

Supplemental Figure 1. – (A-B) Basal synaptic transmission was similar in the DG of saline- or lithiumtreated Ts65Dn and WT mice. (A) Input-output (I/O) relationships were similar across experimental groups for stimulus intensities ranging from 25 to 400 μA (25 μA step), indicating similar pre-synaptic recruitment of afferent fibers and comparable post-synaptic responses. 2-way ANOVA F ratio and P values were: genotype [ $F_{1,34}$ =1.739, P=0.196] at 50 μA, treatment [ $F_{2,34}$ =1.745, P=0.189] at 125 μA, genotype x treatment [ $F_{2,34}$ =2.458, P=0.101] at 350 μA, or higher. (B) The paired-pulse ratio (PPR) was not significantly different across groups for inter-stimulus intervals of 50, 100 and 200 msec. 2-way ANOVA, 50 msec: genotype [ $F_{1,34}$ =0.122, P=0.729], treatment [ $F_{2,34}$ =0.265, P=0.769], genotype x treatment [ $F_{2,34}$ =0.259, P=0.774]. 2-way ANOVA, 100 msec: genotype [ $F_{1,34}$ =0.014, P=0.907], treatment [ $F_{2,34}$ =1.417, P=0.256], genotype x treatment [ $F_{2,34}$ =0.667, P=0.520]. 2-way ANOVA, 200 msec: genotype [ $F_{1,34}$ =0.375, P=0.544], treatment [ $F_{2,34}$ =0.475, P=0.626], genotype x treatment [ $F_{2,34}$ =2.862, P=0.071]. (**C**-**E) The NR2B antagonist Ro25-6981 inhibited LTP induction in the DG of saline-treated WT mice.** Tetanic stimulation induced LTP in slices obtained from saline-treated WT (**C**, p<0.001 vs baseline), but not Ts65Dn mice (**D**; p=0.190 vs baseline). Application of the NR2B antagonist Ro25-6981 (1.5 μM) prevented ACSF-LTP induction in WT slices (**C**; p=0.708 *vs* baseline), but was ineffective in Ts65Dn slices (**D**; p=0.690 *vs* baseline). (**E**) Quantification of ACSF-LTP induction in the DG at 40-45 min after tetanic stimulation. 2-way ANOVA: genotype  $[F_{1,23}=8.199, P=0.001]$ , treatment  $[F_{1,23}=5.516, P=0.029]$ , genotype x treatment  $[F_{1,23}=17.443, P<0.001]$ . \*\*p<0.01 Tukey *post hoc* test. (**F-H**) **LTP induction in presence of PTX was similar in Ts65Dn and WT mice.** fEPSPs were recorded in presence of PTX (100  $\mu$ M) in slices from Ts65Dn and WT mice fed either with normal or lithium-containing diet for 4 weeks. Tetanic stimulation in presence of PTX elicited LTPs of similar amplitude in both saline-treated (**F**) and lithium-fed (**G**) Ts65Dn mice and WT littermates (**F-G**; p<0.001 *vs* baseline) (**H**) Quantification of PTX-LTP induction at 40-45 min after tetanic stimulation. 2-way ANOVA: genotype  $[F_{1,29}=1.346, P=0.256]$ , treatment  $[F_{1,29}=2.197, P=0.149]$ , genotype x treatment  $[F_{1,29}=0.0542, P=0.818]$ . The numbers in parentheses indicate the number of brain slices recorded for each experimental group. Insets in panels C-D and F-G show representative fEPSPs traces recorded 5 min before (black) and 45 min after (gray) LTP induction.



**Supplemental Figure 2.** – **Serum and brain lithium concentrations in WT and Ts65Dn mice.** (A) The serum concentration of lithium was assessed after 1 or 4 weeks of treatment. No statistical difference was detected between groups. 2-way ANOVA: genotype  $[F_{1,20}=0.412, P=0.528]$ , treatment  $[F_{1,20}=0.554, P=0.466]$ , genotype x treatment  $[F_{1,20}=0.686, P=0.417]$ . (B) The brain concentration of lithium was assessed after 1 or 4 weeks of treatment. Lithium concentration was slightly decreased in both WT and Ts65Dn brains after 4 weeks in comparison with 1 week of treatment, but remains in the range achieved by lithium therapy in humans (0.5-1.2 mEq/L; dashed lines in both A and B panels). 2-way ANOVA: genotype  $[F_{1,23}>0.001, P=0.999]$ , treatment  $[F_{1,23}=6.841, P=0.015]$ , genotype x treatment  $[F_{1,23}=0.161, P=0.692]$ . \*p<0.05 Tukey *post hoc* test. The numbers in parentheses indicate the number of samples analyzed for each experimental group.



