A FOXO1-dependent transcription network is a targetable vulnerability of mantle cell lymphomas





Supplemental Figure 1. CRISPR/Cas9 screening identifies core survival transcription factors of MCL cells. (A) Ranking of the log2 fold-change (log2FC) of sgRNA abundance in CCMCL1, JEKO1, UPN1, MAVER1, and HEL cells (Ratio of start to end point) after 14 population doublings. (B) Scatterplot analysis of TF dependencies in JEKO1, UPN1, MAVER, and SEFA1 (Y axis) comparing to HEL (X axis) by the average sgRNA log2FC of each genes in the pooled CRISPR screen. (C) Dependency scores of four TFs extracted from Project Achilles (22Q2, ref 35). The box plots indicate the distribution of dependency score (CERES, a normalized metric of gene essentiality) of four TFs across all 1,086 cell lines or in the subset of 42 cell lines of B-lymphocyte origin. Data represent mean \pm SEM. P value was calculated using a two-tailed unpaired Student's t-test. (D) Competition-based proliferation assays to validate the results from the pooled screen. Experiments were conducted by transduction of Cas9-expressing CCMCL1. Data represent mean \pm SEM (n=3). Results are representative of 2 independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using 1-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple-comparison test. ****p<0.0001, ***p<0.0005, **p<0.005, *p<0.05. (E) The design of the indicated CRISPR-resistant synonymous mutant. (F) Immunoblot performed at day 3 upon transduction of indicated sgRNAs. SE and LE denote short exposure and long exposure, respectively.





Supplemental Figure 2. IRF4, PAX5, EBF1 and FOXO1 are MCL lineage-survival TFs. (A) Immunoblot performed at day 7 upon transduction of indicated sgRNAs. (B-L) Competition-based proliferation assays to validate the results from the pooled screen. Experiments were conducted by transduction of Cas9-expressing CCMCL1 (B), JEKO1 (C), MAVER (D), OCI-LY1 (E), BJAB (F), DG75 (G), HEL (H), U2OS (I), H1299 (J), and HeLa (K) cells with indicated lentivirus sgRNAs that co-express a GFP reporter. (L) PCNA positive control in all cell lines. Plotted are the GFP% cells (normalized to the day 3 measurement) at the indicated time-points during culturing. In B, C, E, F, G, H, and L, data represent mean \pm SEM (n=3). Results are representative of 2 or 3 independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using 1-way ANOVA with Turkey's multiple-comparison test. ****p<0.0001, ***p<0.0005, **p<0.005.



Number of Genes

FOXO1	EBF1	PAX5	IRF4	Group	# of genes
100%	24.596%	42.444%	15.586%	FOXO1	5261
66.393%	100%	43.766%	19.805%	EBF1	1949
66.123%	25.259%	100%	16.02%	PAX5	3377
75.576%	35.576%	49.861%	100%	IRF4	1085



Supplemental Figure 3. Analysis of co-occupancy of 4 TFs and Gene Ontology analysis of RNAseq data from FOXO1-depleted CCMCL1. (A, B) Validation of ChIP-seq analysis. Peak enrichment was confirmed against shuffled peaks. (C) The UpSet plot depicting the intersection of genes occupied by the four factors. Percent overlap is indicated in the table. (D) Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of peakassociated genes. Gene ratios of pathway annotated genes in peak-associated genes are indicated by the size of the dot, and adjusted p-values are indicated by color according to the key to the right (a hypergeometric test followed by a Benjamini-Hochberg procedure). (E) RNA-seq analysis of CCMCL1 cells expressing specific sgRNA for each TF (96 h) was performed. RNA-seq data were analyzed for enrichment levels of selected gene sets from the MSigDB hallmarks collection. Significance and enrichment score are represented by circle size (-log10 of p-value) and color gradient (normalized enrichment score).





Supplemental Figure 4. Ectopic expression of EBF1, IRF4, or PAX5 does not activate MCL lineagesurvival TF program in HEL or THP1 cells. (A) Immunoblot analysis of EBF1, IRF4, and PAX5 expression in the indicated cell lines. (B, C) Immunoblot (B) and RT-qPCR analysis (C) of *FOXO1, IRF4*, and *PAX5* expression in EBF1-transduced HEL or THP1 cells. In C, data represent mean ± SEM (n=3). (D, E) Immunoblot (D) and RT-qPCR analysis (E) of *FOXO1, EBF1*, and *PAX5* expression in IRF4-transduced HEL or THP1 cells. In E, data represent mean ± SEM (n=3). (F, G) Immunoblot (F) and RT-qPCR analysis (G) of *FOXO1, EBF1*, and *IRF4* expression in PAX5-transduced HEL or THP1 cells. In G, data represent mean ± SEM (n=3). (H, I) Immunoblot (H) and RT-qPCR analysis (I) of *EBF1, IRF4*, and PAX5 expression in FOXO1-transduced Hela or HEK293T cells. Lysates and total RNA were prepared at day 20 posttransduction of indicated genes. In I, data represent mean ± SEM (n=3). (C, E, G, I) Results are representative of 3 independent experiments. Statistical analysis in C, E, and G was performed using twotailed unpaired Student's *t*-test and I using 1-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple-comparison test. ns, no significant.



