JCI The Journal of Clinical Investigation

An Activin Receptor-Like Kinase 1-governed monocytic lineage shapes an immunosuppressive landscape in breast cancer metastases

Mehrnaz Safaee Talkhoncheh, ..., Matteo Bocci, Kristian Pietras

J Clin Invest. 2025. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI183086.

Research In-Press Preview Immunology Oncology

Graphical abstract



Find the latest version:

https://jci.me/183086/pdf

An Activin Receptor-Like Kinase 1-governed monocytic lineage shapes an immunosuppressive landscape in breast cancer metastases

Mehrnaz Safaee Talkhoncheh^{1*}, Jonas Sjölund^{1*}, Paulina Bolivar¹, Ewa Kurzejamska^{1,2}, Eugenia Cordero^{1,3}, Teia Vallès Pagès¹, Sara Larsson¹, Sophie Lehn¹, Gustav Frimannsson¹, Viktor Ingesson¹, Sebastian Braun¹, Jessica Pantaleo¹, Clara Oudenaarden^{1,4}, Martin Lauss⁵,

R. Scott Pearsall⁷, Göran B. Jönsson⁵, Charlotte Rolny^{1,6}, Matteo Bocci^{1,8,†,‡} & Kristian Pietras^{1, †,‡}.

Affiliations:

¹ Department of Laboratory Medicine, Division of Translational Cancer Research, Lund University Cancer Centre, Medicon Village, Lund University, Sweden.

² Department of Laboratory Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden.

³ Lund University Diabetes Centre, Clinical Research Center, Lund University, Sweden.

⁴ Biotech Research and Innovation Center, University of Copenhagen, Denmark.

⁵ Department of Clinical Sciences, Division of Oncology and Pathology, Lund University Cancer Centre, Lund University, Sweden.

⁶ Department of Oncology-Pathology, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden.

⁷ P2 Biopharma Consulting, North Reading, MA, USA.

⁸ IO Biotech ApS, Copenhagen, Denmark.

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

[†] These authors jointly supervised this work.

[‡] Corresponding authors:

Kristian Pietras

Matteo Bocci

Lund University Cancer Centre Division of Translational Cancer Research Medicon Village, building 404 223 81 Lund SWEDEN Phone# +46 709209709 Email address <u>Kristian.Pietras@med.lu.se</u> IO Biotech ApS Ole Maaløes Vej 3 DK-2200 Copenhagen N DENMARK

Phone# +46 707463021 Email address <u>Matteo.Bocci@med.lu.se</u>

Conflict-of-interest statement:

KP and RSP are listed as inventors on patent #US8158584B2 related to the ALK1 inhibitor dalantercept.

Abstract

The biology centered around the TGF- β type I receptor Activin Receptor-Like Kinase (ALK)1 (encoded by ACVRL1) has been almost exclusively based on its reported endothelial expression pattern since its first functional characterization more than two decades ago. Here, in efforts to better define the therapeutic context in which to use ALK1 inhibitors, we uncover a population of tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) that, by virtue of their unanticipated Acvrl1 expression, are effector targets for adjuvant anti-angiogenic immunotherapy in mouse models of metastatic breast cancer. The combinatorial benefit depended on ALK1-mediated modulation of the differentiation potential of bone marrow-derived granulocyte-macrophage progenitors, the release of CD14⁺ monocytes into circulation, and their eventual extravasation.

Notably, ACVRL1⁺ TAMs coincided with an immunosuppressive phenotype, and were over-represented in human cancers progressing on therapy. Accordingly, breast cancer patients with a prominent ACVRL1^{hi} TAM signature exhibited a significantly shorter survival. In conclusion, we shed light on an unexpected multimodal regulation of tumorigenic phenotypes by ALK1 and demonstrate its utility as a target for anti-angiogenic immunotherapy.

Graphical abstract

See submitted file.

Introduction

The activity of the transforming growth factor (TGF)- β superfamily of ligands and receptors constitutes an essential component of physiological and developmental processes (1). In a similar fashion—owing to the pleiotropic effects of this complex pathway— the molecular cues instigated by TGF- β signaling are an established hallmark of cancer (2). Nonetheless, translation to clinical application of TGF- β pathway inhibitors has proved difficult, since the signal transduction cascade is cell type-specific (3), environmentally controlled (4), and stagedictated throughout tumorigenesis (5). Knock-out studies in mice have detailed the normal function of the TGF- β type I receptor Activin Receptor-Like Kinase (ALK)1, encoded by Acvr11, invariably resulting in embryonic lethality due to gross vascular alterations (6). Even though additional studies reported antithetic roles for ALK1 during physiological angiogenesis, a more generalized consensus has been attained in cancer, where ALK1 mediates proangiogenic stimuli linked to disease evolution (7, 8).

We and others have previously exploited targeting of ALK1 activity to impinge on tumor growth, either through genetic or pharmacological means (9-11), e.g. via the ligand trap RAP-041/dalantercept (referred to as ALK1-Fc from hereon). ALK1-Fc is a decoy receptor that traps the high affinity ligands bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-9 and -10, resulting in a moderated vascular tree and reduced tumor load (9, 12-14). In addition, upon ALK1 blockade, the pruned tumor-associated vasculature displays an increased pericyte coverage, conceivably contributing to a more efficient drug delivery, and a resulting reduction of metastatic dissemination to the lungs (9, 13). Despite these promising results, the clinical endorsement of ALK1 inhibitors was short-lived: indeed, following promising phase 1 trials in patients with heavily pre-treated advanced solid cancers (15, 16), expansion and phase 2 tests with dalantercept, either alone or in combination with Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) inhibitors, fell short of additional favorable effects, despite a tolerable safety profile (17-22). More recently, we determined that the network of genes correlated with ACVRL1 expression is associated with a series of processes defining the tumor microenvironment (TME) across human solid malignancies, with a large overrepresentation of pathways linked to immune cell function and regulation (23). However, how ALK1 signaling in the tumor endothelium and beyond contributes to metastatic and drug-resistant properties of the local tumor milieu remains unexplored.

Here, by modeling adjuvant (i.e. post-surgical) therapy targeting ALK1 in experimental metastatic breast cancer, we characterize a previously overlooked subpopulation of tumorassociated macrophages (TAMs) identified by expression of Acvrl1 (encoding for ALK1). Through integration of in vivo platforms, ex vivo assays and longitudinal in silico data, we demonstrate that Acvrl1⁺ TAMs derived from circulating CD14⁺ monocytes that were recruited to the tumor site. Indeed, blunted ALK1 signaling directly shaped the potency of hematopoietic progenitor cells (HPCs) in the bone marrow (BM) by hindering their differentiation into the monocytic lineage, as well as the subsequent mobilization of monocytes and their maturation to macrophages. Moreover, the emergence of ACVRL1⁺ TAMs was associated with disease progression upon development of therapeutic resistance in cancer patients. Consequently, high expression of a TAM-specific ACVRL1^{hi} signature identified patients with an exceedingly poor survival in breast cancer. As a proof of concept, in vivo combination of ALK1 inhibition and *immune checkpoint blockade resulted in significantly reduced metastatic disease. Finally, by* means of 3D modeling of the immune-endothelial interface, FACS immunophenotyping, and multiplex imaging coupled with spatial analysis, we further uncovered the multimodal local and systemic effects of an impaired ALK1 signaling in the TME.

In conclusion, our work uncovers that ALK1 embodies a dual angiogenic and immunomodulatory function in breast cancer, thereby providing a rationale for the reevaluation of ALK1-blocking agents in combination with immune checkpoint blockade.

Results

Inhibition of ALK1 alters the extent of immune infiltrate in experimental primary and metastatic breast cancer

Based on our previous observations that ACVRL1 expression is associated with immune features of the TME in a series of human solid malignancies (23), we confirmed the generality of this regulation in breast cancer. As expected, the network of genes correlated with ACVRL1 expression paralleled a similar pattern in the TCGA Breast Invasive Carcinoma cohort (24, 25), with a highly significant enrichment for angiogenesis and hypoxia, in keeping with the reported endothelial expression of ALK1 (Table S1). However, the largest group of gene set enrichment analysis terms fell into a broad collection of processes defining the functionality and regulation of the immune cell compartment, e.g. interferon (IFN)- γ response, IL2-STAT5 signaling, and TNF- α signaling via NF- κ B (Figure S1A and Table S1).

In light of these results, we immunostained experimental breast cancer tissue from transgenic *MMTV-PyMT* mice treated with *ALK1-Fc* (13) (Figure 1A). Assessment of DAB-IHC revealed an increased abundance of immunoreactivity against the broad leukocyte marker CD45 in *ALK1-Fc-treated* tumors compared with control IgG2a (Figure 1B). An analogous rise was observed for the T lymphocyte-restricted marker CD3 (Figure 1C). Furthermore, RNA was extracted from tissue sections from the same tumors and used as input for a qRT-PCR array focusing on immune-related genes. As shown in Figure 1D (and Table S2), tumors exposed to *ALK1-Fc* displayed a substantial increase in the expression of genes broadly regulating the identity and activation state of different immune cell types, including Cd40lg, Cd4, Cd3d, Ifng, 114, and Pdcd1lg2 (PD-L2).