4

Supplemental Figure 3. – TMZ reduced the number of newborn neurons and blocked neurogenesisdependent plasticity in the DG of WT mice without altering the functional properties of other hippocampal neurons. (A) Quantitative analysis of DCX<sup>+</sup> newborn neurons in the DG of WT mice treated with 12.5 or 25 mg/Kg of TMZ (see Methods). 1-way ANOVA: [F<sub>2.15</sub>=18.210, P<0.001], \*\*p<0.01 Tukey post hoc test. (B) Position of the stimulating and recording electrodes for LTP induction in the DG (green) and CA3-CA1 region (blue). (C) TMZ prevented neurogenesis-dependent LTP (ACSF-LTP) induction in slices obtained from WT mice treated with 25 mg/Kg (p=0.141 vs baseline), but not with 12.5 mg/Kg of TMZ (p<0.001) or saline/vehicle (p<0.001). (D) Quantification of the extent of ACSF-LTP elicited in the DG of mice treated with or without TMZ. 1-way ANOVA: [F<sub>2,15</sub>=10.869, P=0.001], \*\*p<0.01 Tukey post hoc test. (E) Potentiation of mature DG neurons (PTX-LTP) was similar in slices from WT mice treated with either vehicle or 25 mg/Kg TMZ (p<0.001 vs baseline for each group). (F) Quantification of PTX-LTP induction 40-45 min after tetanic stimulation in the DG. P=0.724 Student t-test. (G) LTP at Shaffer collateral-CA1 synapses (CA3-CA1 LTP) was similar in slices from WT mice treated with vehicle or 25 mg/Kg TMZ (p<0.001 vs baseline for each group). (H) Quantification of CA3-CA1 LTP induction 40-45 min after stimulation. P=0.821 Student t-test. The numbers in parentheses indicate the number of brain slices recorded for each experimental group. Insets in panels C, E and G show representative fEPSPs traces recorded 5 min before (black) and 45 min after (gray) LTP induction.



6

Supplemental Figure 4. – TMZ treatment did not alter neuronal density in non-neurogenic hippocampal regions (A) Representative confocal images showing NeuN immunoreactivity (red). Nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst-33342 (blue). Scale bar, 250  $\mu$ m. (B) Neuronal density in the CA1 region was similar in all experimental groups. 2-way ANOVA: genotype [F<sub>1,24</sub>=0.011, P=0.917], treatment [F<sub>3,24</sub>=0.892, P=0.459], genotype x treatment [F<sub>3,24</sub>=1.919, P=0.153]. (C) Neuronal density in the CA3 region was similar in all experimental groups. 2-way ANOVA: genotype [F<sub>1,24</sub>=0.725, P=0.403], treatment [F<sub>1,24</sub>=3.652, P=0.027], genotype x treatment [F<sub>2,24</sub>=0.783, P=0.515].



Supplemental Figure 5. - (A-B) Basal synaptic transmission was not altered by TMZ treatment. fEPSPs were elicited by stimulation of the MPP. (A) I/O relationships were similar across all groups for stimulus intensities ranging from 25 to 400 µA (25 µA step). 2-way ANOVA F ratio and P values were: [F<sub>1,36</sub>=1.687, P=0.203] at 50 μA, treatment [F<sub>2,36</sub>=2.234, P=0.123] at 75 μA, genotype x treatment [F<sub>2.36</sub>=1.419, P=0.256] at 100 μA or higher. (B) PPR was not significantly different across groups at interstimulus intervals of 50, 100 and 200 msec. 2-way ANOVA for 50 msec: genotype [F<sub>1.36</sub>=0.215, P=0.646], treatment [F<sub>2.36</sub>=0.0.81, P=0.992], genotype x treatment [F<sub>2.36</sub>=0.150, P=0.861]. 2-way ANOVA for 100 msec: genotype [F<sub>1,36</sub>=0.173, P=0.680], treatment [F<sub>2,36</sub>=0.091, P=0.913], genotype x treatment [F<sub>2,36</sub>=0.185, P=0.832]. 2-way ANOVA for 200 msec: genotype [F<sub>1,36</sub>=1.411, P=0.243], treatment [F<sub>2,36</sub>=0.496, P=0.613], genotype x treatment [F<sub>2,36</sub>=0.303, P=0.740]. (C-E) LTP of mature DG neurons (PTX-LTP) was unchanged after TMZ treatment. Tetanic stimulation of slices in presence of PTX (100  $\mu$ M) elicited similar LTP in either WT or Ts65Dn (p<0.001 vs baseline for each group) mice treated with vehicle (C) or co-administered with lithium and TMZ (D). Insets in C and D show representative fEPSP traces recorded 5 min before (black) and 45 min after (gray) LTP induction. (E) Quantification of LTP induction in presence of PTX at 40-45 min after tetanic stimulation. 2-way ANOVA: genotype [F<sub>1.15</sub>=6.743, P=0.020], treatment [F<sub>1.15</sub>=0.160, P=0.695], genotype x treatment [F<sub>2.15</sub>=0.016, P=0.900]. The numbers in parentheses indicate the number of brain slices recorded for each experimental group.



