JCI The Journal of Clinical Investigation

Electrolyte and Water Excretion in Arterial Hypertension. I. Studies in Non-Medically Treated Subjects with Essential Hypertension

William Hollander, Walter E. Judson

J Clin Invest. 1957;36(10):1460-1469. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI103543.

Research Article



Find the latest version:

https://jci.me/103543/pdf

ELECTROLYTE AND WATER EXCRETION IN ARTERIAL HYPERTENSION. I. STUDIES IN NON-MEDICALLY TREATED SUBJECTS WITH ESSENTIAL HYPERTENSION¹

BY WILLIAM HOLLANDER ² AND WALTER E. JUDSON ³

(From the Robert Dawson Evans Memorial, Department of Clinical Research and Preventive Medicine, Massachusetts Memorial Hospitals, and the Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Mass.)

(Submitted for publication April 3, 1957; accepted June 21, 1957)

In a preliminary investigation it was reported that abnormally high rates of sodium excretion followed the infusion of hypertonic saline in untreated patients with essential hypertension (1). Furthermore, it was noted that several oral drugs commonly employed in the treatment of hypertension usually modified this pattern of renal sodium excretion. The present report describes further work on sodium excretion in hypertensive patients and in general agrees with previous reports of other authors (2, 3). In addition, it describes studies made in an attempt to clarify the disturbance of sodium excretion in hypertension and its relationship to renal function, renal hemodynamics, salt intake, and splanchnic sympathetic activity.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The eight normotensive subjects in Group I had no history of hypertension and were considered normal with the exception of D. R. who had bronchial asthma in remission at the time of the study, and M. G. who had asymptomatic chronic pyelonephritis.

The nine subjects in Group II were known to have essential hypertension for at least five years. They were all compensated and had no history of congestive heart failure. However, five subjects in Group II had hypertensive heart disease, with an enlarged left ventricle as indicated by the electrocardiogram and chest roentgenogram.

The eight subjects in Group III, who also had essential hypertension without cardiac decompensation, had been surgically treated more than two years before the study with a bilateral thoracolumbar sympathectomy. Patient T. S., in addition, had had a total bilateral adrenalectomy for malignant hypertension. Four patients of the group had definite hypertensive heart disease.

¹ This investigation was supported in part by a grant from the National Heart Institute of the National Institutes of Health, United States Public Health Service.

² Special Research Fellow of the National Heart Institute, United States Public Health Service.

⁸ Present address: Indiana University Medical Center, Indianapolis, Indiana. Dietary intake of salt was not restricted. All hypertensive patients had been without antihypertensive drug treatment for at least three months prior to the study. The average surface areas of patients in the three groups were comparable.

All subjects were studied without sedative medication in the morning, in the post-absorptive state while lying supine. Throughout the study the subjects drank 100 ml. of water every half-hour. Urine was collected through an indwelling bladder (Foley) catheter. At the end of each collection the bladder was washed out with 20 ml. of distilled water and was then completely evacuated with After standard priming doses, a sustaining infusion air. containing inulin (3.3 per cent) and para-aminohippurate (1 per cent) in 3.5 per cent glucose in water was started and given at the rate of 1.2 ml. per minute by means of calibrated drip. Every 20 minutes arterial blood samples were obtained for the determination of inulin, para-aminohippuric acid, sodium, potassium and hematocrit. Following the control period which consisted of three to four urine collections, each one being for 10 to 15 minutes, 300 ml. of 5 per cent sodium chloride was administered intravenously at the rate of 10 to 12 ml. per minute. At the end of the infusion a urine collection designated as "5 per cent NaCl" was made. Thereafter, at least three urine collections were obtained in the "recovery" period at intervals of 15 minutes. In a few subjects following the "recovery" period, Pitressin[®] was injected intravenously in a single dose of 40 to 100 milliunits. After the injection three more urine collections were made in the "Pitressin@" period at intervals of 15 minutes.