Primary tumors from MMTV-PyMT mice, or resulting from E0771 breast cancer cell transplantation, did not respond to either PD-1 or CTLA-4 inhibitors alone, or in combination

with ALK1-Fc (Figures S1B-C). To better reflect the clinical management of breast cancer patients, and acknowledging the potent immunosuppressive effect of primary tumors (26), we next modeled an adjuvant therapy setup (Figure 1E). Following the engraftment of E0771 breast cancer cells in the abdominal mammary fat pad of syngeneic recipient hosts, incipient tumors were surgically resected when they reached 13 mm in the largest diameter. Five to 7 days after surgery, mice were randomized to receive IgG2a or ALK1-Fc for up to 4 weeks, while carefully monitoring for signs of disease spread to the lungs. At the experimental endpoint, administration of ALK1-Fc significantly reduced the number of macro-metastases per mouse, without affecting metastatic incidence (Figure 1F).

Based on the relative size and distribution of the metastases, lung lesions were either manually isolated or laser-capture microdissected, and further processed for bulk RNA sequencing. Enrichment analysis outlined an overrepresentation in tumors from ALK1-Fc-treated mice of pathways related to inflammatory response, complement, allograft rejection, as well as apoptosis, whereas DNA repair was greatly underscored (Figure S1D and Table S3). Strikingly, the statistically significant ontology terms covered both the innate and the adaptive arms of the defense response, encompassing more specialized functions such as interleukin production, response to interferon- γ , activation, migration, and chemotaxis of a series of distinct immune cell types (Figure 1G and Table S3).

Jointly, these data suggest that ALK1 inhibition promotes an inflammatory TME that may be further exploited for improved tumor control.

Murine and human macrophages express Acvrl1/ACVRL1

The exclusive enrichment for immune processes following ALK1 inhibition made us wonder about the etiology of this regulation. Although an altered angiocrine signaling caused by inhibition of ALK1 is a logical and expected possibility considering the reported endothelial specificity of expression, it is tempting to speculate that a population of immune cells may directly react to ALK1 modulation, given the scale of the response observed at the gene expression level. To test the latter hypothesis, primary tumors from MMTV-PyMT mice were dissociated to single-cell suspensions, and expression of Acvrl1 was queried by qRT-PCR of RNA isolated from immune cell populations sorted by flow cytometry (Figures 2A and S2A). Isolated endothelial cells and malignant cells served as positive and negative controls for Acvrl1 expression, respectively (Figure 2B). Strikingly, as shown in Figure 2B, a subset of myeloid cells readily expressed Acvrl1, with Ly6C⁻ CD64⁺ macrophages, CD11b⁺ Ly6C^{hi} monocytes, CD11b⁺ MHCII⁺ dendritic cells, and CD11b⁺ Ly6G⁻ neutrophils displaying the highest expression levels. Additionally, the expression of Acvrl1 bore functional implications, as stimulation of bone marrow (BM)-derived macrophages with the high affinity ligand BMP-9 significantly upregulated the expression of the downstream target gene Id1 (Figure 2C).

Scrutiny of the recently published genomic catalogue of the adult human breast (27) consolidated the expression of ACVRL1 in a series of annotated myeloid cell types (Figure S2B), including a variable yet conserved proportion (4-8%) of Macro -M2, -lipo and -IFN subsets as the fractions with the highest expression, indicating that ACVRL1 is a feature of several macrophage phenotypes and states. Moreover, our assessment of the expression of ACVRL1 by means of qRT-PCR revealed prominent mRNA expression in freshly isolated human CD14⁺ monocytes from the peripheral blood of healthy donors (Figure 2B). In

agreement with this finding, ACVRL1 was also discernible in CD14⁺ monocytes in a singlecell (sc)RNA-seq collection of the human bone marrow (28) (Figure S2C).

In the context of cancer, we started off by generating and validating a TAM-specific ACVRL1 signature. Significantly differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between ACVRL1⁺ and ACVRL1⁻ macrophages were extracted from a breast-specific map of immune phenotypes (29) with an updated cellular annotation (30) (Table S4). This list was further filtered through a triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) scRNA-seq dataset (31) by applying a stringent criteria selection (i.e. high expression restricted to the macrophage cluster; Table S5), leading to four genes: SPP1, APOC1, FCER1G, and MMP9. The final signature –which included these four genes as well as ACVRL1— teased out a distinct cluster of myeloid cells and TAMs when imposed on two additional breast cancer scRNA-seq metadata (31, 32) (Figures S2D-E), with the highest average signature expression in lipid-associated macrophages (LAMs; Figure 2D). Next, we queried the approximately 400 DEGs between ACVRL1⁺ vs ACVRL1⁻ TAMs in relation to the hallmarks of intratumoral heterogeneity that were recently mapped out (33). Within the macrophage cell type, this analysis confirmed a significant enrichment for lipid-related, glycolysis, and proteasomal degradation meta-programs (Figure S2F and Table S6).

When closing in on TAMs, inspection of the breast-restricted immune atlas revealed the highest expression of ACVRL1 in angiogenesis-associated, pro-tumorigenic SPP1⁺ TAMs (34) (Figures 2E and S2G). Reassuringly, the average expression of the genes included in the signature was higher in ACVRL1⁺ vs ACVRL1⁻ TAMs (Figure 2E). Within the SPP1⁺ cluster, ACVRL1 expression strongly mirrored other immunosuppressive markers, such as FABP5 and TREM2, with both genes further converging into the previously reported LAM phenotype (32, 35) (Figure 2F). To expand on the predicted recruited origin (and supported by ACVRL1 expression in CD14⁺ monocytes), our analysis highlighted the distinct expression pattern of ACVRL1 relative to FOLR2, which unequivocally identifies resident APOE⁺ TAMs (36); indeed, FOLR2 expression exclusively segregated in the C1QC⁺ TAM cluster (Figure 2F).

Finally, to validate these results in patient material, the expression of ALK1 was probed in human breast cancer specimens by RNAscope (due to the paucity of antibodies specific for ALK1 suitable for immunostaining) coupled with highly sensitive multiplexed immunohistochemistry (mIHC) to identify constituent cell types. As expected, the signal of ACVRL1 readily overlapped with the endothelial marker CD31 (cyan inlet/arrows, Figure 2G). Moreover, the characteristic dots of the RNA-ISH clearly accumulated in CD45-positive cells in the tissue (yellow inlet/arrows).

Taken together, our data reveal that expression of ALK1 is not, as previously reported, exclusive for the endothelium, and that a population of recruited TAMs is also characterized by ACVRL1 expression across human breast malignancies.

ACVRL1-expressing TAMs display an immunosuppressive phenotype associated with resistance to therapy and poor survival

To gain additional insight into the translational relevance of the molecular cues instigated by ALK1 in macrophages, we estimated survival outcomes in 1097 breast cancer patients from the TCGA repository (37) through Kaplan-Meier survival fractions, as well as a Cox proportional hazard model. After adjusting for stage, age at diagnosis, and estrogen receptor (ER) status, the ACVRL1 signature^{high} group exhibited worse disease-specific survival (DSS; p-value = 0.017, HR = 1.86 (95% CI = 1.14-3.03); Figure 3A and Table 1), as well as progression-free interval, (PFI; p-value = 0.014, HR = 1.66 (95% CI = 116-2.38); Figure S3A), compared to the group of patients with the lowest ACVRL1 signature expression; a

similar trend was observed for overall survival (OS; p-value = 0.19, HR = 1.23 (95% CI 0.87-1.75); Figure S3B). These results were validated in the METABRIC (38) dataset, which confirmed the significance for DSS (p-value = 0.018, HR = 1.34 (95% CI= 1.11-1.60; Figure 3B and Table 2), and the trend for OS (p-value = 0.077, HR = 1.14 (95% CI = 0.99-1.31); Figure S3C).

