supernatant was used to infect several human leukemia cell lines (Kasumi-1, HL-60, THP-1, and U937; ATCC) or primary AML cells (M2 or M5) from patients, followed by analysis for the signaling pathways or proliferation capabilities in vitro at indicated time points. Human primary AML cells were cultured in StemSpan basic medium (Stemcell Technologies) supplemented with 10 ng/ml human stem cell factor (SCF), 10 ng/ml human IL-3, and 10 ng/ml human IL-6 (all the growth factors were from PeproTech). In another experiment, JAM3-silenced human cord blood CD34+ cells were...
transplanted into NOD-SCID mice, followed by analysis for engrafted human cells 2 months after transplantation.

For the colony-forming assay of THP-1 cells, a total of 5,000 JAM3-knockdown THP-1 cells or control cells were cultured in 1,640 medium supplemented with 0.9% of methycellulose and 10% of FBS as previously described (46). Colonies were imaged and counted 7–10 days after plating.

Homing, migration, and adhesion analyses. Homing assays were performed as previously described (47, 48). Briefly, a total of 5 × 10⁶ WT and Jam3-null BM leukemia cells of primary recipient mice were labeled with 5–(and 6-) CFSE and transplanted into lethally irradiated mice. Total CFSE+ cells were examined in the BM and spleen 16 hours after injection by flow cytometry. In some cases, a total of 2 × 10⁶ WT and Jam3-null YFP+ Mac-1+c-Kit+ LICs were transplanted into recipient mice, followed by analyses for the homed cells at 6, 12, and 18 hours after injection. In another experiment, WT and Jam3-null leukemia BM cells were directly transplanted into recipient mice through intrathoracic injection, followed by the measurement of YFP+ leukemia cells in BM, peripheral blood, and spleen 2 weeks after transplantation.

Migration of YFP+ Mac-1+c-Kit+ LICs was evaluated using a Transwell with an 8-µm pore size (Corning Inc.). A total of 3 × 10⁶ WT or Jam3-null LICs were seeded in the upper chamber in IMDM medium supplemented with 0.5% BSA, and 160 ng/ml SDF1 was added into IMDM medium with 0.5% BSA in the lower chamber. Cells in the lower chamber were counted 4 hours after culture (49). For cell adhesion assay, a total of 1 × 10⁶ OP9-DL1 stromal cells (ATCC) were plated on a 96-well flat-bottom plate and cultured overnight, followed by incubation with 1 × 10⁶ LICs for 1 hour. Plates were washed 3 times with PBS, and adhered cells were resuspended and calculated according to their different morphologies in cell size (50). For the coculture of LICs with stromal cells, 3 × 10⁶ OP9-DL1 stromal cells were plated on a 24-well plate and cultured overnight, followed by incubation with 5 × 10⁶ LICs for 2–3 days in StemSpan basic medium (Stemcell Technologies) supplemented with 10 ng/ml murine SCF, 10 ng/ml murine IL-3, and 10 ng/ml murine IL-6 (all the growth factors were from PeproTech) in either normoxic or hypoxic conditions (1% O₂) (51). Cells were counted and collected for subsequent analysis for the colony-forming assay.

Antibody treatment in leukemic mice. A total of 10,000 BM AML cells were isolated from primary leukemic mice and injected into recipient mice. Right after transplantation, 100 µg functional anti-JAM3 antibodies (catalog MCA5935Z; clone CRAM-18 F26, Bio-Rad) (39, 40) or PBS were delivered into recipient mice via i.p. injection. Antibodies were given every other day for 8 days. The overall survival of recipient mice was recorded upon antibody treatment.

In silico analysis for clinical data. For the analysis of JAM3 expression in AML patients, data were extracted from the curated BloodSpot database (http://servers.binf.ku.dk/bloodspot/; GSE42519 for normal hematopoiesis and GSE13159 for AML cells), or RNA sequencing expression data of 9,736 tumors and 8,587 normal samples from the TCGA and GTEx projects (http://www.tcgagene-atlas.org/LGAtlas/LGAtlas.html#newAnalysis), and data on 46 MLL-rearranged AML cases were obtained from the TCGA AML database (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/; accessed November 5, 2012). Patients were separated into 2 groups based on whether they had high (50%–100% or Jam3 high) or low (0%–50% or Jam3 low) JAM3 expression and then analyzed by Xena Kaplan-Meier plot (http://xena.ucsc.edu/survival-plots/).

Statistics. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Unpaired 2-tailed Student’s t test was used to assess 2 independent groups. In some cases, 1- or 2-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post-test was conducted to assess the statistical significance of differences between multiple comparisons. The survival rates of the 2 groups were analyzed using a log-rank test. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05 (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001). Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software).

Study approval. BM mononuclear cells of AML samples from the patients following diagnostic work were kindly provided by the Department of Hematology at Xinhua Hospital, the First People’s Hospital, or Tongren Hospital, Shanghai jiao Tong University School of Medicine. Written informed consent was obtained from all of the patients, and all the procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee for Medical Research (IRB) at Shanghai jiao Tong University School of Medicine.
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