Blood and urine concentrations of para-aminohippuric acid were measured by the method of Goldring and Chasis (4), and inulin was determined by the method of Roe, Epstein, and Goldstein (5). Serum and urinary sodium and potassium were determined by the internal standard flame photometer (lithium standard). The hematocrit was determined in Wintrobe tubes. Measurements of brachial arterial pressure were obtained with an electromanometer (Sanborn) and recorded by direct-writing oscillograph.

RESULTS

The renal responses to intravenous 5 per cent sodium chloride in the normotensive and hypertensive groups are summarized in Table I. The statistical analysis of the responses are given in Table II.

PAH clearance (renal plasma flow)

The control renal plasma flows in the hypertensive groups were not significantly different from those in the normotensive group. All three groups had significant increases in renal plasma flow during the infusion of 5 per cent sodium chloride. In only the non-splanchnicectomized hypertensive group were the increases significantly prolonged into the recovery period. Otherwise the changes in renal plasma flow during and following the saline infusion were not significantly different in the three groups.

Inulin clearance (glomerular filtration rate)

The glomerular filtration rates at rest did not differ significantly between the hypertensive and normotensive groups. During and following the saline infusion, the splanchnicectomized hypertensive group like the normotensive group had no significant increases in glomerular filtration rate although the *untreated* hypertensive group had small but significant increases in this function. Otherwise, the changes in glomerular filtration rate caused by the hypertonic saline were not significantly different in the groups.



FIG. 1. RENAL RESPONSES TO INTRAVENOUS HYPERTONIC SALINE IN A NORMOTENSIVE INDIVIDUAL



FIG. 2. RENAL RESPONSES TO INTRAVENOUS HYPER-TONIC SALINE IN A SUBJECT WITH ESSENTIAL HYPER-TENSION

Sodium excretion

The control sodium excretion in the untreated hypertensive group but not in the splanchnicectomized hypertensive group was significantly higher than in the normotensive group. Although all three groups showed significant increases in sodium excretion during and following the infusion of 5 per cent sodium chloride, the increases were significantly greater in the hypertensive groups than in the normotensive group (Figures 1, 2 and 3). Included in the splanchnicectomized hypertensive group was an adrenalectomized subject who also had an abnormally high rate of sodium excretion after the saline infusion (Figure 4). The mean increase in sodium excretion in the splanchnicectomized hypertensive group was not as great as in the non-splanchnicectomized hypertensive group.

Ratio of excreted sodium to filtered sodium (E/F sodium)

The calculated ratio of excreted sodium to filtered sodium (E/F sodium) both before and after