Prompted by these results, we set out to determine how myeloid expression of ALK1 tied in with the clinical performance of immunotherapy. In a cohort or 43 TNBC patients, in which the pre-treatment bulk tumor transcriptional pattern was correlated to the outcome of anti-PD-1 therapy (39), baseline expression of either ACVRL1 alone, or of the gene signature for ACVRL1⁺ macrophages, was significantly higher in non-responders (n= 27) vs responders (*n*=16) (*Fig. 3C-D*), indicative of an immunosuppressive environment. However, limited by the evident lack of well-annotated datasets exploring predictive biomarkers for immunotherapy in breast cancer, and the delay in including immunotherapy in standard-of-care for this malignancy (40), we resorted to melanoma, where the use of immune checkpoint inhibitors has revolutionized the clinical management of patients. Thus, we screened a cohort of 48 melanoma patients that were longitudinally sampled throughout therapy (41), in this case a regimen of anti-CTLA-4, anti-PD-1, or combined CTLA-4 and PD-1 blockade. The analysis of this dataset confirmed that ALK1-expressing macrophages represented a minor subset of TAMs at baseline (circa 7%), which were efficiently removed by therapy in the responder group (Figure S3D). Strikingly, ALK1⁺ TAMs emerged prominently upon treatment resistance, where they represented approximately 18% of the tumor monocyte/macrophage cluster in the nonresponder group (Figure S3E). Accordingly, the expression of the ACVRL1 signature — as well as the individual genes included in it — were significantly higher in non-responders vs responders, and in post- vs pre-treatment data points (Figures 3E and S3E). Notably, the

highest frequency and average expression of ACVRL1 were detected in the treatment arm combining dual CTLA-4 and PD-1 blockade. In light of this specific modulation, we homed in on the combined anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1 group, and the data from this treatment arm was dichotomized based on response at each timepoint. As shown in Figures 3F-G, the ACVRL1⁺ myeloid cells present at baseline persisted throughout therapy, and further expanded in the non-responders. This regulation was even more striking when considering the ACVRL1 signature, which showed an inherent difference already at baseline (Figure S3E), suggesting that components of this signature might be responsible for intrinsic, primary resistance to immunotherapy. Moreover, ACVRL1 expression was tied to that of immunosuppressive markers like CD274 (PD-L1) and PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2) in non-responders in the global cohort (Figure 3C). These data imply that ALK1 signaling may be directly involved in the specification of an immunosuppressive phenotype in TAMs. In agreement with this hypothesis, a distinct peak for SMAD5, the signaling mediator downstream of ALK1, could be extrapolated in the promoter region of CD274/PD-L1 from ChIP-seq data of hematopoietic tissue in the ENCODE database (42, 43), further intersecting with a domain of euchromatin, indicative of direct accessibility to transcription factors (Figure S3F). This analysis revealed the specificity of the signal for SMAD5, as the DNA-binding and the histone mark patterns could not be replicated for PDCD1LG2/PD-L2 nor HAVCR2/TIM-3 (Figures S3G-H).

Collectively, these results offered us a rationale to combine ALK1 blockade with immune checkpoint inhibitors.

Inhibition of ALK1 potentiates immunotherapy

Motivated by the previous results indicating an ALK1-dependent specification of a protumorigenic immune state, we yet again exploited our resection-based adjuvant therapy pipeline, this time using the syngeneic 4T1 mammary carcinoma cell line. In an initial trial modeling a pre-metastatic setting, treatment with ALK1-Fc only showed a trend towards controlling disease progression, possibly reflecting the more aggressive nature of the 4T1 cell line (Figures S4A-B). Next, in a more advanced stage experimental setup, mice were randomized to receive post-surgery therapy with control IgG2a, ALK1-Fc, immunotherapy (IT) comprising dual PD-1 and CTLA-4 inhibition, or a combination of ALK1-Fc and IT (Figure 4A). At sacrifice, the total lung weight was recorded as a readout for metastatic burden. Notably, the cohort of mice that received combined treatment with ALK1-Fc and IT exhibited the lowest metastatic load of all groups, with a significantly reduced percentage of the lung comprised by metastatic lesions, compared to ALK1-Fc alone (Figure 4B).

We corroborated these results by assessing the lung parenchyma in the different cohorts. More than 80% of the mice treated with either IgG2a or ALK1-Fc bore metastases that virtually invaded the whole lungs (green; Figures 4C-D). Conversely, approximately 60% of the IT group and 85% of the animals in the ALK1-Fc + IT group exhibited distinct malignant lesions that were clearly encapsulated within adjacent/normal lung tissue (magenta; Figures 4C-D). In line with this, evaluation of the distribution of CD3 staining within metastatic lesions supported that control tumors were more generally immune-excluded (44, 45), and that they became increasingly more inflamed and readily infiltrated by lymphocytes as IT or a combination of ALK1-Fc + IT were administered (Figures 4E-F). Even though processing of bulk RNA sequencing did not reveal major transcriptional differences between the cohorts, a series of specific genes with a clear involvement in angiogenesis and immune cell modulation, including Dysf, Ly6g2, Ccl8, and Lrrc32, were significantly up- or down-regulated in the combination treatment group vs either monotherapy (Figures S4C-E and Table S7).

Inhibition of ALK1 elicits local and systemic effects on the immune landscape

Next, we sought to define which immune cell type is responsible for the integration of the signals coming from the different inhibitory cues during anti-angiogenic immunotherapy. For this purpose, resected 4T1 primary tumors, lung metastases and peripheral blood from a second equivalent experiment were used as input for a multicolor fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) immunophenotyping approach devised to dissect the lymphoid and myeloid arms of the immune system (46) (Figures 5A-B). Combined treatment with ALK1-Fc and immune checkpoint blockade directly acted on monocytes and macrophages in the metastatic tissue, with a significantly increased frequency of Ly6C^{hi} CD64⁻, and a concomitant reduction of $Ly6C^{hi}$ CD64⁺ cells (Figures 5C-D). In line with this, the combined treatment also significantly lowered the proportion of CD64⁺ macrophages in the lungs (Figure 5E), and increased several subsets of dendritic cells (Figures 5F-H). In light of the observed expression pattern of ALK1, the combined treatment indirectly altered the lymphoid landscape (Figures S5A-D) by significantly increasing the frequency of NK cells (Fig. 5I), CD3⁺ T-cells (Fig. 5J), CD4⁺ T-helper (Fig. 5K), and a trend for CD8⁺ cytotoxic T-lymphocytes (CTLs; Figure 5L). Moreover, these intra-metastatic changes were coupled with systemic alterations in peripheral blood composition (Figures S5E-I), where ALK1-Fc + IT remarkably stalled the differentiation from CD64⁻ to CD64⁺ monocytes (Figures 5M-O). This effect appeared to be reliant on ALK1 signaling, as the same modality of regulation was also detected in the ALK1-Fc cohort.

Altogether, these data suggest a dynamic regulation at multiple levels in the hematopoietic differentiation cascade and the metastatic immune landscape by the addition of ALK1-Fc to an immunotherapy regimen.

Vascular immune features reflect differential response to anti-angiogenic immunotherapy

To combine the data coming from the necropsy observations and the immunophenotyping, we developed a customized mIHC panel to delineate the spatial relationships of endothelial cells and a series of immune cell types (Figure 6A and Table S8). In the absence of reliable reagents for the specific detection of ALK1, and to clearly distinguish the presence of different cell types, we selected CD31 to mark endothelial cells, and TREM2 as a proxy to identify pro-tumorigenic ALK1⁺ TAMs. In addition, we stained for tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs): CD4⁺ T-helper cells, CD8a⁺ CTLs, and B220⁺ B-cells. Finally, (tumor) epithelial cells were distinguished via EpCAM. Following segmentation to classify metastatic tissue and normal/adjacent lung (Figure 6B), cell identification and phenotyping (Figures 6C-D) mirrored the features captured with FACS, IHC, and RNA-seq. Given the high level of heterogeneity within and between lesions, we focused on the cohort exposed to combined ALK1-Fc and immune checkpoint blockade, in which we observed a phenotypic range reflecting the overall response surveyed at sacrifice (Figure 6E), as well as for human patients undergoing immunotherapy. Metastatic tissue from a mouse that responded to therapy (based on the lung weight and metastatic count at sacrifice, as well as the H&E histology) encompassed the lowest abundance of CD31⁺ endothelial cells and TREM2⁺ TAMs (Figure 6E; responder). In contrast, a stable vasculature paralleled by an increased TAM-infiltration characterized the lesion of a mouse that had a controlled metastatic burden but relapsed at the primary site (Figure 6E; partial). Finally, prominent metastatic lesion vascularization and a much higher proportion of CD4⁺ cells within TILs epitomized the group of mice that did not respond/progressed upon combined therapy administration (Figure 6E; non-responder). This distribution was even more evident when considering the spatial density (Figure 6F): in this analysis, the responder lesion in the combination treatment group displayed the highest density of both T effector and T helper lymphocytes, together with the lowest density of endothelial cells and TAMs. The segmentation further captured that the influx of effector T cells was specific for the metastatic tissue, as the density of the CD8⁺ CTLs was comparable in the lung segments across the samples. In keeping with this, the CTL-to-macrophage ratio promptly correlated with response (Figure 6G). Taken together, spatial analysis of the cellular composition of responding or resistant lung metastatic lesions revealed that the combination of ALK1-Fc with immunotherapy promoted an active anti-tumor environment, while preserving sensitivity to the anti-angiogenic therapy.

