

Frameshift mutation spectra overlap between constitutional mismatch repair deficiency tumors and Lynch syndrome tumors

Yurong Song, ... , Kim E. Nichols, Robert H. Shoemaker

J Clin Invest. 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI185999>.

Research Letter

In-Press Preview

Oncology

Find the latest version:

<https://jci.me/185999/pdf>



Frameshift mutation spectra overlap between constitutional mismatch repair deficiency tumors and Lynch syndrome tumors

Yurong Song,^{1*} Ryan N. Baugher,² Todd B. Young,² Brandon Somerville,¹ Yuriko Mori,³ Ligia A. Pinto,¹ Kim E. Nichols,⁴ and Robert H. Shoemaker⁵

¹Vaccine, Immunity and Cancer Directorate, ²Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory, ³Clinical Research Directorate, Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research, Frederick, MD, USA.

⁴Division of Cancer Predisposition, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA.

⁵Division of Cancer Prevention, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA.

* Correspondence: Yurong Song, Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research, 1050 Boyles St., Building 434, Room 4, Frederick, MD 21702. Email: songy3@mail.nih.gov; +1 301-846-7459

Conflict of interest: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

To the Editor: Frameshift neoantigen-based cancer prevention vaccines (e.g., Nous-209, NCT05078866) are being tested in clinical trials for patients with Lynch syndrome (LS) caused by monoallelic mismatch repair (MMR) gene pathogenic variants (PVs). A liquid biopsy biomarker panel has been developed for profiling frameshift mutations (FSMs) in tumors and plasma cell-free DNA (cfDNA) for disease surveillance of LS (1). However, it is unknown whether the same vaccine strategy and biomarker panel can be applied to Constitutional Mismatch Repair Deficient (CMMRD) patients with germline biallelic MMR gene PVs. CMMRD is associated with an increased risk of developing brain tumors with high frequency (51%) and has the poorest outcomes among other malignancies, starting in childhood (2). We hypothesized that those loci mutated in LS would also be frequently mutated in CMMRD malignancies and that the vaccines and biomarker panel developed for LS would be applicable to CMMRD.

To test this hypothesis, two CMMRD patients with brain tumors and biallelic germline *PMS2* mutations were studied (Supplemental Table 1). Specimens were collected at the time of residual tumor resection at age 6 for patient 1. For patient 2 with glioblastoma (GBM), tumor tissue was collected at age 23, a year after the blood collection.

To determine whether FSMs discovered in LS were also present in CMMRD, tumor DNA and cfDNA from two CMMRD patients were sequenced using a 122-gene panel designed around loci known to be frequently mutated in LS tumors and loci corresponding to frameshift neoantigens in vaccines. A high number of FSMs were detected in tumors (Table 1 and Supplemental Table 2) ($n = 214$ [80% of genes in the panel; patient 1] vs. $n = 52$ [32% of genes in the panel; patient 2]), although patient 2 was older at the tumor collection (23 years of age) and had developed other cancers prior to GBM diagnosis. Deep allele frequency (DAF) was

variable, with 0.3592 being the highest for *ASXL1* A/AG (Supplemental Table 3). The discrepancy in number of FSMs detected might be due to invasive residual tumor edge tissue used for patient 1, and/or clonal evolution of tumor cells in the mass (thus, the low DAF for most FSMs [below the detection level]) for patient 2.

It is well accepted that brain tumors usually release less tumor fragments into the circulation due to the blood brain barrier. However, we observed a high number of FSMs in the blood (n = 43 [patient 1] vs. n = 47 [patient 2]) (Table 1). It is worth noting that the blood used for patient 2 was collected one year prior to GBM diagnosis, suggesting that FSMs may accumulate as the result of previously diagnosed tumors and/or FSMs could be detected before cancer diagnosis. Consistent with the finding from LS cfDNA (1), the number of FSMs detected in cfDNA was lower than that in tumors, especially for patient 1. The profile of FSMs was similar to what has been previously reported (3, 4) and what we have observed in LS (1). Moreover, only one FSM in cfDNA had $DAF > 0.05$ (*ASTE2* C/CT; $DAF = 0.076$; Supplemental Table 3), suggesting that FSMs were not enriched in cfDNA. DAF at individual loci was characteristic and sequence context may be an important determinant.