TABLE	I
-------	---

Pat	ient								Ε	E	Hema-
Age	Sex	Diagnosis‡	Procedure	Сран	CIN	UV	UNaV	UĸV	F Na*	F K†	(arterial)
				ml./min./	ml./min./		MicroEq./	MicroEq./	07	07.	07.
	-		0.1	1.75 64	1.75 81	<i>m</i> ., <i>m</i> .,	7715R.	<i>msn</i> .	70	70 0 E C	/0
V.	В.	37 1	Control	447	90	2.9	155	90	1.2	25.0	42.0
31	E F	Normal	5% NaCI	519	97	2.7	200	88	1.0	22.0	20 4
			Recovery	512	90	1.5	300	81	2.1	23.1	30.4
J.	М.		Control		106	3.4	84	233	0.5		42.5
27	F	Normal	5% NaCl		100	2.0	184	158	1.2		37.8
			Recovery		106	1.5	243	223	1.5		38.2
р	R	and a start of	Control	347	96	6.9	188	58	1.4	13.4	54.9
52	м	Bronchial	5% NaCl	407	123	7.6	391	78	2.2	14.1	50.1
-		asthma	Recovery	367	126	1.7	328	41	1.8	7.2	51.9
117	D		Control	409	08	2.0	213	65	16	150	422
20	. r. F	Normal	507 NoCi	490 561	100	2.0	526	54	34	13.5	44.4
52	F	NOTILIAL	Recovery	504	109	4.1	599	40	4.4	10.0	38.4
			- · ·		400	0.5	00		0.7	0.1	26.2
w.	м	N7 1	Control	481	102	2.5	98	33	0.7	8.1	30.3
44	F	Normal	5% NaCi	3/3	130	4.2	244	43	1.5	0.3	32.3
			Recovery	398	112	2.5	423	40		10.5	33.9
J.	K.		Control	505	98	6.3	60	84	0.5	24.6	46.0
47	М	Normal	5% NaCl	579	99	7.9	218	94	1.5	26.4	41.5
			Recovery	451	94	1.1	376	61	1.1	21.3	41.7
М.	. G.	Chronic	Control	182	54	2.6	81	43	1.6	18.1	48.4
62	F	pyelo-	5% NaCl	211	57	3.3	319	60	3.9	23.9	43.0
		nephritis	Recovery	234	56	1.8	306	59	3.8	24.0	44.4
C	T		Control	632	101	11.3	101	90	0.7	22.6	42.7
38	· J. F	Normal	5% NaCl	598	91	12.5	168	52	••••		
	-		Recovery	623	102	1.3	275	41	1.1	10.0	40.6
		Renal excretion	m of hypertonic	saline in i	ndividuals	with esse	ntial hyper	tension (G	roup II))	
ĸ.	. В.		Control	565	126	6.3	617	145	3.5	23.0	46.5
35	M	Ess. hyp.	5% NaCl	744	130	12.8	2,290	137	12.1	20.3	42.6
			Recovery	789	13 5	7.7	1,561	152	7.9	21.6	43.2
- p	D		Control	351	73	2.6	152	87	1.4	30.0	44.3
4 4	. D. м	Ess hvn	5% NaCl	484	00	11.4	1.258	137	8.7	38.5	40.2
77		H.C.V.D.	Recovery	462	79	5.0	1,014	114	8.8	36.1	39.9
~	C		Control	200	01 [•]	19.4	601	44 47	The second se	22.0	40.2
2 D.	. U. _E	En har	507 NoCl	309	80	10.5	706	68	3.3	23.0	40.3 34 0
30	r	LSS. nyp.	5% IvaCl	255	85	50	1 1 3 1	76	0.2	21.5	37 4
		n.c.v.D.	iterovery	555	05	3.7	1,101	10	2.0	22.0	07.4
D	.F		Control	390	91	44	723	~ 74 .		21.4	39.6
45	F	Ess. hyp.	5% NaCl	530	108	8.0	1,202	. 91	7.2	22.2	35.5
			Recovery	408	90	7.7	1,001	91	11.5	24.9	57.5

* . (*)

 ${}^{*}\frac{E}{F}Na = \frac{\text{sodium excreted}}{\text{sodium filtered}}$.

 $\label{eq:expectation} \begin{tabular}{c} \begin{tabular}{c} \frac{1}{F} \end{tabular} K \end{tabular} = \begin{tabular}{c} \frac{1}{P} \end{tabular} K \end{tabular$

‡ Ess. hyp. = Essential hypertension.

H.C.V.D. = Hypertensive cardiovascular disease.

§ Previously adrenalectomized.