ALK1 affects the hematopoietic progenitor cell niche in the bone marrow

Remodeling of the metastatic TME based on the response to ALK1-Fc and immunotherapy also corroborated the known and expected ALK1-dependent effects on the vasculature. Thus, we next sought to clarify whether endothelial ALK1 modulation limited the trans-endothelial migration of myeloid cells, as an explanation for the observed paucity of immunosuppressive TAMs in the metastatic milieu following ALK1 inhibition. To this end, murine lung endothelial cells (mLECs) engineered to express two different shRNA constructs against Acvrl1 (shA07 and shA09; Figure S6A) or a scrambled control construct (shCtrl) were seeded to populate the top channel of a 3-lane microfluidic organ-on-a-chip device (Fig. 7A). A barrier integrity test confirmed the generation of an intact 3D vascular tube, allowing its perfusion with macrophages (Figure S6B and Video 1). In turn, the CCL2 chemoattractant in the bottom lane stimulated the macrophages to migrate to the intermediate channel. Laser scanning confocal microscopy revealed a consistent trend towards a curtailed migration through Acvrl1-silenced endothelium across our longitudinal imaging schedule (Figure 7B), with a significant difference for the shA09 at 48 hours, indicating that ALK1 indeed regulates extravasation of monocytes.

Subsequently, given the modulation of circulating monocyte populations observed following adjuvant ALK1 inhibition (Figures 5N-O), we investigated whether ALK1 signaling acted upstream of macrophage functional states. We set up an in vivo experiment based on the 4T1 resection model to monitor the circulating monocytes in the framework of a short-term pharmacological inhibition of ALK1 (Figure 7C). Based on Ly6C and CD64 gating in flow cytometry analysis, we determined that ALK1-Fc reduced the frequency of circulating Ly6C^{hi} CD64⁺ monocytes in tumor-free mice, and produced a similar trend in the adjuvant setting (Figure 7D-E and S6C). Similarly, infiltration of CD64⁺ macrophages was significantly blunted in tumor-free lungs of ALK1-Fc-treated mice compared to the IgG2a group (Figure 7F), indicating that mobilization of monocytes is under the control of ALK1 activity and independent of altered angiogenic stimuli emanating from the tumor.

Taking these results into account, we next sought to clarify which step of the hematopoietic cascade is reliant on ALK1. Bone marrow cell evaluation (47) from the experimental groups showed a significant reduction in the population corresponding to the granulocyte-macrophage progenitors (GMP) in the adjuvant ALK1-Fc group, while there was no significant change in the proportion of c-Kit⁺ progenitor cells (Figure 7G-I). In agreement with the reduction of BM-GMPs, we also observed a significant decrease in the potential of BM-derived progenitors to differentiate ex vivo into colony-forming unit-granulocyte-macrophage (CFU-GM)-colonies following exposure to adjuvant ALK1-Fc treatment in vivo (Figure 7J).

In conclusion, our data demonstrate that ALK1 functionally regulates the microenvironment in two specific compartments: locally, by pruning angiogenesis and fine-tuning permissiveness to monocyte/macrophage trans-endothelial migration; and systemically, by determining the breadth of monocyte differentiation and mobilization in the bone marrow.

Discussion

The data presented in our work delineate a role in macrophage biology for the hitherto endothelium-restricted ALK1 receptor (Figure 7K). Through a series of in vivo models, clinically relevant treatment schedules, mechanistic studies, and integration of in silico datasets, we uncover and validate the retained expression of ALK1 in a population of CD14⁺ monocytes in physiological and pathological breast. When zooming in on cancer, these monocytes give rise to immunosuppressive TAMs that support disease progression upon failure of therapeutic regimens. We provide compelling evidence that the monocyte-to-TAM differentiation is the final step in a cascade of events that spring from the HPCs niche in the bone marrow, where ALK1 defines the potential of early progenitor cells.

Pharmacological blockade of endothelial ALK1 signaling gained considerable attention in the mid-2010s following a series of encouraging pre-clinical and clinical trials in a range of different tumor types. Given the more restricted pattern of expression compared with the ubiquitous VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR2), ALK1 inhibition became an enticing alternative to the promise of the "anti-angiogenic revolution" that ensued with the initial approval of bevacizumab. Despite this, the development of the ALK1 ligand trap dalantercept was discontinued due to the lack of additional benefits, raising the issue of the initial patient selection as well as the optimal therapeutic combination partner. In the absence of biomarkers for ALK1 status, the tumor types selected for escalation studies and phase 2 trials failed to offer much ground for the anti-tumor activity of dalantercept, even more so when combined with standard-of-care agents that were already suboptimal in terms of efficacy. As we were unable to access samples collected during such clinical trials, the initial indication of an active involvement of tumor immunity stems from the retrospective analysis of archival breast cancer tissue from MMTV-PyMT mice, in which neoadjuvant ALK1-Fc monotherapy promotes a pro-

inflammatory environment, with a markedly increased expression of Cd3, Cd4, as well as factors like Ifng (encoding for interferon- γ) and Cd40l. Collectively, these markers indicate a broad rewiring of the immune cell composition and activation status as a consequence of therapeutic pressure. For example, the CD40/CD40L axis is related to B-cell priming by CD4⁺ T-cells, and several agonists for CD40 have surfaced for clinical validation, e.g. mitazalimab and selicrelumab.

However, addition of immunotherapy to ALK1 blockade within a neoadjuvant regimen did not translate to better tumor control in the transgenic setting. This outcome corroborates akin published observations of combined VEGFR2 inhibition and immunotherapy (48), and is most likely due to the low mutational burden commonly observed in genetically engineered mouse models. Nonetheless, in the context of the increasing use of neoadjuvant immunotherapy in TNBC patients, it is worth to mention that we observed a trend towards fewer malignant foci to the lungs in any treatment group that included neoadjuvant ALK1-Fc in our mouse models (Figure S1), suggesting that the molecular cues in the metastatic colonization and growth are only partially overlapping with those of the primary tumor mass.

Our finding of negative impact on survival of a high expression of the ACVRL1 signature is in line with the previously reported prognostic value of pro-tumorigenic SPP1⁺ TAMs (29, 34). ACVRL1 expression was also linked to other immunosuppressive markers including FABP5 and TREM2. Notably, TREM2 also denotes immunosuppressive (and M2-like) TAMs with a putative monocytic origin (49, 50). Moreover, the ability of ALK1 to directly specify an immunosuppressive phenotype of TAMs by regulating the transcription of CD274 endows an unprecedented opportunity to investigate additional partners that could help sustain these immunomodulatory properties. Our proof-of-concept trial unequivocally indicates PD-1 and CTLA-4 inhibition as actionable therapeutic companions to ALK1 inhibition. An additional line of evidence that supports this combination comes from a clinical trial promoted by the pharmaceutical company Kintor, which resumed the development of GT-90001, a monoclonal antibody against ALK1 originally pursued by Pfizer. This early phase investigation determined the recommended dose of GT-90001 together with the anti-PD-1 nivolumab in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (51). Except for thrombocytopenia, this combination showed a good safety profile, tolerability, and anti-tumor activity to justify further investigation. Our work provides a mechanistic rationale to further pursue the combination of ALK1 inhibition with immune checkpoint blockade clinically. However, it should be noted that the mode of action of ALK1-Fc is not necessarily the same as that of GT-90001; whereas ALK1-Fc will leave the native receptor available for binding of low-affinity ligands, such as TGF- β , GT-90001 will leave high-affinity ligands BMP9/10 the opportunity of binding other TGF- β type I receptors, e.g. ALK2.

