## Supplementary Table

Gene	Sequence (5'to 3')	Length
Pd-1-S	ACCCTGGTCATTCACTTGGG	20
Pd-1-AS	CATTTGCTCCCTCTGACACTG	21
Pd-I1-S	GCTCCAAAGGACTTGTACGTG	21
Pd-I1-AS	TGATCTGAAGGGCAGCATTTC	21
Pd-I2-S	CTGCCGATACTGAACCTGAGC	21
Pd-I2-AS	GCGGTCAAAATCGCACTCC	19
Lag3-S	CTGGGACTGCTTTGGGAAG	19
Lag3-AS	GGTTGATGTTGCCAGATAACCC	22
Tim3-S	TCAGGTCTTACCCTCAACTGTG	22
Tim3-AS	GGCATTCTTACCAACCTCAAACA	23
β-Actin-S	GGCTGTATTCCCCTCCATCG	20
β-Actin-AS	CCAGTTGGTAACAATGCCATGT	22

Table S1. Sequences of RT-PCR primers used in this study

## **Supplementary Figures**



Figure S1. Pulmonary melanoma metastasis stimulates PD-1, PD-L2, LAG3 and TIM3. 8-week-old WT mice were given B16-F10 (B16) melanoma cells or control vehicle (PBS) and the expression of immune checkpoint molecules was evaluated 2 weeks later. Real-time RT-PCR (RT-PCR) was used to quantitate the levels of mRNA encoding PD-1 (A), PD-L2 (B), LAG3 (C) and TIM3 (D) in the lungs from mice treated intravenously with PBS (B16 -) or B16 cells (B16 +). Each dot represents an evaluation in an individual animal. The plotted values represent the mean $\pm$ SEM of the evaluations represented by the individual dots. \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01 (*t*-test).



**Figure S2. Gating strategy of the FACS analysis used in the evaluation of macrophages and T cells in the lung of mice after melanoma cell challenge.** 8-week-old WT mice were challenged with vehicle (PBS) or B16-F10 melanoma cells, then the lungs were harvested on day 14 after melanoma cell injection and subjected to FACS evaluation. The inflammatory cells were first enriched with CD45 (+) then followed CD11b/CD68(+) for macrophages and CD3(+) T cells were subjected to the evaluation of PD-L1 expression.



**Figure S3. PD-L1 expression in lung resident B cells with and without melanoma lung metastasis**. 8 weeks old WT mice were challenged with vehicle (PBS) or B16-F10 melanoma cells, then the lungs were harvested on day 14 after melanoma cell injection and subjected to FACS evaluation. (A) Gating strategy of lung resident B cells (CD19+/B220+) expressing PD-L1. (B) Representative FACS evaluations (two mice/group) on the PD-L1 expressing lung resident B cells.



Figure S4. Chi3l1 plays a critical role in B16 melanoma stimulation of pulmonary PD-1, LAG3 and TIM3. 8-week-old WT (+/+) and Chi3l1 null (-/-) (Chi3l1-/-) mice were given B16 melanoma cells or vehicle control. They were then treated with an anti-Chi3l1 antibody (FRG) or isotype control antibodies and the expression of PD-1, LAG3 and TIM3 was evaluated 2 weeks later. (A-C) RT-PCR was used to quantitate the levels of mRNA encoding PD-1, LAG3 and TIM3 in the lungs from wild type (WT) (Chi3l1 +/+) and Chi3l1 null (Chi3l1 -/-) mice given PBS vehicle (B16 -) or B16 cells (B16 +). (D-F) RT-PCR evaluation of the levels of mRNA encoding PD-1, LAG-3 and TIM3 in the lungs from WT mice treated with PBS vehicle (B16 -) or B16 cells (B16 +) that were treated with FRG (FRG+) or vehicle (FRG-). Each dot represents the evaluation in an individual animal. The plotted values represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM of the noted evaluations represented by the individual dots. \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001 (One-way ANOVA with Turkey post hoc test).

В

С



Figure S5. Transgenic Chi3l1 stimulates PD-1, PD-L2, LAG3 and TIM3 in the normal lung. 8-week-old WT (-), CHI3L1 Tg (+) mice were used to evaluate the levels of mRNA encoding PD-1 (A), PD-L2 (B), LAG3 (C), and TIM3 (D) in the lung. RT-PCR was used to quantitate the levels of mRNA in the lungs from WT mice (CHI3L1 Tg -) and mice in which CHI3L1 was overexpressed in the lung in a transgenic manner (CHI3L1 Tg +). Each dot represents the evaluation in an individual animal. The plotted values represent the mean ± SEM of the noted evaluations represented by the individual dots. \*p<0.05,\*\*p<0.01 (*t* test).



Figure S6. Chi3l1 mediates rIFN- $\gamma$ -stimulated expression of PD-L1 in lung resident macrophages. (A) Gating strategy used for the evaluation of PD-L1 in lung resident macrophages (CD45+/CD11b(+)/MHCII(+)/PD-L1(+) cells). (B) FACS evaluations on PD-L1 expression in lung resident macrophages from WT (*CHI3L1*-) and CHI3L1 Tg mice. (C) Western blot evaluation to test the ability of rIFN- $\gamma$  to stimulate PD-L1 in lung resident macrophages from WT and Chi3l1-<sup>*i*</sup>- mice. The values in bar graph of panel B represent the mean±SEM of the noted evaluations. \*p<0.05 (*t* test).



Figure S7. IFN- $\gamma$ -stimulates macrophage PD-L2 via a Chi3l1dependent mechanism. Bone marrow derived macrophages (BMDM) prepared from 6-8 weeks old male WT and Chi3l1-<sup>7-</sup> mice and were used to evaluate the importance of Chi3l1 in rIFN<sub>1</sub> $\gamma$  stimulation of PD-L2. (A) rIFN- $\gamma$ -stimulation of PD-L2 mRNA expression in BMDM from WT and Chi3l1 mice. (B) FACS evaluations of the ability of rIFN- $\gamma$  to stimulate PD-L1 in BMDM prepared from WT and Chi3l1 mice. The values in panel A represent the mean±SEM of the noted evaluations (n=5 mice/each). \*p<0.05,\*\*p<0.01 (*t* test). Panel B is a representative of a minimum of 2 separate evaluations.





Figure S8. The structure and the binding affinity of FRGxPD1 bispecific antibody and synergistic CTL-mediated tumor cell response and PTEN accumulation. (A) Schematic illustration of the structure of the bispecific antibody FRGxPD-1 in which anti-PD-1 is linked to FRG via its light chain. (B) The affinity of FRGxPD1 antibody was evaluated by competitive ELISA against recombinant human (rh) CHI3L1 and rhPD-1. (C-G) Bispecific antibodies that simultaneously target Chi3I1 and PD-1 induce synergistic CTL-mediated tumor cell death responses and tumor cell PTEN accumulation. The antitumor effects of FRGxPD-1 bispecific antibody was tested in co-culture of system of Jurkat cells and B16-F10 murine melanoma cells as described in the Materials and Methods. (C) Quantification of T cell CD8+ (D), perforin (E) and granzyme (F) accumulation. (G) quantification of tumor cell PTEN accumulation in co-cultures. These evaluations were undertaken using fluorescent microscopy (x20 of original magnification). In these quantifications, 10 randomly selected fields were evaluated. The values in in these panels are the mean  $\pm$  SEM. \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*<0.001 (One-way ANOVA with Turkey post hoc test).