Pat	tient		<u></u>						 F	17	Hema-
Age	Sex	Diagnosis‡	Procedure	Сран	CIN	UV	$\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{N}\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{V}$	UĸV	$\frac{E}{F}$ Na*	F K†	tocrit (arterial)
				ml./min./ 1.73 M ²	ml./min./ 1.73 M ²	ml./min.	MicroEq./ min.	MicroEq./ min.	%	%	%
I. 42	Р. М	Ess. hyp. H.C.V.D.	Control 5% NaCl Recovery	467 659 624	131 142 133	4.8 13.0 12.2	765 2,387 2,364	104 211 163	4.5 11.3 12.1	22.6 42.4 35.8	43.3 39.8 41.0
48 C.	. C. F	Ess. hyp. H.C.V.D.	Control 5% NaCl Recovery	458 643 625	106 128 132	8.0 8.2 9.3	250 1,340 1,726	78 143 128	1.6 7.0 8.7	17.4 28.5 22.4	38.3 3 8 .0
50 R.	. O. M	Ess. hyp. H.C.V.D.	Control 5% NaCl Recovery	322 338 320	79 79 85	9.1 8.0 4.1	141 975 802	121 139 80	1.2 8.0 6.5	40.3 51.7 26.1	40.1 37.8
W. 40	. М. М	Ess. hyp.	Control 5% NaCl Recovery Pitressin® 40 milliunits	443 518 464 494	127 148 131 131	8.4 9.6 8.6 7.4	216 686 1,276 1,032	153 229 214 299	1.2 3.1 6.8 5.4	31.6 38.7 50.7	46.1 44.3 44.7
50 J.	W. M	Ess. hyp. H.C.V.D.	Control 5% NaCl Recovery Pitressin® 80 milliunits		60 78 60 68	3.9 14.5 7.3 10.9	522 1,682 1,467 1,711	72 106 74 98	6.2 14.5 16.5 17.2	30.0 35.9 30.9 28.7	39.5 37.4 38.4
	Renal ex	cretion of hypert	onic saline in spl	anchniced	tomized in	ıdividuals	s with essen	tial hypert	ension (Group I.	II)
37 ^{V.}	V. F	Ess. hyp. H.C.V.D.	Control 5% NaCl Recovery	537 756 760	118 138 129	1.9 6.7 5.1	176 1,013 1,092	57 85 70	1.5 4.9 5.7	12.1 15.4 13.6	39.4 36.8 37.7
49 ^{I.}	R. M	Ess. hyp. H.C.V.D.	Control 5% NaCl Recovery	402 457 451	103 120 118	1.5 5.6 5.3	137 754 867	119 182 147	0.9 4.2 5.1	23.1 30.3 25.0	44.3 41.6 41.7
A. 36	.G. F	Ess. hyp.	Control 5% NaCl Recovery	527 567 471	100 106 89	7.8 17.0 6.7	599 1,530 1,270	50 60 52	4.4 10.0 10.0	12.5 13.8 13.9	36.5 34.6
49 T.	. S.§ M	Ess. hyp. H.C.V.D.	Control 5% NaCl Recovery	108 160 110	28 40 33	2.5 7.2 6.1	292 948 867	37 74 64	7.3 16.5 18.7	25.5 43.0 42.1	49.0 46.2 46.8
60 A.	. L. M	Ess. hyp. H.C.V.D.	Control 5% NaCl Recovery Pitressin® 40 milliunits	425 381 438 450	119 103 115 119	12.7 14.3 8.8 7.4	1,164 1,758 1,614 1,402	82 87 79 98	6.9 11.4 10.0 2.6	18.7 26.4 20.3	44.9 41.8 43.4
49 ^{N.}	K. F	Ess. hyp. H.C.V.D.	Control 5% NaCl Recovery Pitressin® 100 milliunits	374 550 508 537	72 83 87 97	2.4 6.0 7.8 8.0	262 746 1,051 1,182	81 127 125 130	2.5 5.9 8.1 8.1	28.8 40.3 36.8 31.3	52.7 49.0 49.1
40 R.	. Т М	Ess. hyp.	Control 5% NaCl Recovery	332 441 455	84 97 97	1.5 4.8 6.2	209 871 1,205	87 114 107	1.8 6.2 8.5	20.7 23.5 22.6	42.1 38.2 41.0
58 N	. I. М	Ess. hyp.	Control 5% NaCl Recovery	322 395 407	83 78 96	5.2 5.1 5.9	39 848 913	32 74 77	0.3 7.5 6.6	8.8 21.1 17.9	47.5 44.0 44.8

