

## Correction

*J Clin Invest.* 1998;101(2):513-513. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI119652C1>.

Correction

**Find the latest version:**

<https://jci.me/119652C1/pdf>



*Correction*

---

Bermas, B.L., and J.A. Hill.

*The Journal of Clinical Investigation*. Volume 100, No. 6, September 1997.

Pages 1330–1334.

The authors would like to correct the following errors in statistical values.

In the abstract, instead of  $P = \text{NS}$  in line 27, it should read  $P = 0.032$ .

On page 1332 in the first full paragraph of the left column, the sentence beginning “However, during pregnancy...” should now read:

However, during pregnancy, these responses diverged such that 73% of pregnant controls lost responsiveness to recall antigens during gestation ( $P = 0.007$ , pregnant vs. nonpregnant fertile controls), while only 41% of pregnant women with a history of RSA lost responsiveness to recall antigens ( $P = 0.032$ , pregnant vs. nonpregnant RSA subjects).