**Supplementary Material** 

**Borchers et al.** 

Sustained NKG2D Ligand Expression in Pulmonary Epithelial Cells Promotes the Development of COPD Pathologies SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1

## DEMOGRAPHIC AND CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF PATIENTS SUBMITTED TO BRONCHOSCOPIC SAMPLING

		Total	Non-COPD	COPD	p-value	
Patients	n (%)	93 (100)	26 (28)	67 (72)		
General characteristics						
Gender	M:F	73:9	12:14	63:4	0.000	
Age (years)	x (SD)	66 (11)	65 (13)	67 (10)	0.389	
Cigarette Smoking						
Never Smokers	n (%)	21 (23)	15(58)	6 (9)	0.000	
Former Smokers	n (%)	30 (32)	4 (15)	26 (39)		
Current Smokers	n (%)	42 (45)	7 (27)	35 (52)		
Smoking Intensity, Pack-year	m (SD)	44 (35)	16 (21)	55 (33)		
Symptoms of chronic bronchitis						
No	n (%)	47 (51)	21 (81)	26 (34)	0.000	
Yes	n (%)	46 (49)	5 (19)	41 (66)		
Pulmonary Function						
FEV <sub>1</sub> , %pred	m (SD)	67 (23)	96 (12)	56 (16)	0.000	
FVC, %pred	m (SD)	73 (20)	94 (11)	64 (16)	0.000	
FEV <sub>1</sub> /FVC, %	m (SD)	65 (12)	74 (7)	62 (12)	0.000	
TLC, %pred	m (SD)	95 (19)	100 (16)	94 (21)	0.214	
RV, %pred	m (SD)	130 (44)	111 (27)	137 (47)	0.024	
TLco, %pred	m (SD)	78 (22)	94 (18)	71 (19)	0.000	
Tlco/VA, %pred	m (SD)	88 (19)	96 (18)	84 (18)	0.016	
Lung Cancer						
No Evidence of Lung Cancer	n (%)	31 (32)	25 (48)	6 (26)	0.004	
Lung Cancer Confirmed	n (%)	62 (68)	31 (52)	31 (74)		
Bronchial MICA Expression						
No	n (%)	26 (28)	21 (38)	5 (14)	0.012	
Yes	n (%)	67 (72)	35 (62)	32 (86)		

**Abbreviations:** (COPD): Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; (FEV<sub>1</sub>): forced expiratory volume in the 1<sup>st</sup> second; (FVC): forced vital capacity; (TLC): total lung capacity; (RV): residual volume; (Tlco): lung transfer capacity for CO; (MICA): Major histocompatibility complex-class-I-polypeptide–related sequence A protein.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 2

## MICA EXPRESSION IN BRONCHIAL BIOPSIES ACCORDING TO GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS, SMOKING STATUS AND PULMONARY FUNCTION IN <u>COPD</u> PATIENTS (ONLY)

		MICA-		МІС	CA+	p-value
N, (%)		35	(52)	32	(48)	
Age, Yrs.	m (SD)	67	(10)	66	(9)	0.802
<b>——</b> · · · · 2			( • )		(0)	0.040
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	m (SD)	27	(4)	23	(3)	0.012
Om alvin a Otatura						
Smoking Status	. (0()	0	(0)	0	$\langle 0 \rangle$	0.004
Never smoker	n (%)	6	(0)	0	(0)	0.034
Current smoker	n (%)	15	(46)	20	(54)	
Former smoker	n (%)	14	(54)	12	(46)	0.007
Time from quitting (months)	m (SD)	64	(80)	81	(90)	0.337
		10	(05)	04	(00)	0.454
Smoking Intensity, Pack-year	m (SD)	49	(35)	61	(29)	0.151
Symptoms of chronic bronchitis	. (0()	10	(54)	0	(05)	0.007
NO	n (%)	18	(51)	8	(25)	0.027
Yes	n (%)	17	(48)	24	(75)	
Severity of COPD	. (0()	0	(00)		(07)	0.000
GOLDT	n (%)	2	(33)	1	(67)	0.930
GOLD II	n (%)	21	(50)	21	(50)	
GOLD III	n (%)	9	(56)	1	(44)	
GOLD IV	n (%)	3	(50)	3	(50)	
Pulmonary Function	(00)	4 55	(0.50)	4 77		0.407
FEV <sub>1</sub> , L	m (SD)	1.55	(0.58)	1.//	(0.57)	0.137
FEV <sub>1</sub> ,%pred	m (SD)	55	(16)	56	(15)	0.735
FVC, %pred	m (SD)	64	(15)	65	(16)	0.769
FEV <sub>1</sub> /FVC, %	m (SD)	61	(13)	63	(10)	0.916
ILC, %pred	m (SD)	95	(21)	92	(19)	0.579
RV, %pred	m (SD)	140	(51)	134	(44)	0.651
Tico, %pred	m (SD)	77	(22)	66	(16)	0.067
TIco/VA, %pred	m (SD)	86	(18)	82	(19)	0.441