Further analysis revealed that 94% and 42% of genes detected in cfDNA of patients 1 and 2, respectively, were shared with the paired tumor samples (Table 1). The low DAF in cfDNA may partially explain the discordance on the number of FSMs detected in blood and tumors. In addition, tumor heterogeneity, previous tumors in patient 2, and the likelihood of DNA fragments shed by all body cells in CMMRD patients starting at a very young age may also account for the discordance.

To determine whether the number of FSMs detected in CMMRD patients was higher than that in LS patients, tumors from three young LS patients with two paired cfDNA were also

sequenced using the same platform (Supplemental Table 4). The number of FSMs was much lower in LS tumors and cfDNA (n = 9-33 [7-21% of genes in the panel] vs. n = 8-10 [7-8% of genes in the panel], respectively) despite the presence of MMR gene loss of heterozygosity (LOH) in the LS tumors. This indicates that FSMs may emerge and accumulate at a very young age from all body cells of CMMRD patients, and more DNA fragments may be shed and accumulated in the blood of CMMRD than LS patients.

It is challenging to care for patients with CMMRD because multiple primary cancers may emerge over the life course and affect a variety of different tissues. This increases the complexity of disease surveillance and management (5), which highlights the need for enhanced preventive and surveillance strategies. Our study provides evidence of the existence of FSMs in CMMRD and suggests that FSMs are not syndrome or cancer type-specific. Further preclinical and clinical evaluation will be required to establish whether frameshift neoantigen-based vaccines and the biomarker panel developed for LS are effective in CMMRD.

References

1. Song Y, Loomans-Kropp H, Baugher RN, Somerville B, Baxter SS, Kerr TD, et al. Frameshift mutations in peripheral blood as a biomarker for surveillance of Lynch syndrome. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2024;116(6):957-65.
2. Ercan AB, Aronson M, Fernandez NR, Chang Y, Levine A, Liu ZA, et al. Clinical and biological landscape of constitutional mismatch-repair deficiency syndrome: an International Replication Repair Deficiency Consortium cohort study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2024;25(5):668-82.

3. Das A, Sudhaman S, Morgenstern D, Coblenz A, Chung J, Stone SC, et al. Genomic predictors of response to PD-1 inhibition in children with germline DNA replication repair deficiency. *Nat Med.* 2022;28(1):125-35.
4. Bajwa-Ten Broeke SW, Ballhausen A, Ahadova A, Suerink M, Bohaumilitzky L, Seidler F, et al. The coding microsatellite mutation profile of PMS2-deficient colorectal cancer. *Exp Mol Pathol.* 2021;122:104668.
5. Chung J, Maruvka YE, Sudhaman S, Kelly J, Haradhvala NJ, Bianchi V, et al. DNA Polymerase and Mismatch Repair Exert Distinct Microsatellite Instability Signatures in Normal and Malignant Human Cells. *Cancer Discov.* 2021;11(5):1176-91.

Table 1. Number of FSMs detected in matched brain tumor and cfDNA using a 122-gene panel

| Patient ID | # FSMs in tumor | # Genes with FSMs in tumor (% of genes in the panel) | # FSMs in cfDNA | # Genes with FSMs in cfDNA (% of genes in the panel) | # Genes with FSMs shared between paired cfDNA and tumor DNA (% of genes in cfDNA shared with the paired tumor) |
|------------|-----------------|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 1 | 214 | 98 (80%) | 43 | 35 (29%) | 33 (94%) |
| 2 | 52 | 39 (32%) | 47 | 45 (37%) | 19 (42%) |