

Figure S1: Identification of super-enhancers in the desert of Warburg-related genes, which are disrupted by HDAC inhibitors. (A) Chromatin immunoprecipitation with H3K27Ac coupled with next generation sequencing was performed in LN229 cells. Shown are the super-enhancers of genes involved in glycolysis, the pentose phosphate pathway and fatty acid synthesis. (B and C) A representation of global disruption of the super-enhancer landscape of parental and chronically panobinostat (Pb) exposed U87 cells (SE: super enhancer). (D) ChIP-sequencing (H3K27ac) was performed in parental and chronically exposed panobinostat U87 cells. Shown are the respective tracks around the indicated genes (pile up values are indicated). (E) ChIP sequencing (H3K27ac) was performed in panobinostat /romidepsin (Ro) treated U87 cells (24 h treatment). Shown are the respective tracks around the HK2, GAPDH and ENO1. (F) ChIPsequencing (H3K27ac) of parental and chronically panobinostat exposed U87 GBM cells. Shown are the respective tracks around the HK2 and GAPDH loci. (G) NCH644 cells were treated with 0.5 µM panobinostat for 24h followed by RNA extraction. RNA was processed for microarray analysis. A heat map of genes related to the Warburg effect consists of genes encoding for enzymes or transporters involved in glycolysis, the pentose phosphate pathway and fatty acid synthesis. (H) Heat maps from inputs and CHIPs (H3K27ac and Rpb1) performed on chromatin from NCH644 GBM cells. The peak centers are displayed +/- 5kb. (I) ChIP sequencing profile (antibody Rpb1) of panobinostat treated NCH644 GBM cells. Shown are the respective tracks around the MYC, HK2, GAPDH and ENO1 loci. (J) Shown is the gene ontology analysis of CHIPseq (Rpb1) in NCH644 treated with 0.5 µM panobinostat for 24h.

Figure S2



Figure S2: HDAC inhibitors suppress glycolysis and associated biosynthetic pathways. (A) Summary chart of the enzymes and transporters down regulated in the glycolytic pathway in NCH644 stem-like GBM cells. Blue indicates a suppression of the respective protein in panobinostat treated samples. (B) Real-time PCR analysis of genes related to glycolysis from stem-like NCH421K GBM cells treated with 0.1 μ M panobinostat for 24h (n=3). (C) Real-time PCR analysis of genes related to glycolysis from U87 GBM cells transfected with siRNA against HDAC1, HDAC2, or the combination of both (n=4). (D) Protein capillary electrophoresis analysis of lysates from U87 cells that were treated with 50 nM panobinostat or 5 nM romidepsin for 24h. (E) NCH644 cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of panobinostat and glycolysis stress extracellular flux analysis was performed. (F and G) U87 cells were treated with Vorinostat (Vr) and OCR and ECAR measurements were performed. Shown are means and SD. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Student's t-test. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***/****P < 0.001.



Figure S3: Panobinostat suppresses the pentose phosphate pathway and the levels of purine and pyrimidine nucleotides. (A) Capillary electrophoresis of proteins from U87 cells indicated treated with the concentrations of panobinostat for 24h. **(B)** GO RESPONSE TO STARVATION GSEA results of NCH644 cells treated with panobinostat. NES: normalized enrichment score. (C) U87 cells were transduced with AMPKA shRNA lentivirus. Cells were exposed to panobinostat and analyzed for apoptosis (n=3). (D) Protein capillary electrophoresis analysis of lysates from U87 cells that were transduced with AMPKA shRNA lentivirus. (E) U87 cells were treated with 0.5 μ M panobinostat. Cells were subjected to LC/MS analysis for the indicated metabolites. (F) NCH644 and U87 GBM cells were treated with 0.5 μ M panobinostat (U87 cells) or 0.5 µM panobinostat (NCH644 cells) for 24h. Cells were subjected to LC/MS analysis for the indicated metabolites related to the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), nucleotides and redox related metabolites (n=3). (G and H) U87 cells were treated with 0.5 µM panobinostat for 24h and subjected to LC/MS analysis for the indicated metabolites (n=4). Shown are means and SD. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Student's t-test. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***/***P < 0.001.



