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Introduction

The advent of potent combination antiretroviral therapy (ART) has led to a dramatic decrease in the incidence of AIDS and AIDS-related mortality worldwide. For most patients, full suppression of HIV-1 replication can be achieved by once-daily administration of an ART regimen available as a fixed-dose combination that is safe, convenient, and well tolerated. Five single-tablet regimens are currently approved by the US FDA for the initial treatment of HIV-1 infection, and several more are in phase III clinical trials (e.g., NCT02269917; NCT01797445; NCT02345226). Some studies suggest that, with early initiation of ART, patients with HIV-1 infection may live essentially normal life spans (1), converting what was once a uniformly fatal viral infection into a chronic disease that is manageable with appropriate medical treatment.

Although effective at restoring immune function and prolonging life, ART does not eliminate HIV-1, which persists as a latent infection in resting memory CD4+ T cells and possibly in cells of monocyte origin; treatment, therefore, must be administered throughout a patient’s life. Despite the advances in HIV therapeutics of the last 30 years, concerns remain regarding the long-term safety of decades of ART, the burden of daily adherence, and the costs of providing lifelong ART on a global scale. In addition, higher-than-normal levels of immune activation persist in patients with full suppression of viral replication, and this activation is associated with an increased risk of end-organ disease, including myocardial infarction and stroke (2–4). A treatment that led to durable drug-free remission or eradication (cure) of HIV-1 could reduce the burden, cost, toxicities, and stigma associated with long-term ART and might lower immune activation and the associated risk of non-AIDS clinical events. The search for a cure therefore remains a high priority for clinicians, investigators, and patients.

As reviewed elsewhere in this issue (5), little or no transcription of proviral DNA occurs in resting CD4+ T cells that are latently infected with HIV-1. In the absence of any expression of viral proteins, these cells evade detection and destruction by the innate and adaptive immune systems. If latently infected cells could be eliminated (e.g., by ablative chemotherapy) and replaced through hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) by uninfected cells or, ideally, cells intrinsically resistant to HIV-1 infection, cure might be achieved. This approach has attracted the interest of investigators for many years but, to date, has resulted in only a single successful outcome (6).

Early experience with HSCT in HIV-infected patients

The history of HSCT in HIV-infected patients has been reviewed by Hütter and Zaia (7). Early attempts to apply HSCT as an approach to immune reconstitution in patients with AIDS or as treatment for hematologic malignancies met with little success (8–10). Unsurprisingly, in the absence of effective ART, HSCT had little impact on the course of HIV disease and most patients died of progressive immunodeficiency or recurrent leukemia or lymphoma. In one case report, a patient with refractory lymphoma received an allogeneic HSCT from a matched, unrelated donor following conditioning with cyclophosphamide and total-body irradiation (TBI), along with zidovudine, which had recently become available (11). Engraftment occurred on day 17, and the patient subsequently demonstrated complete chimerism. Virus was undetectable by culture or PCR of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) beginning at day 32 after transplant. Unfortunately, the patient died of recurrent lymphoma at day 47, but HIV-1 was undetectable by culture or PCR of a variety of tissues obtained at autopsy. A similar outcome was reported in another patient who received an allogeneic HSCT and zidovudine; HIV-1 became undetectable by PCR in blood following engraftment, but the patient succumbed to graft-versus-host diseases (GVHD) (R. Saral and H.K. Holland, cited in ref. 7). In another case, a 25-year-old woman with AIDS who received an allogeneic HSCT from a matched, unrelated donor after conditioning with busulfan and cyclophosphamide in the setting of ART with zidovudine and IFN-α2 survived for 10 months before succumbing to adult respiratory distress syndrome (12); tissues obtained at autopsy were negative for HIV-1 by PCR.
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The advent of highly active ART (HAART) in the mid-1990s transformed the treatment of HIV infection, resulting in a dramatic reduction in the incidence of AIDS and AIDS-related mortality (14). As a result, interest in the use of HSCT as a treatment for HIV infection waned, and attention shifted instead to its use for treatment of hematological malignancies and lymphomas in HIV-infected patients. Whereas earlier efforts were complicated by limited BM reserve and overall poor state of health of patients with advanced HIV disease, as well as synergistic toxicities due to the myelosuppressive effects of zidovudine (15, 16), the development of more potent and better tolerated ART regimens with fewer side effects allowed HIV-infected patients with leukemias or lymphomas to receive aggressive antineoplastic therapy, along with autologous or allogeneic HSCT (17–21). By the end of the first decade of the 2000s, the outcome of autologous HSCT in HIV-infected patients with lymphoma approached that in HIV-uninfected patients (22) and had become a standard of care (22–25).