Supplemental Figure 5. FOXO1 but not FOXO isoforms is required for MCL maintenance. (A) Heatmap depicts log2FC of sgRNA abundance of FOXO1, FOX3, FOXO4, and FOXO6 (averaging each independent sgRNA targeting a gene). (B) Immunoblot analysis of MCL and AML cell lines for the indicated gene expression. (c-e) RT-qPCR analysis of FOXO1 (C), FOXO3 (D), and FOXO4 (E) mRNA expression in the indicated MCL or AML cell lines. Data represent mean \pm SEM (n=3). Results are representative of 3 independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using 1-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple-comparison test. Each MCL cell line was compared with the mean values of the three AML cell lines. ****p<0.0001, ***p<0.0005, **p<0.005, *p<0.05. ns, no significant. (F) Violin plots of RNA expression levels in transcripts per million (TPM) of FOXO isoforms in patient MCL cells (n=37). RNA-seq data were reanalyzed from GSE141336 of Zhao et al.

Score		Expect Method		Identitie	s I	Positives	Gaps	
454 bit	s(1168) 4e-156 Comp	ositional matrix ad	just. 315/70	7(45%)	416/707(58%)	86/707	7(12%)
Query	1	MAEAPQV	VEIDPDFEPLP	RPRSCTWPLPR	PEFSQSN	ISATSSPA-PSG	SAAAN	51
Sbjct	1	MAEAPASPAPLS	PLEVELDPEFEPQS	RPRSCTWPLQF	PELQ	ASPAKPSGI	STAAD	54
Query	52	PDAAAGLPS	ASAAAVSADFMSNL + M+	SLLEESEDFPQ	APGSVAA	AVAAAVAA	AAAA + A	101
Sbjct	55	SMIPEEEDDEDD	EDGGGRAGSAMAIG	GGGGSGTLGSG	LLLEDSA	RVLAPGGQDPG	GPAT	114
Query	102	ATGGLCGDFQGP	EAGCLHPAPPQPPP	PGPLSQHPPVF	PAAAGPL AA G	AGOPRKSSSSRI	RNAWG	161
Sbjet	115	AAGGLSGGT	QALLQPQQPLPPPQ	PG	-AAGG	SGQPRKCSS-RI	RNAWG	158
uery	162	NLSYADLITKAI NLSYADLIT+AI	ESSAEKRLTLSQIY	EWMVKSVPYFR	DKGDSNS	SAGWKNSIRHNI	SLHS	221
Sbjct	159	NLSYADLITRAI	ESSPDKRLTLSQIY	WMVRCVPYFR	DKGDSNS	SAGWKNSIRHNI	SLHS	218
Query	222	KFIRVQNEGTGK	SSWWMLNPEGGKSG	KSPRRRAASME	NNSKFAR	SRSRAAKKKASI	Losco	281
Sbjct	219	RFMRVQNEGTGK	SSWWIINPDGGKSG	KAPRRRAVSME	NSNKYTK	SRGRAAKKKAAI	QTAP	278
Query	282	EGAGDSPGSQFS	WPASPGSHSNDDFI	DAWSTFRPRTS	SNASTIS	GRLSPIMTE	DDLG	339
Sbjct	279	ESADDSP-SQLS	KWPGSPTSRSSDEL	DAWTDFRSRTN	ISNASTVS	GRLSPIMASTEI	DEVQ	337
Query	340	EGDVHSMVYP	SAAKMAST	LPSLSEISN	IPENM	ENLLDNLN		383
bjct	338	DDDAPLSPMLYS	SASLSPSVSKPCT	VELPRLTDMAG	TMNLNDG	LTENLMDDLLD	ITLP	397
Query	384	PTSLTVSTQSSP	-GTMMQQTPCYSFAL	PPNTSLNSPSP	NYQKYTY	GOSSMSPLPOM	PIQTL	442
bjet	398	PSQPSP	IGGLMQRSSSFPYT	TKGSGLGSPTS	SENSTVE	GPSSLNSLRQSI	PMQTI	451
Query	443	QDNK-SSYGGMS	QYNCAPGLLKELLT	SDSPPHNDI-M	TPVDPGV	AQPNSRVLGQN	7M	497
Sbjct	452	QENKPATESSMS	HYGNQTLQDLLT	SDSLSHSDVMM	TQSDPLM	ISQASTAVSAQN	SRRNV	509
Query	498	MGPNSVMSTYGS	ASHNKMMNPSSHT	HPGHAQQTSAV	NGRPLPH	TVSTMPHTSGM	RLTQ	557
bjct	510	MLRNDPMMSFAA	PNQGSLVN-QNLL	HIQHQTQGAL	GSRALSN	SVSNMGLSE-S	SELGS	567
luery	558	VKTPVQVPLPHP	MOMSALGGYSSVSS	CNGYGRMGLLH	IQEKLPS	LD-GMFIERLD	DMES	616
bjet	568	AKHQQQSPVSQS	MQ-TLSDSLSGSSL	STSANLPVMG	HEKFPS	DLDLDMFNGSLE	DMES	626
uery	617	IIRNDLMDGDTL	DENEDITIE	SFPHSV	KTTTHSW	WSG 655		
Sbjct	627	IIRSELMDADGL	DENEDSLISTONVV	GLNVGNFTGAR	QASSQSW	WPG 673		