Figure S4: Panobinostat reduces carbon labeling (U-¹³C-glucose) of metabolites related to the pentose phosphate pathway, lipid synthesis, hexosamine biosynthesis, nucleotide synthesis, and TCA cycle. (A-F) U87 GBM cells were treated with 0.5 μM panobinostat in the presence of 25 mM U-¹³C-glucose, 4 mM glutamine and 1.5% dialyzed FBS. After 24h, cells were harvested and analyzed by LC/MS. Shown are the relative abundances of ¹³C labeled each isotopologues from U-¹³C-glucose for the indicated metabolites related to glycolysis (A), pentose phosphate pathway (B), nucleotides (C), lipid synthesis (D), hexosamine biosynthesis (E), TCA-cycle (F) (n=3). Shown are means and SD.



Е

Figure S5: HDAC inhibitors suppress c-Myc protein levels and thereby reduce glycolysis in GBM cells. (A) U87 GBM cells were transfected with siRNA against HDAC1, HDAC2 or the combination of both and analyzed for the indicated proteins by standard western blot. (B) Protein capillary electrophoresis from LN229 and U87 GBM cells that were transfected with two specific siRNAs against c-Myc. (C) Quantifications of glycolytic reserve or glycolytic capacity related ECAR production of U87 cells expressing c-Myc cDNA with 0.2 μ M panobinostat treatments for 24h. Shown are means and SEM (n=5). (D) Quantifications of glycolysis or glycolytic capacity related ECAR production of LN229 cells expressing c-Myc with 0.2 μ M panobinostat treatments for 24h. Shown are means and SEM (n=5). (E) Shown are CHIP-qPCRs (antibody c-Myc) of ENO1 or LDHA from U87 cells (n=3). (F) Shown are CHIP-qPCRs (antibody H3K27ac) of intron 1 MYC from U87 cells (n=3). Shown are means and SD. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Student's t-test (C and D) and by one-way ANOVA (E and F). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***/****P < 0.001.



Figure S6: HDAC inhibitor exposure leads to activation of oxidative metabolism. (A and B) A mitostress extracellular flux analysis of GBM12 (PDX) GBM cells treated with 0.2 µM panobinostat for 24h. OM: oligomycin, R/A: rotenone and antimycin. Quantification of basal OCR is provided (B). Shown are means and SEM (n=4-5). (C) NCH644, U87 and LN229 GBM cells were treated with romidepsin in the presence of oligomycin for 72h and cellular viability was determined. Isobolograms are shown. (D) Quantifications of apoptotic cells from NCH644, U87 and HCT116 cells treated with panobinostat and oligomycin for 48h (n=3). (E) Quantifications of apoptotic cells from U87 GBM cells treated with 1 nM romidepsin and 5 µM oligomycin for 48h (n=3). (F) U87 cells were cultured in the presence of 25 mM glucose or 25 mM galactose for two weeks, then cells were treated with increasing concentrations of panobinostat or vorinostat for 72h and cellular viability was determined. IC₅₀ values were calculated by non-linear regression method (n=4). (G) Parental or chronically exposed panobinostat U87 cells were treated with oligomycin for 72h and cellular viability was determined. IC₅₀ values were calculated by non-linear regression method (n=3). (H) Standard western blot of NCH644 cells were treated with 20 nM panobinostat for 24h and analyzed for the indicated protein. (I) U87 cells transduced with c-Myc, exposed to panobinostat for 24h and analyzed for the indicated protein by capillary electrophoresis. (J) OCR analysis of parental or chronically exposed to romidepsin U87 cells (n=3). Shown are means and SD. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Student's t-test (**B** and **J**) and by one-way ANOVA (**D** and **E**). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***/****P < 0.001.