The yield brought in by combined blockade of ALK1 and immune checkpoints reflects an intrametastatic, as well as a systemic, underrepresentation of an immunosuppressive arm of the immune compartment, culminating with a depletion of recruited TAMs and circulating monocyte-derived cells, respectively. Even our bulk RNA-seq captures this macrophagecentered effect, as ALK1-Fc downregulates interferon-induced transmembrane (IFITM) 6 transcripts. The IFITM family of proteins is involved in cell-cell adhesion and cell differentiation; IFITM6 is specifically expressed in bone marrow-derived macrophages and its expression is increased in tumor-bearing mice (52). The finding that ALK1 inhibition only reduced metastatic burden, but did not affect metastatic incidence in the E0771 model, is most likely attributable to the fact that treatment was started when mice were already in advanced stage breast cancer, i.e. with pre-existing disseminated disease. Unlike the E0771-based system, in which only 40% of the mice generated macro-metastases, the 4T1 cell line gives rise

to tumors resembling stage IV human TNBC with a complete penetrance to different metastatic sites in a time-dependent manner. Despite the more aggressive growth pattern and metastatic penetrance of the 4T1 syngrafts, the addition of immunotherapy to ALK1 inhibition specifies for a higher frequency of NK cells, CD4⁺ T-cells, as well as dendritic cells. Globally, our in vivo approaches confirm a boosted infiltration and functionality of T helper, CTLs, B-cells, and professional antigen-presenting cells following exposure to ALK1-Fc-encompassing therapies. These cell types are the major constituents of tertiary lymphoid structures (TLS), which have been characterized as prime determinants of sustained anti-tumor activity in patients treated with immunotherapy (53). Although protocols for the detection and spatial characterization of TLS have been standardized and widely available for clinical investigation, translation to murine models is not trivial, as these agglomerates cannot be generally observed in full (54). It becomes even more cumbersome in the metastatic setting, which suffers from the limited availability of data from the clinical experience (55). In agreement with this, the fullslide mIHC panel we customized for breast dissemination to the lungs did not reveal the presence of TLS in the metastases or embedded within the adjacent lung tissue, except for one single lesion displaying an interesting germinal center-like core in a lymphocyte-rich structure. Given the dual nature of ALK1 expression in both blood vessels and TAMs, it is tantalizing to speculate about an active role of this signaling pathway in dampening the anti-tumor potential offered by TLS. In support of this idea, administration of ALK1-Fc significantly upregulated the expression of Tnfsf14/LIGHT, an essential chemokine that drives the formation of high endothelial venules (HEVs) and TLS (56). This modulation underlies that HEVs may not respond to conventional pro-angiogenic and -lymphangiogenic signals for their genesis; thus, whether ALK1 is functionally implicated in the development of HEVs remains to be established.

A proportion of mice in our in vivo 4T1 setup did progress even in the combined treatment group, reminiscent of the well-known heterogeneity of response to immunotherapy in patients.

In this respect, inspection of the longitudinal sc-RNA-seq human melanoma dataset confirms that ACVRL1 expression follows that of PD-L1 and PD-L2. Interestingly, Pdcd1lg2/PD-L2 was consistently upregulated following ALK1 inhibition in the MMTV-PyMT model. The exact role of PD-L2 in respect to PD-L1 is still unclear, with mounting evidence about immuneindependent functions of PD-L2 adding to the debate (57). Our experimental data do not seem to support a coordinate expression and utility of these two ligands, as for example SMAD5 is seemingly involved in the transcriptional control of CD274 only but not PDCD1LG2, despite their genomic proximity. From the clinical perspective, this large melanoma cohort also validates that ALK1 expression in TAMs correlates with resistance, with important clinical implications in terms of eligibility of treatment. This observation is particularly relevant in the search for additional companion targets to ALK1 inhibition. For example, HAVCR2/TIM-3 is expressed by T-cell to enforce a crucial immune checkpoint function associated with acquired resistance to anti-PD-1 therapy in lung adenocarcinoma (58). Ongoing clinical trials are evaluating the efficacy of agents against TIM-3: while most of the expected effects derive from lymphocytes, these studies should expand to a broader immune landscape, with a thorough characterization of both T cell-dependent and -independent functions (59). In consideration of our in vivo results describing a rewired immune landscape, including an increased frequency of T-cells, further studies to assess the role of TIM-3 inhibition in the framework of ALK1 blockade are warranted.

Finally, with the aim of providing an optimal patient stratification for therapeutic gain, it will be paramount to address the concordance between endothelial and myeloid ALK1 expression. Our transplantation-based approach limits us in uncoupling the specific contribution of ALK1 signaling in the endothelial vs myeloid compartment. To circumvent this, we devised more controlled strategies to dissect these distinct aspects of ALK1 biology. First, the exploitation of the organ-on-a-chip platform recapitulates the intertwined relationship between tissue

vascularization and immune cell trafficking. As the depletion of Acvrl1 in the endothelial compartment significantly hinders the migration of macrophages, it is likely that modulation of ALK1 remodels the expression of adhesion molecules involved in the multi-step migration of leukocytes. In agreement with this, expression of Selp (encoding for P-selecting, necessary for tethering and rolling of leukocytes) is downregulated in liver sinusoidal endothelial cells lacking ALK1 (60). Given the significant reduction in the proportion of CD64⁺ macrophages in the lung enhanced by combining IT with ALK1-Fc, these data suggest that ALK-mediated remodeling of the leukocyte migration pattern directly affected the circulating monocyte population. Second, to further dismiss the confounding effect of ALK1 at sites of neoangiogenesis, the administration of the ALK1 ligand trap in tumor-free hosts exposes the importance of this signaling pathway in the bone marrow. Interestingly, our short-term trial in the 4T1 model corroborates the trends observed in trials with a longer duration but expanded on the role of ALK1 in the mobilization of monocytes, as evinced by the significantly lower relative number of macrophages in tumor-free hosts in the ALK1-Fc cohort compared with the IgG2a control cohort. This regulation transcends the specific requirements dictated by tumor evolution and holds a broader relevance for pathologies that rely on hematopoietic dysfunction for their medical evolution, including the human hemorrhagic telangiectasia that is caused by congenital mutations in ACVRL1. An additional readout is the different magnitude of response based on the modality of treatment: no effect was appreciable in our neoadjuvant setting, reinforcing the vital role of primary tumor-derived immunosuppressive signals and crucial differences between the primary tumor ecosystem and metastatic lesions (26). In support of this, recent clinical evidence suggests a greater IT efficacy when administered during early stages of TNBCs and before the activation of immunosuppressive mechanism that characterizes later stages (61). Furthermore, promotion of an immunosuppressive TME is a preserved feature of growing malignant masses, as our data indicate that progenitor cells

extracted from the bone marrow of the MMTV-PyMT model are also more efficient at monocytopoiesis than progenitors from non-transgenic littermates (Figure S6D).

In the field of TGF- β -related biology, ALK1 has only recently abandoned the role of a pure mediator of endothelial identity and function in favor of a more multifaceted player in different homeostatic processes and diseases, including normal hair maintenance, pulmonary hypertension, and atherosclerosis (62-65). An additional layer included in our study concerns the differentiation potential of HPCs and the ability of ALK1 to selectively determine the fate of GMPs during tumor evolution. The observed reduction in the GMP population upon ALK1-*Fc treatment is evocative of the pattern evinced from the bone marrow atlas (28) (Figure S2C),* which singled out the highest average expression of ACVRL1 in the GMP cluster. In this context, it remains to be ascertained whether a blunted ALK1 signaling is a priming event that is retained in the differentiation cascade of these early progenitors or if it can be reverted. Finally, the bone represents the most common metastatic site in non-basal breast cancer. Given the peculiar macrophage signature recently uncovered in patients diagnosed with luminal subtypes (66), future efforts will also aim to define the requirement for ALK1 signaling in bone tropism of cancer cells, as well as the conceivable interplay between the hematopoietic and metastatic niches during tumor evolution. As cancer immunotherapy is rapidly incorporating myeloid modulation, a new wave of clinical trials has projected their efforts in re-programming and re-educating macrophage activity through ex vivo polarization, adoptive transfer, engineering, and CAR-macrophage approaches (67, 68). In contrast, our work underscores the potential of ALK1 as a target to act upstream of pro-tumorigenic myeloid infiltration in the tumor mass and thereby ameliorate therapeutic response.

In conclusion, our data shed light on previously unknown features of ALK1 signaling underpinning the clinical value of ALK1 suppression to promote a multifaceted anti-tumor activity. In anticipation of a revamped interest in resuming the development of pharmacological inhibitors against this receptor, our data warrant additional investigation to deconstruct the stromal hierarchy embedded in ALK1 signaling for therapeutic gain.

Methods

Detailed information on material and methods is provided as supplementary information due to restrictions in word count.

Sex as a biological variable

Our study exclusively examined female mice because the disease modeled is mostly relevant in females as no less than 99% of breast cancer diagnoses in women vs. men.

Data and material availability

The RNA-seq data have been deposited with the GEO accession number GSE26091: Link: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE260911</u>.

Values for all data points in graphs are reported in the Supporting Data Values file.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed as indicated in the figure legends and in the Methods section of the supplementary material.

Study approval

All mouse work was performed according to the permits M167-15 and 14122-2020 approved by the local ethical committee for animal experimentation.

Association with disease-specific survival

Characteristic	HR	95% CI	p-value
ACVRL1 signature			
low			
high	1.86	1.14 , 3.03	0.013
Age	1.00	0.99 , 1.02	0.7
Stage			
1			
2	1.90	0.79 , 4.58	0.2
3	5.70	2.32 , 14	<0.001
4	29.7	11.0 , 80.5	<0.001
ER-α status			
Negative			
Positive	0.36	0.22 , 0.58	<0.001

 Table 1. Cox proportional hazards model for the association of the signature for ACVRL1⁺

 macrophages with disease-specific survival in the TCGA cohort. HR = Hazard Ratio; CI = Confidence

 Interval.