Although clinical outcomes of HIV-infected patients with lymphomas have improved, there is little evidence that chemotherapy or HSCT have any sustained impact on the underlying HIV-1 infection. (A comprehensive discussion of the documented benefits of HSCT for HIV-infected patients with hematologic malignancies is beyond the scope of this review.) Low-level viremia in plasma and proviral DNA in PBMCs persists, despite autologous HSCT (26). Moreover, moderately intensive chemotherapy appears to have little lasting effect on HIV-1 persistence (27, 28). Possible explanations for this observation include the persistence of latently infected cells resistant to ablative chemotherapy and reinfusion of HIV-infected CD4+ T cells contaminating CD34+ stem cell preparations (26). In addition, due to concerns about pharmacological interactions between antiretroviral drugs and chemotherapeutic agents, it had become accepted practice to interrupt ART for a period of time during intensive chemotherapy or in the peritransplant period (29, 30). Consequently, even in the setting of allogeneic HSCT from an HIV-uninfected donor, donor-derived CD4+ T cells would promptly become infected. Similar results were observed in macaques infected with a chimeric simian immunodeficiency virus/HIV (SHIV) and treated with suppressive ART followed by autologous HSCT (31). The monkeys also received pretransplant ablative chemotherapy and TBI that resulted in loss of 94%–99% of circulating CD4+ T cells. Proviral SHIV DNA became undetectable after transplantation, but vireologic rebound occurred rapidly in two of the animals following discontinuation of ART (a third animal had to be euthanized for clinical reasons prior to virologic rebound, but SHIV was detected by PCR in tissues obtained at necropsy). Thus, it appears that autologous HSCT alone is insufficient to eradicate HIV infection.

Genetically modified hematopoietic stem cells for HIV cure
As clinical outcomes in HIV-infected patients who received autologous HSCT improved, interest grew in genetically modifying stem cells to render them resistant to HIV-1 infection. Numerous approaches were explored, including the use of ribozymes to target HIV-1 or cellular genes, anti-sense RNAs, transdominant mutants, RNA decoys, siRNAs, and zinc-finger nucleases (32–35). Several of these approaches targeted expression of the C-C chemokine receptor 5 (CCR5) (36–38). Entry of HIV-1 into host target cells requires the binding of the envelope glycoprotein (gp120) to its primary receptor, CD4, followed by engagement of CCR5 or C-X-C chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4) (39–44). Most HIV-1 isolates, known as R5 viruses, use CCR5 exclusively and cannot infect cells that do not express CCR5. Approximately 10% of the northern European population is heterozygous for a 32-bp deletion in CCR5 that renders the protein defective (45); the 1% who are homozygous for this deletion have no detectable CCR5 on the surface of their CD4+ T cells and are resistant to infection with R5 HIV-1 (46). Blockade of CCR5 by small molecule antagonists such as maraviroc, approved for the treatment of HIV-1 infection, is well tolerated (47, 48). Therefore, disruption of CCR5 by genetic modification of stem cells is likely to be safe.