**Abbreviations:** (COPD): Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; (MICA): Major histocompatibility complex-class-I-polypeptide-related sequence A protein. (FEV<sub>1</sub>): forced expiratory volume in the 1<sup>st</sup> second; (FVC): forced vital capacity; (TLC): total lung capacity; (RV): residual volume; (TIco): lung transfer capacity for CO.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 3	DEMOGRAPHIC AND CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF PATIENTS SUBMITTED TO THORACIC SURGERY			
Patiento	2	10		
Fatients	<u> </u>	10		
Gender	M:F	8:10		
Age, Yrs.	m (SD)	56 (9)		
P (00PP				
Presence of COPD	(0())	(70)		
Yes	n (%)	13 (72)		
No	n (%)	5 (28)		
Lung Cancer				
No	n (%)	6 (34)		
Ves	n (%)	12 (66)		
100	11 (70)	12 (00)		
Lung Cancer				
No Evidence of Lung Cancer	Y:N	2:3		
Lung Cancer Confirmed	Y:N	10:3		
Smoking Intensity, Pack-year				
Non-COPD	x (SD)	0 (0)		
COPD	x (SD)	56 (29)		
Pulmonary Function				
FEV/. % pred	x (SD)	76 (20)		
$F_{1}$ % pred	x (SD)	80 (16)		
	x (SD)	74 (18 4)		
$T \subset \%$ pred	x (SD)	00(16.6)		
		70 (15)		
	x (SD)	10 (15)		

**Abbreviations:** (COPD): Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; (FEV<sub>1</sub>): forced expiratory volume in the 1<sup>st</sup> second; (FVC): forced vital capacity; (TLC): total lung capacity; (Tlco): lung transfer capacity for CO.

## **Supplementary Figure Legends.**

Supplementary Figure 1. Generation of inducible *Raet1a* transgenic mice. The Ccsp-rtta transgene consists of the 2.3-kb rat *ccsp* promoter, 1.0-kb *rtta* coding sequence, and a 2.0-kb fragment from the human growth hormone gene containing introns and a polyadenylation signal. The (tetO)7-cmv-Raet1a transgene consists of seven copies of the tet operator, a CMV minimal promoter, the mouse Raet1a coding sequence, and the bovine growth hormone polyadenylation signal (Supplementary Figure 1A). A single transgenic mice bearing the *Ccsp-rtta* transgene were bred to single transgenic mice bearing the (Teto)7-cmv-RaeTla transgene to generate double transgenic progeny (Supplementary Figure 2B). We established three separate transgenic mouse lines (Lines 20, 22, and 32) bearing the target (TetO)7-CMV-Raet1a transgene. The copy numbers for the incorporation of the transgene were 11 (Line 32), 40 (Line 22), and 44 (Line 20) copies. All three founder lines were bred with the *Ccsp-rtta* activator transgenic mice to produce bi-transgenic progeny, and resultant bi-transgenic lines were screened for (i) *Raet1a* expression in response to DOX (1000 ppm in chow beginning at 6 weeks of age), and (ii) RAET1 immunohistochemistry (Supplementary Figure 2C). Raet1a transgene induction was measured by quantitative real-time RT-PCR in response to DOX for up to 60 days. We assessed transgene expression by calculating the transcript abundance of DOX-treated bi-transgenic mice compared to untreated bi-transgenic mice. None of the bi-transgenic mice expressed the *Raet1a* transgene in the absence of DOX. Additionally, none of the bi-transgenic mice exhibited any lung pathologies in the absence of DOX. Line 20 (TetO)7-CMV-Raet1a did not express significant levels of Raet1a. Line 32

(*TetO*)7-*CMV*-*Raet1a* expressed detectable levels of *Raet1a*. Line 22 (*TetO*)7-*CMV*-*Raet1a* transgenic mice exhibit robust *Raet1a* and is used in all the studies presented.