Figure S7: HDAC inhibitor increases mitochondria number, mito-biogenesis and ROS production. (A) U87 cells were treated with panobinostat (Pb), vorinostat (Vr) or chronically exposed to panobinostat (PbR) U87 cells were labeled with mitotracker and subjected to confocal microscopy. Shown are representative cells of low (left) and high (right) magnification. Scale bar: 10 µm. (B) LN229 cells were treated with panobinostat (Pb) or chronically exposed to panobinostat (PbR) LN229 cells were labeled with mitotracker and subjected to confocal microscopy. Shown are representative cells of low (left) and high (right) magnification. Scale bar: 10µm. (C and D) NCH644 GBM cells were treated with panobinostat (Pb) and transcriptome and gene set enrichment analysis were performed. Shown are enrichment plots. NES: Normalized enrichment score. (E) mtDNA mRNA levels from U87 GBM cells were treated with panobinostat for 24h (n=3). (F) mtDNA mRNA levels from parental and chronically exposed panobinostat LN229 or U87 cells (n=2-3). (G) NCH644 GBM cells were treated with panobinostat or vorinostat, were stained with CellRox or CellRox and Mitotracker and analyzed by flow cytometry (n=3). (H) U87 GBM cells were treated with indicated concentrations of vorinostat, were stained with CellRox and analyzed by flow cytometry (n=3). (I) Parental or chronically panobinostat exposed U87 or LN229 cells were stained with CellRox and analyzed by flow cytometry (n=3). (J) U87 cells transduced with c-Myc were treated with panobinostat for 24h and analyzed by flow cytometry following CellRox staining (n=2-3). Shown are means and SD. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Student's t-test (**D** and **E**) and by one-way ANOVA (**F** and **J**). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***/****P < 0.001.



Figure S8: Pan-HDAC inhibitor alters carbon labeling (U-¹³**C-glucose, U-**¹³**C-glutamine, U-**¹³**C-palmitic acid) of TCA-cycle related metabolites. (A)** An overview chart is shown to highlight the different routes of glucose, glutamine and palmitic acid carbons through the TCA cycle. PC: pyruvate carboxylase, PDH: pyruvate dehydrogenase (B) Parental or chronically exposed panobinostat U87 cells were cultured in DMEM media containing 25mM U-¹³C-Glucose, 4mM Glutamine and 10% dialyzed FBS for 24h and subjected to LC/MS analysis (n=3). (C) Parental or chronically exposed panobinostat U87 cells were cultured in DMEM media containing 25mM Glucose, 4mM U-¹³C-Glutamine and 10% dialyzed FBS for 24h and subjected to LC/MS analysis (n=3). (D) Parental or chronically exposed panobinostat U87 cells were cultured in DMEM media containing 5mM Glucose, 1mM Glutamine, 100 μM U-¹³C-Palmitic acid and 10% dialyzed FBS for 24h and subjected to LC/MS analysis (n=3). (E) Parental or chronically exposed romidepsin U87 cells were cultured in DMEM media containing 5mM Glucose, 1mM Glutamine, 100 μM U-¹³C-Palmitic acid and 10% dialyzed FBS for 24h and subjected to LC/MS analysis (n=3). (E) Parental or chronically exposed romidepsin U87 cells were cultured in DMEM media containing 5mM Glucose, 1mM Glutamine, 100 μM U-¹³C-Palmitic acid and 10% dialyzed FBS for 24h and subjected to LC/MS analysis (n=3). (E) Parental or chronically exposed romidepsin U87 cells were cultured in DMEM media containing 5mM Glucose, 1mM Glutamine, 100 μM U-¹³C-Palmitic acid and 10% dialyzed FBS for 24h and subjected to LC/MS analysis (n=3).



