STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No.
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title	1
	-	or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of	2
		what was done and what was found	_
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation	3
Buckground rutionare	2	being reported	3
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	3
•		suite specific dejectives, metalang any prospective hyperitects	
Methods Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	16
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods	
	3	of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	16
Dartiainanta	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	
Participants	U	selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	16
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of	
		exposed and unexposed	16
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	40.40
variables	/	confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	16-19
		applicable	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of	10
measurement	0	methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of	19
measurement		assessment methods if there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	16
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	16
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If	
Quantitative variables	11	applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	17-19
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control	10
Statistical methods	12	for confounding	19
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and	19
		interactions	19
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	NA
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	NA
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	NA
D. 14		(c) Describe any sensitivity analyses	
Results	12*	(a) Demont myselbour of individuals at each stone of study.	
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg	6
		numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed	Ū
		eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	NA
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
	1 /1 坐	(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	NA
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic,	6, Table1
		clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	Table 2
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	10
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	A I A
		(c) Summarise ronow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	NA

Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	6-11
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted	6-11
		estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make	
		clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were	
		included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	9-11
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into	NA
		absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and	6-11
		interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	12
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of	12-15
		potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude	
		of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering	12-15
		objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar	
		studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	12-15
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present	2
		study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present	_
		article is based	

^{*}Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.