Supplemental Figure 6. - Total object exploration time and object preference in the object location (OL) test. Ts65Dn and WT mice were fed with either normal or lithium-containing diet for 4 weeks and concomitantly treated with TMZ or vehicle. (A) The total exploration time during the acquisition phase of the OL test was similar in saline/vehicle and saline/lithium treated Ts65Dn and WT mice, but was increased in both WT and Ts65Dn mice treated with TMZ. 2-way ANOVA: genotype  $[F_{1,162}=7.213, P=0.008]$ , treatment  $[F_{2,1162}=8.636, P<0.001]$ , genotype x treatment  $[F_{2,162}=0.017, P=0.983]$ . \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01 Tukey *post hoc* test. (B) The total object exploration time in the OL trial phase was not significantly different across genotype and treatment. 2-way ANOVA: genotype  $[F_{1,162}=1.659, P=0.200]$ , treatment  $[F_{2,162}=1.373, P=0.256]$ , genotype x treatment  $[F_{2,162}=0.883, P=0.415]$ . (C) The percentage of time spent exploring the two objects was not statistically different across groups. 2-way ANOVA: genotype  $[F_{1,324}=0.000, P=1.000]$ , treatment  $[F_{5,324}=2.334, P=0.042]$ , genotype x treatment  $[F_{5,324}=1.724, P=0.129]$ . In all panels, the numbers in parentheses indicate numbers of animals per group.



Supplemental Figure 7. - Lithium Treatment restores novel object recognition in Ts65Dn mice. (A) Schematic representation of the Novel object recognition (NOR) test. Ts65Dn mice and WT littermates were fed with either normal or lithium-containing diet for 1 or 4 weeks and then tested in the NOR test. (B) Lithium administration for 4 weeks, but not for 1 week, restored novelty discrimination in Ts65Dn mice. 2-way ANOVA: genotype  $[F_{1,102}=3.322, P=0.071]$ , treatment  $[F_{2,102}=7.311, P=0.001]$ , genotype x treatment  $[F_{2,102}=2.198, P=0.116]$ . (C, D) The total exploration time during the acquisition (C) and trial phases (D) was similar in saline-treated Ts65Dn and WT mice. Total exploration time was slightly increased during both phases after 1 week of lithium treatment in both Ts65Dn and WT littermates, but was unchanged after 4 weeks of treatment. Acquisition phase 2-way ANOVA: genotype  $[F_{1,95}=0.293, P=0.590]$ , treatment  $[F_{2,95}=4.474, P=0.014]$ , genotype x treatment  $[F_{2,95}=0.334, P=0.717]$ . \*p<0.05, Tukey *post hoc* test. Trial phase 2-way ANOVA: genotype  $[F_{1,95}=1.710, P=0.194]$ , treatment  $[F_{2,95}=6.705, P=0.002]$ , genotype x treatment  $[F_{2,95}=0.469, P=0.627]$ . \*p<0.05, Tukey *post hoc* test. (E) The percentage of time spent exploring the three objects was not statistically different across groups. 2-way ANOVA: genotype  $[F_{1,300}=0.563, P=0.808]$ , genotype x treatment  $[F_{8,300}=0.895, P=0.521]$ . In all panels, the numbers in parentheses indicate numbers of animals per group.



Supplemental Figure 8. - Apoptotic cell death was not altered in saline- and lithium-treated Ts65Dn mice (A-B). 5-6 month old Ts65Dn mice and WT littermates were fed with either lithium-containing or normal diet for 4 weeks. Apoptotic cells were identified by immunoreactivity for active Caspase-3 (AC3). (A) Representative confocal z-stack maximal projection images showing AC3 immunostaining (green). Nuclei are counterstained with Hoechst-33342 (blue). Scale bar, 25  $\mu$ m. (B) Quantification of AC3<sup>+</sup> apoptotic cells. The number of AC3<sup>+</sup> cells was similar in saline-treated WT and Ts65Dn mice. AC3<sup>+</sup> cells were significantly increased in WT, but not in Ts65Dn mice upon lithium treatment. 2-way ANOVA: genotype [F<sub>1,20</sub>=4.286, P=0.052], treatment [F<sub>1,20</sub>=6.299, P=0.021], genotype x treatment [F<sub>1,20</sub>=0.225, P=0.640]. \*p<0.05 Tukey *post hoc* test. The numbers in parentheses indicate numbers of animals per group