В

А

Figure S9: PGC1A as a pro-survival factor in the context of HDAC inhibition. (A) Western blots from parental and chronically exposed panobinostat U87 or LN229 cells as well as NCH644 and GBM12 cells treated with panobinostat for 24h (H3K27ac, H3K27me3 and H3 (loading control): standard WB; PGC1A and Vinculin (loading control): protein capillary electrophoresis; PGC1A and 14-3-3 (loading control): standard WB in GBM12). * indicates 14-3-3. (B) CHIPqPCR analysis of the PGC1A promoter with either H3K27ac antibody (left) or H3K27me3 antibody (right). (C) U87-EGFRvIII xenografted tumors were treated with panobinostat and RT-PCR analysis was performed for c-Myc and PGC1A (n=3). (D and E) CHIP-qPCR analysis of the PGC1A promoter from NCH644 cells with c-Myc antibody or H3K27ac antibody (n=3). (F) PGC1A mRNA levels from U87 cells transduced with a c-Myc construct and treated with panobinostat/romidepsin for 24h (n=4). (G) U87 and LN229 cells were transfected with siRNA PGC1A, treated with 1µM panobinostat and cellular viability was determined (n=4). (H) U87 cells were transfected with PGC1A siRNA, treated with 0.5 nM romidepsin and cellular viability was determined (n=4). (I) Chronically exposed panobinostat U87 or LN229 cells were transfected with PGC1A siRNA and cellular viability was determined (n=4). (J) U87 cells were transfected with PGC1A siRNA, treated with panobinostat for 24h and analyzed Mitotracker (n=4). (K) U87 cells were transfected with PGC1A siRNA and treated with 0.1 µM panobinostat (n=4). RNA was isolated and real-time PCR analysis was performed for the indicated genes. (L) Protein capillary electrophoresis of U87 cells expressing human or mouse PGC1A. (M) U87 cells expressing human or mouse PGC1A, treated with panobinostat and cellular viability was determined. IC₅₀ values were calculated by non-linear regression method (n=4). Shown are means and SD. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Student's t-test (B, C, F and I) and by one-way ANOVA (D, E, G, H, and J). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***/****P < 0.001.



Figure S10: HDAC inhibition results in reprogramming of lipid metabolism. (A) The diagram highlights the necessary components of beta-oxidation, including transporters, CPT1A and CPT2, with an associated inhibitor etomoxir as well as enzymes. OMM: outer mitochondrial membrane, IMS: inter membrane space, IMM: inner mitochondrial membrane. Transporters or enzymes highlighted in red are increased post panobinostat treatment based on gene set enrichment analysis or real-time PCR analysis. (B) NCH644 cells were treated with 0.5 μM panobinostat for 24h. RNA was isolated and subjected to transcriptome and gene set enrichment analysis. Shown are gene set enrichment plots related to lipid metabolism. NES: Normalized enrichment score. (C) GBM43 PDX tumors were treated as described in figure 7 (left) and subjected to transcriptome analysis. Parental and chronically panobinostat exposed U87 GBM cells were subjected to transcriptome analysis (right). (D) NCH644 cells were treated with 0.5 µM panobinostat for 24h. RNA was isolated and real-time PCR analysis was performed for the indicated genes (n=2-3). (E) Quantifications of OCR in the context of a fatty acid oxidation assay measured by extracellular flux analysis with U87 GBM cells treated with the indicated drugs for 24h (n=3). (F) Triglyceride levels of parental and chronically panobinostat exposed U87 cells by LC/MS analysis of the lipid fraction. (G) Quantifications of relative abundance of ¹³C labeled palmitic acid of parental and chronically exposed U87 cells incubated with 25 mM U-¹³C-glucose (n=4). Shown are means and SD. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Student's t-test (D, F, and G) and by one-way ANOVA (E). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***/****P < 0.001.