Two new approaches to gene editing include transcription activator–like effectors nucleases (TALENs) and engineered clustered regularly interspaced palindromic repeats (CRISPRs) (49). TALENs or CRISPRs coupled to a CRISPR-associated (Cas) nuclease (e.g., Cas9) (49, 50). Like zinc-finger nucleases, TALENs use protein-mediated recognition of specific DNA sequences to direct the FokI nuclease to disrupt the targeted gene at the desired location. The CRISPR/Cas9 system accomplishes the same effect by use of a single-guide RNA to direct the Cas9 nuclease to the target gene. These approaches have been used in vitro to disrupt CCR5 expression in induced pluripotent stem cells, T cell lines, and primary T lymphocytes, rendering them resistant to HIV-1 infection (51–54).

Several logistical challenges have slowed the development of genetically modified hematopoietic stem cells as a potentially curative treatment for HIV infection. Chief among these challenges are concerns regarding the safety of HSCT in otherwise healthy HIV-infected patients on suppressive ART. Conventional wisdom suggests that some form of conditioning regimen is required to enhance engraftment of transduced stem cells. Although reduced-intensity conditioning regimens do not carry the same risks as fully myeloablative therapy, risk cannot be eliminated entirely and is greater than the risk of contemporary ART. In addition, there are concerns over insertional oncogenesis with approaches that rely on lentiviral transduction (55). For these reasons, initial clinical trials focused on HIV-infected patients who require allogeneic HSCT for treatment of hematologic malignancies (56). Moreover, since the transduced stem cells have no intrinsic selective advantage compared with untransduced host cells, interruption of ART may be required to allow HIV replication to eliminate unmodified, HIV-susceptible CD4+ T cells in order for the progeny of the modified stem cells to become pre-
dominant. This problem is exacerbated by the generally low efficiency of transduction.

A potential solution to low transduction efficiency is to include a selectable marker in the vector, which allows enrichment of the gene-modified cells. One example of this approach is the incorporation of a truncated form of CD25 (tCD25) that does not bind IL-2 but can be recognized by anti-CD25 mAbs, allowing purification of successfully transduced cells by cell sorting (57). Even in this scenario, however, it is unclear how this approach would target and successfully transduce cells by cell sorting (57). Even in this scenario, however, it is unclear how this approach would target and eliminate reservoirs of resting, latently infected CD4+ T cells and macrophages. Thus, despite keen interest in these approaches, significant hurdles remain.

The Berlin patient
An expedient alternative to generating CCR5 KOs is to perform HSCT using donors who are homozygous for the CCR5Δ32 deletion. Of course, this approach is limited to patients in need of HSCT for whom an adequate HLA match is available from a donor who happens to be CCR5-negative. Such was the case for a patient with acute myelogenous leukemia (AML) who received an HLA-matched HSCT from an unrelated donor homozygous for CCR5Δ32 (6). The patient, a 40-year-old man living in Berlin, had been diagnosed with HIV-1 infection 10 years earlier and had been on a suppressive antiretroviral regimen including tenofovir (TDF), emtricitabine (FTC), and efavirenz (EFV) for four years prior to his AML diagnosis. The patient underwent two courses of induction chemotherapy and a single course of consolidation therapy, but his AML relapsed, necessitating HSCT. Due to the foresight of the patient’s hematologist, an HLA-identical donor homozygous for the CCR5Δ32 allele was identified. The patient received anti-thymocyte globulin, cyclophosphamide, and TBI in preparation for the transplant; mycophenolate mofetil and cyclosporine were administered after HSCT to prevent GVHD. Although ART was interrupted at the time of HSCT, HIV-1 RNA remained undetectable in serum. Proviral HIV-1 DNA became undetectable in PBMCs after full chimerism was achieved on posttransplant day 61. Despite relapse of AML nearly one year later, HIV-1 RNA and DNA remained undetectable. The patient underwent a second HSCT from the same donor and has had sustained remission of AML and HIV-1 infection ever since. As of this writing, the patient has been free of detectable HIV-1 infection for approximately eight years without any ART. Extensive sampling of blood, rectal tissue, lymph node, cerebrospinal fluid, and brain has failed to yield confirmable evidence of persistent HIV-1 (58, 59). Thus, for all intents and purposes, this patient may be considered cured of HIV-1 infection. Because of the impossibility of proving the complete absence of any persistent HIV-1 in this patient, the terms “functional cure” or “sustained remission” have also been applied.