TABLE I—Continued

		PA ml./:	H clearance min./1.73M. ¹				
	Control	5% NaCl	5% NaCl – Control	p	Recovery	Recovery – Control	p
Normotensive group Hypertensive group Mean difference*	$\begin{array}{r} 442 \pm 54 \\ 413 \pm 31 \\ -29 \pm 62 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 493 \pm 53 \\ 527 \pm 54 \\ +34 \pm 76 \\ 0.7 \end{array}$	$+51 \pm 16$ +114 ± 27 +63 ± 32 0.07	0.02 <0.01	$\begin{array}{r} 478 \pm 53 \\ 513 \pm 55 \\ +35 \pm 76 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$	$+37 \pm 25$ +100 ± 28 +63 ± 37 0.1	0.2 <0.01
hypertensive group Mean difference* P	$378 \pm 48 \\ -63 \pm 72 \\ 0.4$	$463 \pm 61 \\ -29 \pm 81 \\ 0.7$	$+85 \pm 29 \\ +34 \pm 33 \\ 0.3$	0.02	$450 \pm 62 \\ -28 \pm 82 \\ 0.7$	$+72 \pm 31 +35 \pm 40 \\ 0.4$	0.06
		Inu ml./i	lin clearance min./1.73M. ³				
Normotensive group Hypertensive group Mean difference*	93 ± 6 97 \pm 9 +4 \pm 10 0.7	$101 \pm 8 \\ 110 \pm 9 \\ +9 \pm 12 \\ 0.4$	$+8 \pm 5$ +13 ± 3 +5 ± 6 0.3	0.2 <0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 98 \pm 7 \\ 104 \pm 10 \\ +6 \pm 12 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} +5 \pm 4 \\ +7 \pm 2 \\ +2 \pm 4 \\ 0.7 \end{array} $	0.2 0.03
hypertensive group Mean difference*	$88 \pm 10 \\ -5 \pm 12 \\ 0.7$	$95 \pm 10 \\ -5 \pm 13 \\ 0.7$	$+7 \pm 4$ 0 ± 6 >0.9	0.1	$95 \pm 10 \\ -3 \pm 13 \\ 0.8$	$+7 \pm 3$ +2 \pm 5 0.7	0.08
		Mi	UnsV croEq./min.				
Normotensive group Hypertensive group Mean difference*	$123 \pm 20 \\ 443 \pm 84 \\ +320 \pm 86 \\ <0.01$	$\begin{array}{c} 289 \pm 42 \\ 1391 \pm 207 \\ +1103 \pm 211 \\ <0.01 \end{array}$	$+166 \pm 29$ +948 ± 176 +782 ± 179 <0.01	<0.01 <0.01	$\begin{array}{r} 365 \pm 39 \\ 1444 \pm 154 \\ +1080 \pm 159 \\ <0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} +242 \pm 32 \\ +1001 \pm 115 \\ +759 \pm 119 \\ <0.01 \end{array}$	<0.01 <0.01
hypertensive group Mean difference* P	$360 \pm 129 \\ +237 \pm 130 \\ 0.09$	1058 ± 133 +770 ± 140 0.01	$+699 \pm 52 +532 \pm 60 \\ 0.01$	0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 1110 \pm 89 \\ +745 \pm 98 \\ 0.01 \end{array}$	$+750 \pm 64 +508 \pm 72 0.01$	<0.01
		Mi	UEV croEq./min.				
Normotensive group Hypertensive group Mean difference*	87 ± 22 101 ± 10 +14 ± 24 0.6	$78 \pm 13 \\ 140 \pm 17 \\ +62 \pm 22 \\ 0.01$	-9 ± 11 +39 ± 13 +48 ± 17 0.02	0.5 0.02	$\begin{array}{c} 74 \pm 22 \\ 121 \pm 16 \\ +47 \pm 27 \\ 0.1 \end{array}$	-13 ± 7 +20 ± 11 +33 ± 13 0.03	0.1 0.1
hypertensive group Mean difference* p	$68 \pm 10 \\ -19 \pm 24 \\ 0.4$	$100 \pm 14 \\ +22 \pm 19 \\ 0.3$	$+32 \pm 7$ +41 ± 13 <0.01	<0.01	$90 \pm 12 + 16 \pm 25 = 0.5$	$+22 \pm 6$ +35 ± 10 0.01	<0.01
			UV ml./min.				
Normotensive group Hypertensive group Mean difference*	$\begin{array}{c} 4.7 \pm 1.1 \\ 6.7 \pm 1.0 \\ +2.0 \pm 1.5 \\ 0.2 \end{array}$	$5.4 \pm 1.3 \\ 10.7 \pm 0.8 \\ +5.3 \pm 1.5 \\ <0.01$	$+0.6 \pm 0.4$ +4.0 ± 1.6 +3.4 ± 1.6 0.05	0.1 0.03	$\begin{array}{c} 1.9 \pm 0.3 \\ 7.5 \pm 0.8 \\ +5.6 \pm 0.9 \\ < 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -2.8 \pm 1.3 \\ +0.8 \pm 1.4 \\ +3.6 \pm 2.0 \\ 0.08 \end{array}$	0.08 0.6
hypertensive group Mean difference* p	$\begin{array}{c} 4.4 \pm 1.4 \\ -0.3 \pm 1.8 \\ 0.9 \end{array}$	$8.3 \pm 1.6 + 2.9 \pm 2.1 \\ 0.2$	$+3.9 \pm 1.0$ +3.3 ± 1.0 <0.01	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 6.5 \pm 0.4 \\ +4.6 \pm 0.6 \\ < 0.01 \end{array}$	$+2.0 \pm 1.1$ +4.9 ± 1.8 0.02	0.1
		Mean a	rterial pressure mm. Hg				
Normotensive group Hypertensive group Mean difference*	$\begin{array}{c} 87 \pm 2 \\ 144 \pm 5 \\ +57 \pm 6 \\ < 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 89 \pm 3 \\ 144 \pm 5 \\ +55 \pm 6 \\ < 0.01 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} +2 \pm 3 \\ 0 \pm 2 \\ -2 \pm 4 \\ 0.6 \end{array} $	0.6 >0.9	$\begin{array}{c} 89 \pm 2 \\ 144 \pm 5 \\ +55 \pm 5 \\ <0.01 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} +2 \pm 2 \\ 0 \pm 1 \\ -2 \pm 2 \\ 0.5 \end{array} $	0.4 >0.9
hypertensive group Mean difference*	$152 \pm 11 \\ +66 \pm 11 \\ <0.01$	$\begin{array}{c} 156 \pm 12 \\ +67 \pm 12 \\ <0.01 \end{array}$	$+3 \pm 3$ +1 \pm 4 0.8	0.3	$151 \pm 11 \\ +62 \pm 11 \\ <0.01$	$-1 \pm 2 \\ -3 \pm 3 \\ 0.3$	0.6