Figure S11: The combination treatment of HDAC inhibitors along with etomoxir reduces the cellular viability of PDX GBM cells in a synergistic manner. (A) CHIP-qPCR analysis of the PPARD promoter from NCH644 GBM cell lysates with either c-Myc antibody (left) or H3K27ac antibody (right) (n=3). (B) CHIP-qPCR analysis of the CPT2 or ACADSB promoter (c-Myc binding region) from U87 cell lysate with c-Myc antibody chromatin immunoprecipitation (n=3). (C) Representative photos of colony forming assay from U87 PbR cells with specific PPARD shRNA lentivirus transduction. (D) PPARD mRNA levels from U87 PbR cells specific PPARD shRNA lentivirus transduction (n=3). (E) U87 and NCH644 GBM cells were transfected with siPPARD and treated with indicated concentrations of panobinostat for 72h and cellular viability was determined. IC₅₀ values were calculated by non-linear regression method (n=4). (F) U87 cells were transduced with a c-Myc construct, were treated with 2.5 nM romidepsin for 24h and analyzed for the indicated proteins. (G) U87 GBM cells and astrocytes were treated with etomoxir and panobinostat for 72h and cellular viability was determined (n=4). (H) GBM22 and GBM123 were treated with etomoxir and panobinostat for 72h and cellular viability was determined. Shown are the isobolograms (n=4). (I) U87, NCH644 stem-like GBM cells and PDX-lines (GBM22, GBM12, GBM123 and GBM43) were treated with etomoxir and romidepsin for 72h and cellular viability was determined. Shown are the isobolograms (n=4). Shown are means and SD. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Student's t-test (**D** and **G**) and by one-way ANOVA (**A** and **B**). **P < 0.01; ***/****P < 0.001.



Figure S12: CPT1A silencing enhances the apoptotic effects of HDAC inhibitors. (A) Stemlike NCH644, GBM12 (PDX), A375 melanoma, HCT116 colonic carcinoma, and U87 GBM cells were treated with etomoxir (Eto) and panobinostat (Pb) for 48h, stained with Pl/Annexin V and analyzed by flow cytometry (n=3). (B) U87 GBM cells were treated with etomoxir and vorinostat for 48h, stained with PI/Annexin V and analyzed by flow cytometry (n=3). (C) U87 cells were treated with etomoxir and romidepsin for 48h, stained with PI/Annexin V and analyzed by flow cytometry (n=3). (D) Standard western blots of proteins from U87 GBM cells treated with etomoxir, panobinostat/romidepsin or the combination of both for 24h. (E) Standard western blots of proteins from U87 cells treated with etomoxir, panobinostat or the combination of both for 24h. (F) U87 and LN229 cells were transfected with CPT1A siRNA, treated with 0.2 µM panobinostat for 48h, stained with PI/Annexin V and analyzed by flow cytometry (n=3). (G) U87 and LN229 cells were transfected with CPT1A-4 siRNA, treated with 0.2 µM panobinostat for 48h, stained with PI/Annexin V and analyzed by flow cytometry (n=3). (H) U87 GBM cells were transfected with CPT1A siRNA, treated with 0.2 µM panobinostat for 48h, stained with TMRE and analyzed by flow cytometry (n=3). (I) Protein capillary electrophoresis of proteins from U87 and LN229 cells transfected CPT1A siRNA and treated with 0.2 µM panobinostat for 48h. (J) Protein capillary electrophoresis from U87 and LN229 cells transfected with CPT1A-4 siRNA. Shown are means and SD. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Student's t-test (G) and by one-way ANOVA (**A-C**, **F** and **H**). *P<0.05; **P < 0.01; ***/****P < 0.001.



С

Day after tumor implantation

В

F



Vehicle

Pb Etomoxir Combination





Vehicle Etomoxir

Pb Combination



U87-EGFRvIII

Vehicle

--- Etomoxir

Vehicle Etomoxir Pb Combination **Figure S13:** The combination treatment of etomoxir and panobinostat shows no detectable toxicity by body weight measurements. (**A**) Body weight curves from the indicated experiments in Figure 7A (n=2-3). (**B**) Photos of representative tumors at the final time point of each models in Figure 7A. (**C**) Body weight curves from the indicated experiments in Figure 7C (n=3). (**D**) Photos of representative tumors at the final time point of each models in Figure 7C. (**E**) Body weight curves from the indicated experiments in Figure 7C. (**E**) Body weight curves from the indicated experiments in Figure 7E (n=3). (**F**) Photos of representative tumors at the final time point of each models in Figure 5C. (**E**) Body weight curves from the indicated experiments in Figure 7E. (**G**) Body weight curves from the indicated experiments in Figure 7G. (**n**=3). (**H**) Photos of representative tumors at the final time point of each models in Figure 5C. (**B**) Body weight curves at the final time point of each models in Figure 7G. (**B**) Body weight curves from the indicated experiments in Figure 7E. (**G**) Body weight curves from the indicated experiments in Figure 7G. (**B**) Photos of representative tumors at the final time point of each models in Figure 5C. (**B**) Body weight curves from the indicated experiments in Figure 7E. (**G**) Body weight curves from the indicated experiments in Figure 7G. (**B**) Photos of representative tumors at the final time point of each models in Figure 7G. Shown are means and SD.