Association with disease-specific survival

Characteristic	HR	95% CI	p-value
ACVRL1 signature			
low			
high	1.34	1.11 , 1.60	0.002
Age	1.02	1.02 , 1.03	<0.001
Stage			
1			
2	1.96	1.57 , 2.45	<0.001
3	4.11	3.01 , 5.61	<0.001
4	6.14	2.96 , 12.7	<0.001
ER- α status			
Negative			
Positive	0.63	0.51 , 0.77	<0.001

Table 2. Cox proportional hazards model for the association of the signature for *ACVRL1*⁺ macrophages with disease-specific survival in the Metabric cohort. HR = Hazard Ratio; CI = Confidence Interval.

References

- 1. J. Massague, D. Sheppard, TGF-beta signaling in health and disease. Cell **186**, 4007-4037 (2023).
- 2. D. Hanahan, R. A. Weinberg, The hallmarks of cancer. Cell 100, 57-70 (2000).
- 3. Y. Wei et al., Fibroblast-specific inhibition of TGF-beta1 signaling attenuates lung and tumor fibrosis. J Clin Invest **127**, 3675-3688 (2017).
- 4. *C. M. Ghajar et al., The perivascular niche regulates breast tumour dormancy. Nat Cell Biol* **15**, 807-817 (2013).
- 5. N. Bardeesy et al., Smad4 is dispensable for normal pancreas development yet critical in progression and tumor biology of pancreas cancer. Genes Dev 20, 3130-3146 (2006).
- 6. S. P. Oh et al., Activin receptor-like kinase 1 modulates transforming growth factorbeta 1 signaling in the regulation of angiogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97, 2626-2631 (2000).
- 7. S. I. Cunha, K. Pietras, ALK1 as an emerging target for antiangiogenic therapy of cancer. Blood 117, 6999-7006 (2011).
- 8. *A. G. de Vinuesa, M. Bocci, K. Pietras, P. Ten Dijke, Targeting tumour vasculature by inhibiting activin receptor-like kinase (ALK)1 function. Biochem Soc Trans* **44**, 1142-1149 (2016).
- 9. S. I. Cunha et al., Genetic and pharmacological targeting of activin receptor-like kinase
 1 impairs tumor growth and angiogenesis. J Exp Med 207, 85-100 (2010).
- 10. D. D. Hu-Lowe et al., Targeting activin receptor-like kinase 1 inhibits angiogenesis and tumorigenesis through a mechanism of action complementary to anti-VEGF therapies. Cancer Res **71**, 1362-1373 (2011).

- 11. N. M. Eleftheriou et al., Compound genetically engineered mouse models of cancer reveal dual targeting of ALK1 and endoglin as a synergistic opportunity to impinge on angiogenic TGF-beta signaling. Oncotarget 7, 84314-84325 (2016).
- 12. D. Mitchell et al., ALK1-Fc inhibits multiple mediators of angiogenesis and suppresses tumor growth. Mol Cancer Ther **9**, 379-388 (2010).
- 13. S. I. Cunha et al., Endothelial ALK1 Is a Therapeutic Target to Block Metastatic Dissemination of Breast Cancer. Cancer Res **75**, 2445-2456 (2015).
- L. J. Hawinkels et al., Activin Receptor-like Kinase 1 Ligand Trap Reduces Microvascular Density and Improves Chemotherapy Efficiency to Various Solid Tumors. Clin Cancer Res 22, 96-106 (2016).
- 15. J. C. Bendell et al., Safety, pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and antitumor activity of dalantercept, an activin receptor-like kinase-1 ligand trap, in patients with advanced cancer. Clin Cancer Res 20, 480-489 (2014).
- L. W. Goff et al., A Phase I Study of the Anti-Activin Receptor-Like Kinase 1 (ALK-1) Monoclonal Antibody PF-03446962 in Patients with Advanced Solid Tumors. Clin Cancer Res 22, 2146-2154 (2016).
- V. Makker et al., Phase II evaluation of dalantercept, a soluble recombinant activin receptor-like kinase 1 (ALK1) receptor fusion protein, for the treatment of recurrent or persistent endometrial cancer: an NRG Oncology/Gynecologic Oncology Group Study 0229N. Gynecol Oncol 138, 24-29 (2015).
- 18. *A. Jimeno et al., A phase 2 study of dalantercept, an activin receptor-like kinase-1 ligand trap, in patients with recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Cancer* **122**, 3641-3649 (2016).

- 19. *M. H. Voss et al., The DART Study: Results from the Dose-Escalation and Expansion Cohorts Evaluating the Combination of Dalantercept plus Axitinib in Advanced Renal Cell Carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res* 23, 3557-3565 (2017).
- 20. R. A. Burger et al., Phase II evaluation of dalantercept in the treatment of persistent or recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer: An NRG Oncology/Gynecologic Oncology Group study. Gynecol Oncol **150**, 466-470 (2018).
- 21. G. K. Abou-Alfa et al., A Phase Ib, Open-Label Study of Dalantercept, an Activin Receptor-Like Kinase 1 Ligand Trap, plus Sorafenib in Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Oncologist **24**, 161-e170 (2019).
- 22. *M. H. Voss et al., A phase 2, randomized trial evaluating the combination of dalantercept plus axitinib in patients with advanced clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Cancer* **125**, 2400-2408 (2019).
- 23. *M. Bocci et al., Activin receptor-like kinase 1 is associated with immune cell infiltration and regulates CLEC14A transcription in cancer. Angiogenesis* **22**, 117-131 (2019).
- 24. E. Cerami et al., The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov 2, 401-404 (2012).
- 25. J. Gao et al., Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. Sci Signal 6, pl1 (2013).
- 26. B. M. Allen et al., Systemic dysfunction and plasticity of the immune macroenvironment in cancer models. Nature Medicine **26**, 1125-1134 (2020).
- 27. T. Kumar et al., A spatially resolved single-cell genomic atlas of the adult human breast. Nature 620, 181-191 (2023).
- 28. T. Stuart et al., Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. Cell 177, 1888-1902 e1821 (2019).

- 29. E. Azizi et al., Single-Cell Map of Diverse Immune Phenotypes in the Breast Tumor Microenvironment. Cell **174**, 1293-1308 e1236 (2018).
- 30. S. Cheng et al., A pan-cancer single-cell transcriptional atlas of tumor infiltrating myeloid cells. Cell **184**, 792-809.e723 (2021).
- 31. B. Pal et al., A single-cell RNA expression atlas of normal, preneoplastic and tumorigenic states in the human breast. EMBO J 40, e107333 (2021).
- 32. S. Z. Wu et al., A single-cell and spatially resolved atlas of human breast cancers. Nat Genet 53, 1334-1347 (2021).
- 33. A. Gavish et al., Hallmarks of transcriptional intratumour heterogeneity across a thousand tumours. Nature 618, 598-606 (2023).
- 34. R. Bill et al., CXCL9:SPP1 macrophage polarity identifies a network of cellular programs that control human cancers. Science **381**, 515-524 (2023).
- 35. D. A. Jaitin et al., Lipid-Associated Macrophages Control Metabolic Homeostasis in a Trem2-Dependent Manner. Cell **178**, 686-698 e614 (2019).
- *R. Nalio Ramos et al., Tissue-resident FOLR2(+) macrophages associate with CD8(+) T cell infiltration in human breast cancer. Cell* **185**, *1189-1207 e1125 (2022).*
- 37. J. Liu et al., An Integrated TCGA Pan-Cancer Clinical Data Resource to Drive High-Quality Survival Outcome Analytics. Cell **173**, 400-416 e411 (2018).
- *C. Curtis et al., The genomic and transcriptomic architecture of 2,000 breast tumours reveals novel subgroups. Nature* **486***, 346-352 (2012).*
- 39. Y. Zhang et al., Single-cell analyses reveal key immune cell subsets associated with response to PD-L1 blockade in triple-negative breast cancer. Cancer Cell **39**, 1578-1593 e1578 (2021).