The Boston patients
The apparent cure of the Berlin patient raised a number of important questions, including the relative contributions of the ablative conditioning regimen, posttransplant immunosuppressive therapy, GVHD, and receipt of donor cells lacking CCR5. We therefore examined the impact of allogeneic HSCT with WT donor cells on the HIV-1 reservoir in two HIV-1-infected patients with recurrent lymphoma (60). Both patients had previously undergone autologous HSCT, which was unsuccessful in one case and led to myelodysplastic syndrome in the other. A unique aspect of these patients’ care was that ART was continued throughout, with maintenance of HIV-1 suppression in the pre- and posttransplant period. Both patients also experienced clinically significant episodes of GVHD, which required treatment with corticosteroids and/or sirolimus and tacrolimus. A substantial reduction in proviral HIV-1 DNA in PBMCs occurred following full donor chimerism and recovery of CD4+ T cell counts. (Proviral DNA was also significantly reduced following HSCT in a third patient, but that patient died of recurrent malignancy before establishing full chimerism.) Significant reductions in HIV-specific antibody levels and avidity also were observed. Of note, both patients received a reduced-intensity conditioning regimen; neither patient received TBI or anti-thymocyte globulin. The loss of detectable HIV-1 after full donor chimerism strongly suggested that latently infected host cells were replaced by donor cells, which were protected from HIV-1 infection by the continued administration of ART. Because proviral HIV-1 DNA was readily detectable in PBMCs following administration of the conditioning regimen and became undetectable only with the establishment of full donor chimerism, it is tempting to conclude that GVHD played a significant role in reducing the peripheral viral reservoir by helping to clear infected host cells. Proviral DNA remained undetectable in both patients, even when larger numbers of CD4+ T cells harvested by leukapheresis were tested for HIV-1 DNA. No HIV-1 DNA was detectable in rectal mucosa of the one patient who agreed to a rectal biopsy, and attempts to recover infectious HIV-1 by in vitro stimulation of resting CD4+ T cells were unsuccessful. Neither patient had detectable cellular immune responses to HIV-1 antigens in vitro (61).

As a result of these findings, after extensive consultation with the patients’ oncologists, infectious disease physician and the Institutional Review Board, both patients were offered the opportunity to interrupt ART with careful monitoring to determine whether HIV-1 had in fact been eradicated. At the time of ART interruption, the first patient was 2.5 years post-HSCT. When virus failed to rebound after approximately 2 months, treatment was interrupted in the second patient, who was 4.5 years post-HSCT. Although both patients initially remained aviremic, plasma HIV-1 RNA rebounded after 12 weeks in the second patient and after 32 weeks in the first patient (61). In both cases, relapse was associated with symptoms and viral kinetics of primary HIV-1 infection. Symptoms resolved with reinitiation of ART, but a new EFV resistance mutation developed in one patient. Single-genome sequencing and phylogenetic analysis of Env from plasma virus at the time of relapse demonstrated that the virus was monophasic and closely related to proviral HIV-1 DNA sequences present in PBMCs prior to HSCT, thereby excluding the possibility of reinfection and suggesting in both cases that relapse was initiated by activation of a single latently infected cell. Virus-specific cellular immune responses developed after HIV-1 rebound, as did new HIV-specific antibody responses (62).