Supplementary Table 1

Primer sequences for real time PCR and chromatin immunoprecipitation qPCR

qPCR primer hGLUT1_Fw:	TTGCAGGCTTCTCCAACTGGAC
qPCR primer hGLUT1 Rv:	CAGAACCAGGAGCACAGTGAAG
gPCR primer hHK2 Fw:	CGGCCGTGCTACAATAGG
gPCR primer hHK2 Rv:	CTCGGGATCATGTGAGGG
gPCR primer hPFKL Fw:	AAGAAGTAGGCTGGCACGACGT
gPCR primer: hPFKL Rv:	GCGGATGTTCTCCACAATGGAC
gPCR primer: hGAPDH Fw:	GTCTCCTCTGACTTCAACAGCG
qPCR primer: hGAPDH Rv:	ACCACCCTGTTGCTGTAGCCAA
qPCR primer: hENO1 Fw:	AGTCAACCAGATTGGCTCCGTG
qPCR primer: hENO1 Rv:	CACAACCAGGTCAGCGATGAAG
qPCR primer: hLDHA Fw:	GGATCTCCAACATGGCAGCCTT
qPCR primer: hLDHA Rv:	AGACGGCTTTCTCCCTCTTGCT
qPCR primer: hMyc Fw:	CCTGGTGCTCCATGAGGAGAC
qPCR primer: hMyc Rv:	CAGACTCTGACCTTTTGCCAGG
qPCR primer: hPPARGC1A Fw:	CCAAAGGATGCGCTCTCGTTCA
qPCR primer: hPPARGC1A Rv:	CGGTGTCTGTAGTGGCTTGACT
qPCR primer: hmtDNA Fw:	CGAAAGGACAAGAGAAATAAGG
qPCR primer: hmtDNA Rv:	CTGTAAAGTTTTAAGTTTTATGCG
qPCR primer: hCPT1A Fw:	GATCCTGGACAATACCTCGGAG
qPCR primer: hCPT1A Rv:	CTCCACAGCATCAAGAGACTGC
qPCR primer: hCPT2 Fw:	GCAGATGATGGTTGAGTGCTCC
qPCR primer: hCPT2 Rv:	AGATGCCGCAGAGCAAACAAGTG
qPCR primer: hACADSB Fw:	GCCACCTATTTGCCTCAGCTCA
qPCR primer: hACADSB Rv:	GCTCAGCACTGCTGATCCACAT
qPCR primer: hACADVL Fw:	TAGGAGAGGCAGGCAAACAGCT
qPCR primer: hACADVL Rv:	CACAGTGGCAAACTGCTCCAGA
qPCR primer: hETFDH Fw:	GGAAACACCATCCTAGCATTCGG
qPCR primer: hETFDH Rv:	CCACCAGGAAAGGTGAGTTTTGG
qPCR primer: hHADHB Fw:	CACAGTCTAGCCAAGAAGGCAC
qPCR primer: hHADHB Rv:	CATCTGCTCCAGTGAGGAAGGA
qPCR primer: hPPARD Fw:	GGCTTCCACTACGGTGTTCATG
qPCR primer: hPPARD Rv:	CTGGCACTTGTTGCGGTTCTTC
qPCR primer: hSOD2 Fw:	TAGGGCTGAGGTTTGTCCAG
qPCR primer: hSOD2 Rv:	GGAGAAGTACCAGGAGGCGT
qPCR primer: hTXNIP Fw:	CAGCAGTGCAAACAGACTTCGG
qPCR primer: hTXNIP Rv:	CTGAGGAAGCTCAAAGCCGAAC
qPCR primer: hDRP1 Fw:	GATGCCATAGTTGAAGTGGTGAC
qPCR primer: hDRP1 Rv:	CCACAAGCATCAGCAAAGTCTGG
qPCR primer: hFIS1 Fw:	CAAGGAACTGGAGCGGCTCATT
qPCR primer: hFIS1 Rv:	GGACACAGCAAGTCCGATGAGT
ChIP qPCR primer: PGC1A Fw:	CTGTGGCATTCAAAGCTGG
ChIP qPCR primer: PGC1A Rv:	CTTGCTGCTCTAACTCGTGAC