- 40. Hammerl et al., Spatial immunophenotypes predict response to anti-PD1 treatment and capture distinct paths of T cell evasion in triple negative breast cancer. Nat Commun
 12, 5668 (2021).
- 41. *M. Sade-Feldman et al., Defining T Cell States Associated with Response to Checkpoint Immunotherapy in Melanoma. Cell* **175**, 998-1013 e1020 (2018).
- 42. I. Dunham et al., An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. Nature **489**, 57-74 (2012).
- 43. Y. Luo et al., New developments on the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE) data portal. Nucleic Acids Research 48, D882-D889 (2019).
- 44. J. Galon, D. Bruni, Approaches to treat immune hot, altered and cold tumours with combination immunotherapies. Nat Rev Drug Discov **18**, 197-218 (2019).
- 45. T. Gruosso et al., Spatially distinct tumor immune microenvironments stratify triplenegative breast cancers. J Clin Invest **129**, 1785-1800 (2019).
- 46. P. L. Loyher et al., Macrophages of distinct origins contribute to tumor development in the lung. J Exp Med **215**, 2536-2553 (2018).
- 47. *C. J. Pronk et al., Elucidation of the phenotypic, functional, and molecular topography of a myeloerythroid progenitor cell hierarchy. Cell Stem Cell* **1**, 428-442 (2007).
- 48. *M. Schmittnaegel et al., Dual angiopoietin-2 and VEGFA inhibition elicits antitumor immunity that is enhanced by PD-1 checkpoint blockade. Sci Transl Med* **9**, (2017).
- 49. Y. Katzenelenbogen et al., Coupled scRNA-Seq and Intracellular Protein Activity Reveal an Immunosuppressive Role of TREM2 in Cancer. Cell 182, 872-885.e819 (2020).
- 50. M. Molgora et al., TREM2 Modulation Remodels the Tumor Myeloid Landscape Enhancing Anti-PD-1 Immunotherapy. Cell **182**, 886-900 e817 (2020).

- 51. C. Hsu et al., Combination of GT90001 and nivolumab in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: a multicenter, single-arm, phase 1b/2 study. BMC Medicine
 21, 395 (2023).
- 52. J. H. Han et al., IFITM6 expression is increased in macrophages of tumor-bearing mice. Oncol Rep 25, 531-536 (2011).
- 53. R. Cabrita et al., Tertiary lymphoid structures improve immunotherapy and survival in melanoma. Nature 577, 561-565 (2020).
- 54. A. Vaccaro, T. van de Walle, M. Ramachandran, M. Essand, A. Dimberg, Of mice and lymphoid aggregates: modeling tertiary lymphoid structures in cancer. Frontiers in Immunology 14, (2023).
- 55. R. Mustapha, K. Ng, J. Monypenny, T. Ng, Insights Into Unveiling a Potential Role of Tertiary Lymphoid Structures in Metastasis. Front Mol Biosci 8, 661516 (2021).
- 56. *M. Ramachandran et al., Tailoring vascular phenotype through AAV therapy promotes anti-tumor immunity in glioma. Cancer Cell* **41**, *1134-1151 e1110 (2023).*
- 57. Y. Wang et al., Evolving landscape of PD-L2: bring new light to checkpoint immunotherapy. Br J Cancer **128**, 1196-1207 (2023).
- 58. S. Koyama et al., Adaptive resistance to therapeutic PD-1 blockade is associated with upregulation of alternative immune checkpoints. Nat Commun 7, 10501 (2016).
- *Y. Wolf, A. C. Anderson, V. K. Kuchroo, TIM3 comes of age as an inhibitory receptor. Nature Reviews Immunology* **20**, *173-185 (2020).*
- 60. C. D. Schmid et al., ALK1 controls hepatic vessel formation, angiodiversity, and angiocrine functions in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia of the liver. Hepatology **77**, 1211-1227 (2023).
- 61. *P. Tarantino et al., Immunotherapy for early triple negative breast cancer: research agenda for the next decade. NPJ Breast Cancer* **8**, *23 (2022).*

- 62. Y. Kimishima et al., Clonal hematopoiesis with JAK2V617F promotes pulmonary hypertension with ALK1 upregulation in lung neutrophils. Nat Commun 12, 6177 (2021).
- 63. D. Zhao et al., ALK1 signaling is required for the homeostasis of Kupffer cells and prevention of bacterial infection. J Clin Invest **132**, (2022).
- 64. S. Lee et al., Genetic or therapeutic neutralization of ALK1 reduces LDL transcytosis and atherosclerosis in mice. Nature Cardiovascular Research **2**, 438-448 (2023).
- 65. G. Chovatiya, K. N. Li, J. Li, S. Ghuwalewala, T. Tumbar, Alk1 acts in non-endothelial VE-cadherin(+) perineurial cells to maintain nerve branching during hair homeostasis. Nat Commun 14, 5623 (2023).
- 66. S. Onkar et al., Immune landscape in invasive ductal and lobular breast cancer reveals a divergent macrophage-driven microenvironment. Nat Cancer 4, 516-534 (2023).
- 67. A. Mantovani, P. Allavena, F. Marchesi, C. Garlanda, Macrophages as tools and targets in cancer therapy. Nat Rev Drug Discov 21, 799-820 (2022).
- 68. *T. Kerzel et al., In vivo macrophage engineering reshapes the tumor microenvironment leading to eradication of liver metastases. Cancer Cell* **41**, *1892-1910 e1810 (2023).*

Author contributions

Conceptualization: MB, KP. Methodology: MST, JS, PB, EK, TVP, SaL, SoL, GF, VI, SB, MB. Software: JS, PB, ML. Validation: MST, JS, PB, SoL, MB. Formal analysis: MST, JS, PB, EK, TVP, SaL, CO, ML, GBJ, CR, MB, KP. Investigation: MST, JS, PB, EK, EC, TVP, SaL, GF, VI, SB, JP, MB. Resources: RSP, KP. Data curation: MST, JS, PB, EK, MB, KP. Writing – original draft: MB, KP. Writing – review & editing: MST, JS, PB, EK, EC, TVP, SaL, SoL, GF, VI, SB, JP, CO, ML, SRP, GBJ, CR. Visualization: MST, JS, PB, MB. Supervision: MB, KP. Project administration: MB, KP. Funding acquisition: MB, KP.

Acknowledgements

KP is the Grosskopf Professor of Molecular Medicine at Lund University. This work was supported by grants from the Swedish Research Council, the Swedish Cancer Society, the Knut and Alice Wallenberg foundation, Swedish State Support for Clinical Research through Region Skåne ALF, the Göran Gustafsson foundation, the Mats Paulsson foundations, the Cancera foundation, the Crafoord Foundation, and the Fru Berta Kamprad Foundation. We thank the Centre for Translational Genomics (Lund University), and Clinical Genomics Lund (SciLifeLab) for sequencing services; Uppsala Multidisciplinary Center for Advanced Computational Sciences (UPPMAX), and the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC) for computing resources. We thank Dr. Marcus Järås for providing human CD14⁺ monocytes; Prof. Kairbaan Hodivala-Dilke for the generous gift of mLEC cells; Christina Möller for technical assistance; Dr. Gottfrid Sjödahl for access to the pathology slide scanner; Anna Ebbesson for access to the laser capture microdissection instrument and training; Prof. Jonas Larsson and Dr. Luis Quintino for reagent provision; Dr. Cristiana Pires, Dr. Trine Ahn Kristiansen, Dr. Charlotta Böiers, Adriana Seira Calderon Moreno, and Lena Tran for scientific discussions; the technical staff at the Lund Stem Cell Centre FACS and Vector cores. Illustrations were created with Biorender.com.



IL-12 2 2,2 2,4 2,6 2,8 NES

Figure legends

Figure 1. Inhibition of ALK1 alters the extent of immune infiltrate in experimental primary and metastatic breast cancer.

(A): Analysis of archival breast cancer tissue from the transgenic MMTV-PyMT mouse model treated with IgG2a or ALK1-Fc (13). (B-C): Representative fields of DAB-IHC for CD45 (B), and CD3 (C), ALK1-Fc vs IgG2a (n= 4 for IgG2a, n= 6 for ALK1-Fc). Scalebar: 100 µm. (D): Plot displaying the fold-change expression of target genes from the qRT-PCR, ALK1-Fc vs IgG2a. F.C.: Fold Change. (E): Experimental design of the adjuvant trial based on the orthotopic transplantation of 5x10^s E0771 cells in syngeneic C57BL/6 hosts (n= 15 for IgG2a, n= 13 for ALK1-Fc). (F): Quantification of macro-metastases at sacrifice, ALK1-Fc vs IgG2a. Data displayed as mean with standard error of the mean (SEM). P-value: Mann-Whitney Utest. (G): Selection of significant gene ontology terms (adjusted p-value < 0.05) from the analysis performed on bulk RNA-sequencing of E0771 lung metastases. Normalized enrichment score (NES) values, ALK1-Fc vs IgG2a. DC: dendritic cell; APC: antigen presenting cell; MHC: major histocompatibility complex; IFN: interferon; TNF: tumor necrosis factor; IL: interleukin.



Figure 2. Murine and human macrophages express Acvrl1/ACVRL1.