Supplementary Figure 2. Binomial quantification of MICA immunoreactivity. (A) Indirect immunperoxidase staining was utilized to quantify the expression of MICA in bronchial biopsies. Supplementary Figure 1A shows representative MICA staining in a non-smoker, current smoker without COPD, former smoker with COPD, and a current smoker with COPD. Images are shown for (a) isotype control-stained sections, (b) MICA-stained sections and (c) computer-modified MICA-stained sections as described below. Bronchial samples were immediately fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin at 4°C for 24 hr, and then processed for paraffin sectioning. Six-µm-thick tissue sections were stained by IHC using an indirect immunoperoxidase method (The Binding Site, UK). All biopsies were processed using and automatic tissue processor. Sections were rehydrated and blocked for endogenous peroxidase using 0.3% hydrogen peroxide. Specificity of immunoreactivity was assessed using an appropriate isotype-matched, nonrelevant control antibody (IgG<sub>1</sub>, BD Pharmingen), which was included as a negative control. Control monoclonal antibody estimated non-specific binding (*i.e.*, background) of target primary antibodies to cell surface antigens because they showed negligible cross-reactivity with cell surface antigens on tissue sections. Isotype controls were used at identical concentrations and staining conditions as the target primary antibody. In addition, HeLa cell smears (HeLa cells are positive for MICA) were included as positive staining controls. Microscopic images were evaluated using a microscope and an imagedigitizing camera. Micrographs of bronchial biopsies were obtained at a final magnification of 40x. Profile measurements were performed using computer-assisted image analysis (CAIA) software (ImageJ 1.37, Wayne Rasband, National Institutes of Health, USA. http://rsb.info.nih.gov./ij). Supplementary Figure 1B depicts the various steps involved in the quantification of MICA in bronchial biopsies by CAIA. CAIA is considered an effective method of quantification when comparing staining characteristics among experimental groups. CAIA allows extraction of 2D feature data such as area fraction (total stained area) and distribution. CAIA relies on the ability to cleanly separate or segment a structure of interest from its background using a physical difference, such as color, to facilitate segmentation of red/brown stained epithelial cells in the blue nuclear counterstained tissue sections. The method converts the RGB (red, green, and blue) composites into HSI (hue, saturation, and intensity). Immunoreactivity was quantified using the hue (color wavelength) and saturation (color amount) of the reaction on the epithelial cells. Thresholding was used to segment images into stained epithelial area and background on the basis of gray levels. Threshold was automatically based on the histogram of the current selection. When thresholding was enabled, positive epithelial areas were displayed in black and background in white. With this method, positive descriptions of color were broad enough to include all the features of interest and strict enough to exclude background. Due to varying color hues among different biopsies, MICA immunoreactivity analysis was restricted only to a binomial scale according to stained epithelial area normalized to the overall length of epithelium in each biopsy. The rationale is that MICA immunoreactivity is positive when 40% and more of the epithelial area showed a red/brown reaction pattern greater than background

threshold. The rationale for negative immunoreactivity is that immunoreactivity was equivalent to, or below of background (*i.e.*, structures such as interstitium labeled with a similar intensity), and/or less than 40% of epithelial area.

## Supplementary Figure 1.

S1A. Constructs

# Ccsp-rtta (tetO)\_7-cmv-Rae1ta 2.3 kb rat Ccsp promoter rtta nGHpA signal (tetO)\_7 cmv\_min Raet1a cDNA bGHpA signal S1B. Transgenic Model Ccsp-rtta transgenic X (tetO)\_7-cmv-Rae1ta

DOX Inducible **Ccsp-Raet1a** Transgenic Mice

# S1C. RAET1 Immunohistochemistry



# Supplementary Figure 2A.



# Supplementary Figure 2B.

# Capturing



Stacking







Thresholding



Computer assisted calculations: stained epithelial area (according to selected threshold) and expressed as % of total epithelial area.