ChIP qPCR primer: HK2 exon 1 Fw:	GATTGCCTCGCATCTGC
ChIP qPCR primer: HK2 exon 1 Rv:	TTTGCCAGAGCCCAGC
ChIP qPCR primer: HK2 promoter region Fw:	CACATTGTTGCATGAAACTCC
ChIP qPCR primer: HK2 promoter region Rv:	GACCTCTCCGATTCACAGG
ChIP qPCR primer: PGC1A promoter Fw:	CTGGGTGTGCGTCTGTTTG
ChIP qPCR primer: PGC1A promoter Rv:	CGGCGTGGTCTGATTTAGTG
ChIP qPCR primer: PPARD_F2 Fw:	GCTACGTCCGCATCCCGCACC
ChIP qPCR primer: PPARD_R2 Rv:	CGAGACGTCACCGCGCTGCTC
ChIP qPCR primer: ENO1 Fw:	CGCACGTGCTGGGCCCCCG
ChIP qPCR primer: ENO1 Rv:	GGCCTGAGTGGCCACCGCCG
ChIP qPCR primer: LDHA Fw:	CTGACTCAGGCTCATGGCTCC
ChIP qPCR primer: LDHA Rv:	CGGCGGACGTGCGGGAACC

Supplementary Materials and Methods

CHIP-sequencing data analysis

Sequencing data was mapped to the human genome (hg38), using bowtie. Mapped sequenced data was subjected to peak calling, utilizing MACS2. The experiment is deposited (GSE124877 and GSE144399). Super-enhancers were quantified and called through utilization of a slightly modified strategy initially proposed by Whyte et al. 2013. Primer sequences are in the Table 1 (Supplementary Figure S1).

For peak calling, raw sequencing reads were aligned to the human genome HG38 using BWA MEM. PCR duplicates were removed using Picard MarkDuplicates (Picard Tools 1.90). Peaks were called against inputs using Macs2 (1). Normalized bedgraph tracks were generated using the SPMR flag and converted to bigWig using UCSC tool bedGraphToBigWig (2). Peaks with a score >5 were retained.

For peak differential statistics, bedtools merge was performed to quantitatively measure changes in epigenetic enrichment (3). Enrichment levels for each merged peak were quantified with featureCounts (4) as raw counts and normalized to counts per million (CPM) for comparison between samples. Differential expression statistics (fold-change and p-value) were computed using edgeR (5). P-values were adjusted for multiple testing using the false discovery rate (FDR) correction of Benjamini and Hochberg.

To identify super enhancers, Bedtools merge was performed to combine overlapping peaks within 12.5Kb distance, peak re-enrichment, normalization and subtracted input (control) peak signals. Merged peaks (super-enhancers) were then annotated, sorted and plotted for peak signals versus rank ordering. The normalized enrichment scores were obtained for 5 kb upstream or downstream of the peaks of super-enhancers, and heatmaps were generated using the normalized enrichment scores.

References:

1. Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, et al. Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol. 2008;9(9):R137.

2. Kent WJ, Zweig AS, Barber G, Hinrichs AS, and Karolchik D. BigWig and BigBed: enabling browsing of large distributed datasets. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(17):2204-7.

3. Quinlan AR, and Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(6):841-2.

4. Liao Y, Smyth GK, and Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(7):923-30.

5. McCarthy DJ, Chen Y, and Smyth GK. Differential expression analysis of multifactor RNA-Seq experiments with respect to biological variation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40(10):4288-97.