(A-B): Study design (A) for the quantification of Acvrl1 expression in FACS-sorted immune cell populations from the MMTV-PyMT model (3 pooled experiments) (B). Positive ($CD31^+$ endothelial cells) and negative (*EpCAM*⁺ epithelial cells) controls highlighted in magenta and purple, respectively. Expression of ACVRL1 in freshly isolated human CD14⁺ monocytes from healthy donors (green). Data displayed as mean with SEM. (C): Expression of Id1 in unstimulated (Control) vs BMP-9-stimulated bone marrow (BM)-derived macrophages (representative of 3 independent experiments). Data displayed as mean with SEM. *** p < 0.001, unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test. (D): Overlay of a TAM-specific ACVRL1 signature onto myeloid cells of a human breast cancer scRNA-seq dataset (32). (E): Expression of ACVRL1 in TAMs from the scRNA-seq atlas of immune phenotypes (29, 30). Density plot of the expression of ACVRL1 in TAMs. The average expression of the genes composing the TAM signature is presented in the heatmap for ACVRL1⁺ and ACVRL1⁻ TAM populations. (F): Heatmap of the expression of ACVRL1, cluster markers, and prototypical TAM-markers in the scRNA-seq atlas of immune phenotypes (29). (G): Dual RNAscope ISH coupled with mIHC in human breast cancer. The protein markers CD31 (magenta) and CD45 (white) were used to describe the cellular distribution of the ACVRL1 probe (green). Scalebar 20 µm. Two inlets were annotated to highlight endothelial (cyan inlet/arrows) or immune-restricted accumulation of ACVRL1 (yellow inlets/arrows). Inlet scalebar 10 µm.



Figure 3. ACVRL1-expressing TAMs display an immunosuppressive phenotype associated with resistance to therapy and poor survival.

(A-B): Survival analysis in the TCGA BRCA (37) (A) and METABRIC (38) (B) datasets. Patients were stratified into two risk groups based on the median value of the mean expression of a TAM-specific ACVRL1 signature. The Kaplan-Meier curves show the disease-specific survival (DSS) probabilities of the high (red) and low (green) signature expression groups in the two cohorts. P-value: log-rank test. The tables summarize the relative Cox proportional hazard model analysis for each cohort. (C-D): Boxplots depicting the expression of ACVRL1 (C) and the 5-gene signature of ACVRL1⁺ macrophages (D) in a bulk RNA-seq dataset of 43 TNBC patients sequenced before treatment with anti-PD-1 (41). Pre-treatment features were then correlated to response to therapy (responders, n = 16; non-responders, n = 27). Statistical analysis was performed using the Wilcoxon rank sum test, and the p-values were corrected for multiple testing with the Benjamini-Hochberg method. (E-F): Expression of ACVRL1 in a CD45⁺-restricted scRNA-seq compendium of 48 melanoma patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors (40). The average expression of the 5-gene signature, and the average scaled expression of the individual genes are presented in a heatmap (E) based on response, time point, and treatment arm. The average expression of ACVRL1 in the combined CTLA-4 and PD-1 inhibition group was imposed on the UMAP, and further split to create four different groups: pre-responder, post-responder, pre-non-responder, and post-non-responder (F). Contingent on the aggregated data points in (F), the average scaled expression of the five genes comprised in the ACVRL1 signature is presented in a heatmap (G).



Figure 4. Inhibition of ALK1 potentiates immunotherapy.

(A-B): Experimental design of the adjuvant trial based on the orthotopic transplantation of $5x10^4$ 4T1 cells in syngeneic BALB/c hosts (n= 7 for IgG2a, n= 4 for ALK1-Fc, n= 6 each for IT and ALK1-Fc + IT) (A). Immunotherapy (IT) consists of a dual inhibition of PD-1 and CTLA-4. MFP: mammary fat pad. Quantification of metastatic area in the lungs in the different treated cohorts (B). Data displayed as mean with SEM. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, ***p<0.001, One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test for the comparisons between ALK1-Fc vs ALK1-Fc + IT and IT vs ALK1-Fc + IT. (C-D): Hematoxylin and Eosin staining of whole lung sections from the different cohorts in the 4T1 adjuvant trial. Representative pictograms of complete lung metastatic infiltration (green; C) or partial metastatic outgrowth (magenta), quantified in (D). Scalebar: 100 μ m. p-value: χ 2 test. (E-F): DAB-IHC for CD3 in whole lung sections from the different cohorts (E), and quantification of the proportions of the CD3 distribution in the metastases (F). The staining pattern was arbitrarily categorized in low (cyan), medium (yellow), and high (magenta). Scalebar: 50 μ m. p-value: χ 2 test.



Figure 5: Anti-angiogenic immunotherapy elicits tumor-specific and systemic effects on the immune cell composition.

(A): Experimental design of the 4T1-based adjuvant trial to study different population of myeloid and lymphoid cells via FACS. (B): representative FACS plots for the myeloid compartment in the different cohorts (n= 5 each for IgG2a and ALK1-Fc, n= 6 each for IT and ALK1-Fc + IT). (C-D): From the CD11b⁺ gating, relative abundance of monocytes in lung tissue: Ly6C^{hi} CD64⁺ (C), and Ly6C^{hi} CD64⁺ (D). Data displayed as mean with SEM. p-value: unpaired, two-tailed t-test. (E): From the CD11b⁺ gating, relative abundance of Ly6C⁻ CD64⁺ macrophages in lung tissue. (F-H): From the CD64⁺ gating, relative frequency of dendritic cells in lung tissue: MHCII^{hi} CD11C^{hi} (F), MHCII^{hi} CD11C^{lo} (G), and MHCII^{lo} CD11C^{hi} (G). (I-L): From the CD45⁺ population, relative frequency of NKP46⁺ NK cells (I), CD3⁺ T-cells (J), CD4⁺ T-helper cells (K), and CD8⁺ cytotoxic T lymphocytes (L). (M-O): From the CD45⁺ CD11b⁺ cells, purity check of circulating monocytes in peripheral blood (M). Relative frequency of circulating monocytes: Ly6C^{hi} CD64⁺ (N), and Ly6C^{hi} CD64⁺ (O). Data displayed as mean with SEM. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, ****p<0.0001, One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test for the comparisons between ALK1-Fc vs ALK1-Fc + IT and IT vs ALK1-Fc + IT.



Figure 6: Vascular immune features reflect differential response to antiangiogenic immunotherapy.

(*A*): Customized multiplexed IHC (mIHC) staining of 4T1 metastases to the lungs. An antibody panel was developed to detect CD31⁺ endothelial cells (orange), EpCAM⁺ epithelial cells (both lung epithelium and breast cancer cells, cyan), TILs (CD4⁺ T helper, green; CD8a⁺ cytotoxic T lymphocytes, red; B220⁺ B-cells, yellow), and TREM2⁺ recruited TAMs (magenta). Scalebar 50 μm. (B-D): A machine learning-based algorithm was trained to discriminate metastatic tissue (red) from lung and hollow space (green and blue, respectively; B), followed by cell segmentation (C). Phenotyping (D) is visualized as a dot with the same color coding as in (B). Cells (based on DAPI detection) negative for any of the markers included in the antibody panel are displayed in blue. (E-G): total cell counts per phenotype (E), and cell density per phenotype (cells/mm²; F) within the different tissue segments. The CD8⁺ T-cells/TREM2⁺ TAMs ratio (G) was calculated from cell densities.



Figure 7. ALK1 affects the hematopoietic progenitor cell niche in the bone marrow.

(A): Experimental design based on the Organ-on-a-chip assay with 3 channels. Representative images of a longitudinal and a cross section of the 3D tube are presented in the bottom panel. (B): Quantification of macrophage transendothelial migration at 24 and 48 hours with endothelial cells infected with lentiviral vectors expressing either scrambled or Acvrl1targeting shRNA (n=4 experiments). Data displayed as mean with SEM. * p<0.05, unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test for the comparison between shCtrl and shA07 or shA09. (C-E): Experimental design of the short-term trial based on the orthotopic transplantation of $5x10^4$ 4T1 cells in syngeneic BALB/c hosts (tumor-free: n = 5 each for IgG2a and ALK1-Fc; neoadjuvant: n = 4 each for IgG2a and ALK1-Fc; adjuvant: n = 4 for IgG2a, n = 5 for ALK1-Fc) (C). Frequency of circulating $Ly6C^{hi}$ CD64⁻ (D) and CD64⁺ (E) monocytes in peripheral blood. Data displayed as mean with SEM. (F): Frequency of Ly6C⁻ CD64⁺ macrophages in lungs. Data displayed as mean with SEM. ** p < 0.01, unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test. (G-*I):* FACS plot and gating strategy of c-Kit⁺Lin⁻Scal⁻ progenitor cells (47) extracted from the bone marrow (G). GMP: granulocyte-macrophage progenitor. Frequency of c-Kit⁺ (H) and *GMP (I) cells from the bone marrow extracts. Data displayed as mean with SEM.* * p < 0.05, unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test. (J): Quantification of colony formation plating efficiency of c-Kit-enriched bone marrow cells. Data displayed as mean with SEM. **p<0.01, unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test. (K): Cartoon summarizing the findings.