Supplemental Figure 6. TAD motif of FOXO1 is crucial for its MCL supporting activity. (A) Immunoblot analysis of control and FOXO3 expressing CCMCL1 cells with indicated sgRNA. (B) Sequence alignment between FOXO1 and FOXO3 proteins. Blue and pink box indicate DBD and TAD, respectively. (C, D) Immunoblot analysis of control and 3xFlag-tagged FOXO1r^{#1}-3 (left) or FOXO3-1 (right) transduced CCMCL1 (C) and UPN1 (D) cells at day 3 following transduction of indicated sgRNAs. (E) Competitionbased proliferation assays in FOXO1r^{#1}-3 (left) or FOXO3-1 (right) transduced UPN1 cells. Data represent mean ± SEM (n=3). (F, G) Immunoblot (F) and RT-qPCR (G) analysis of EBF1, IRF4 and PAX5 induction in FOXO1r-3- (left) or FOXO3-1-transduced (right) HEL cells. Cell lysates and total RNA were prepared at day 7 post-infection of lentivirus encoding indicated variants. In G, data represent mean ± SEM (n=3). Results are representative of 3 independent experiments. (H) FOXO1-driven 4XIRE-luciferase reporter analysis of HEK293T cells co-transfected with indicated FOXO variants. Data represent mean ± SEM (n=3). (I, J) Immunoblot analysis of control and 3xFlag-tagged FOXO1r^{#1}-3^{TAD} (left) or FOXO3-1^{TAD} (right) transduced CCMCL1 (I) and UPN1 (J) cells at day 3 following transduction of indicated sgRNAs. (K) Competition-based proliferation assays in FOXO1r#1-3TAD (left) or FOXO3-1TAD (right) transduced UPN1 cells. Data represent mean ± SEM (n=3). (L, M) Immunoblot (L) and RT-qPCR (M) analysis of EBF1, IRF4 and PAX5 induction in FOXO1r^{#1}-3^{TAD}- (left) or FOXO3-1^{TAD}-transduced (right) HEL cells. Cell lysates and total RNA were prepared at day 7 post-infection of lentivirus encoding indicated variants. In M, data represent mean ± SEM (n=3). Results are representative of 3 independent experiments. (E, G, H, K, M) Statistical analysis in E, K was performing using 1-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple-comparison test and G. H. M using two-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. *****p*<0.0001, ****p*<0.0005, ***p*<0.005, **p*<0.05. ns, no significant.





Supplemental Figure 7. Depletion of FOXO1 suppresses MCL tumorigenesis in vivo. (A) cpd10 (B) 4XIRE-luciferase reporter assay in FOXO1 or FOXA2-transfected HEK293T cells at 48 h post cpd10 treatment. Mean ± SEM (n=3). (C) FOXO1 ChIP-qPCR of CCMCL1 cells with or without 2 µM cpd10 treatment for 4 h. Mean ± SEM (n=3). (D) Co-immunoprecipitation of FOXO1 with p300. Flag-FOXO1expressing CCMCL1 cells were treated with 2 µM cpd10 or not for 24 h. (E) Left, heatmap of signals from ChIP-seq from CCMCL1 cells extending 5 kb in each direction from the FOXO1 peak center. Right, a histogram view of the data. Cells were treated for 48 h with 2 µM cpd10 or FOXO1 depletion by sgFOXO1. (F) ChIP-seq tracks for PTPRC locus. (G) Heatmap for the expression of 184 differentially regulated (FC>1.5), FOXO1 peak-associated genes in JEKO1 or CCMCL1 treated with cpd10 or sgFOXO1 for 96 h. The expression values were scaled in the row direction. (H) Enrichment levels of selected gene sets from the hallmarks collection from MSigDB. Significance and enrichment score are represented by circle size (log10 of p-value) and color gradient (normalized enrichment score). (I) Schematic of experimental design. (J) BLI of mice inoculated with sgRNA-transduced CCMCL1 cells at day 21 post-transplantation. (K) Quantification of BLI as in (J). Mean ± SEM (n=3). (L) A representative image of spleens from mice inoculated with sgROSA- or sgFOXO1-transduced CCMCL1 cells at day 21 post-transplantation. (M, N) B cell content analysis in 10-day vehicle or cpd10 (100 mg/kg/day i.p.) treated C57BL/6J mouse spleen. Representative flowcytometry analysis (M) or mean± s.d. (n=4) values of vehicle- or cpd10-treated mice (N). Statistical analysis in B was performed by 1-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple-comparison test and C, K. N by two-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. *****p*<0.0001. ****p*<0.0005. ***p*<0.005. **p*<0.05. ns. no. significant.