 TABLE II

 Mean data and statistical analysis

* Refers to the difference between the normotensive and the designated hypertensive group.



FIG. 3. RENAL RESPONSES TO INTRAVENOUS HYPER-TONIC SALINE IN SPLANCHNICECTOMIZED HYPERTENSIVE SUBJECT

the infusion of saline was significantly higher in the hypertensive groups than in the normotensive group. The increases in this ratio after the infusion, which were significant in all groups, were likewise significantly greater in the hypertensive groups than in the normotensive group.

Correlations of sodium excretion

In analyzing the groups all together (Table III), sodium excretion before and after the saline infusion was significantly correlated (statistically) with the control arterial pressure. Increases in sodium excretion following intravenous 5 per cent sodium chloride were likewise significantly correlated with changes in renal plasma flow but not with changes in glomerular filtration rate, however. They were not significantly correlated with the control renal plasma flow or with the control glomerular filtration rate.

In analyzing the small groups separately, the control sodium excretion did not show a significant statistical correlation with the control arterial pressure. Increases in sodium excretion produced by the saline infusion also were not correlated with the control arterial pressure, renal plasma flow and glomerular filtration rate, or with the changes in these functions which occurred after the infusion of saline.

Potassium excretion

The control excretion of potassium in the hypertensive groups was not significantly different from that in the normotensive group. During and following the infusion of 5 per cent saline, the average potassium excretion in the normotensive group decreased slightly but insignificantly whereas in both hypertensive groups it increased slightly. The latter increases were statistically significant except for those in the untreated hypertensive group during the saline infusion.