While disappointing, these results yielded important insights into HIV-1 persistence and the challenges of viral eradication. The substantial reduction in the viral reservoir resulting from allogeneic HSCT allowed a variable period of ART-free remission of HIV-1 disease. Eventual viral rebound most likely occurred from long-lived
tissue reservoirs that persist at levels or in compartments in which remission is undetectable by current assays. The absence of detectable cellular immune responses and declining antibody titers during the period of ART-free remission suggest that virus-specific immunity did not play a significant role in limiting replication of these latent proviruses. This experience also demonstrates the challenge of proving that HIV-1 has been eradicated, despite the absence of detectable HIV-1 in blood and tissue samples, and underscores the importance of analytical treatment interruptions to assess the extent of HIV-1 reservoir depletion after therapeutic interventions.

Other experience with allogeneic HSCT for HIV-1 cure

Several additional reports on the effect of allogeneic HSCT on HIV-1 persistence have appeared since publication of the Berlin and Boston patients. Two patients in Sydney who received allogeneic HSCT for hematologic malignancies showed reductions in proviral DNA and HIV-1 antibody levels similar to that observed in the Boston patients (63). At last report, both patients remained on ART, so definitive conclusions regarding the extent of reservoir depletion cannot yet be drawn. Six other patients have received allogeneic HSCT from donors homozygous for CCR5Δ32 (64). Unfortunately, all died within 12 months of HSCT from infection, GVHD, or recurrent lymphoma (five within two to four months). Three patients had received umbilical cord blood transplants, and three received HSCT from adult donors. Whether the use of donor cells lacking CCR5 contributed to the poor outcome in these six patients is difficult to assess.

One recipient of CCR5-negative donor stem cells in whom ART was interrupted at the time of myeloablative conditioning experienced HIV-1 relapse with CXCR4-tropic virus 20 days following HSCT (65). Retrospective analysis by deep sequencing identified a minority CXCR4-tropic variant in the proviral DNA from PBMCs obtained 103 days prior to HSCT that was identical to the virus that rebounded after HSCT; none of the pretransplant plasma virus sequences were strongly predictive of CXCR4 tropism. The patient died 373 days after HSCT of recurrent lymphoma, at which time CXCR4-tropic virus was again predominant in the plasma. This observation suggests that HIV eradication strategies dependent on interruption of CCR5 expression may fail.
to control virus replication due to emergence of preexisting minority variants able to use CXCR4 for entry. There is no consensus at present regarding whether to screen potential recipients of HSCT from CCR5-negative donors for presence of minority CXCR4-tropic virus populations.

Conclusions
The apparent cure of HIV-1 infection following allogeneic HSCT with cells from a CCR5 WT donor remains a singular event. Other attempts to replicate this result have failed thus far, but much has been learned about the viral reservoir and the relative contributions of conditioning regimens, GVH reaction, and coreceptor usage in establishing long-term, ART-free remission of HIV-1 infection. Although allogeneic transplantation of CCR5 WT hematopoietic stem cells under the protection of continuous ART can lead to significant reductions in the viral reservoir, this approach fails to eradicate the virus. It appears that transplantation of stem cells intrinsically resistant to HIV-1 infection is necessary (but perhaps not sufficient) for this approach to succeed. Clearly, allogeneic HSCT is not a generalizable approach and is unlikely to be applied to healthy HIV-infected patients who would not otherwise be candidates for HSCT. Conversely, for patients with hematological malignancies who require HSCT, the priority should be to identify the best donor match to ensure success of the transplant and minimize the risk of GVHD; identifying a CCR5-negative donor should remain a secondary consideration at this time. In addition, every effort should be made to continue patients on ART throughout the conditioning phase and transplantation.

It is also clear that autologous HSCT of unmodified stem cells has minimal effects on the HIV-1 reservoir. Transplantation of autologous hematopoietic stem cells genetically engineered to resist HIV-1 infection is being tested in several pilot clinical trials (see Table 1). Whether or not this approach can, if safe and effective, be scaled up for delivery on a global scale, it is important that such experiments proceed in order to advance the field. Careful attention to appropriate patient selection, informed consent, and oversight by external monitoring committees is essential to safeguard the wellbeing of participants.
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