Ratio of excreted potassium to filtered potassium (E/F potassium)

The calculated ratio of excreted potassium to filtered potassium (E/F potassium) during the control period was significantly higher in the untreated but not in the splanchnicectomized hypertensive group than in the normotensive group. This ratio did not change in the normotensive



FIG. 4. RENAL RESPONSES TO INTRAVENOUS HYPER-TONIC SALINE IN A SPLANCH NICECTOMIZED AND ADRENAL-ECTOMIZED HYPERTENSIVE SUBJECT

The subject, who was maintained on 25 mg. of oral cortisone and 5 mg. of a DOCA linguet daily, had an abnormaly high rate of sodium excretion following the infusion of 5 per cent NaCl even though the renal plasma flow and glomerular filtration rate were markedly reduced.

TABLE III

Correlations of sodium excretion

ANa excretion* correlated with:	rt	p‡
Control Na excretion	0.301	0.2
Control mean B.P.	0.532	< 0.01
Control PAH clearance	0.165	0.5
Control inulin clearance	0.237	0.3
APAH clearance*	0.541	< 0.01
Δ Inulin clearance*	0.226	0.3
Control Na excretion correlated with control mean B.P.	0.436	0.03

* Changes in function following saline infusion.

 $\dagger r =$ Coefficient of correlation. $\ddagger p =$ Probability.

group during the infusion but it increased significantly in the hypertensive groups and was significantly higher in these groups than in the control group. In the recovery period the E/F potassium continued to be significantly higher in the non-splanchnicectomized hypertensive group than in the normotensive group.

Urine flow

The control urine flow was not significantly different in the groups. During the infusion of saline there was no significant change in urine flow in the normotensive group whereas in the hypertensive groups there was a significant increase in urine excretion. In the recovery period the mean urine flow in the normotensive group decreased but this decrease just lacked statistical significance at the 5 per cent level. In both hypertensive groups, however, the urine flow in the recovery period did not change significantly from the control urine flow and was significantly higher than that in the control group. Pitressin[®] in a single intravenous dose of 40 to 100 milliunits in four hypertensive subjects (W. M., J. W., A. L., and N. K.) did not decrease urine flow in the recovery period suggesting that the sustained flow was due to an osmotic diuresis caused by an increased sodium excretion (Figure 3).

Arterial hematocrit

The arterial hematocrit at rest was not significantly different in the groups. After the infusion of hypertonic saline, it significantly decreased in all three groups. Although the decrease in hematocrit during the infusion was significantly greater in the normotensive than in the hypertensive group, the hematocrit was not significantly different in the groups during and following the infusion.

Arterial pressure

The mean arterial pressure before and after the saline infusion was significantly higher in the hypertensive groups than in the normotensive group. The changes in mean arterial pressure produced by the infusion were insignificant in all the groups.

Pulse rate

The average pulse rate at rest and after the saline infusion was not significantly different in the groups and did not change significantly.

Sodium intake and sodium excretion

In Table IV the effect of altering the sodium content of the diet on the excretion of sodium in response to intravenous 5 per cent sodium chloride is summarized.

During sodium restriction a slight and consistent reduction in weight but not in blood pressure occurred. Associated with the changes in diet and weight, the increases in sodium excretion following the infusion of 300 ml. of 5 per cent saline were reduced and were not as great as those found during an unrestricted salt diet. However, sodium excretion was not necessarily reduced to normal by salt restriction in the hypertensive subjects since it remained unequivocally high in at least one (A. L.) of the three subjects. Normotensive subject E. H., who was placed on an 18-gram salt diet, developed slight peripheral edema and a weight gain of six pounds. While on this diet, sodium excretion in response to intravenous hypertonic saline was greater than that observed during a 10-gram salt diet and approached the values found in hypertensive individuals. To determine whether acute increases in body fluid and sodium might augment the excretory response to hypertonic saline in normotensive subjects, isotonic saline was infused at the rate of 22 ml. per minute in patients H. D. and P. G. prior to the administration of 5 per cent sodium chloride. The prior expansion of the extracellular fluid volume

with 1,000 to 1,700 ml. of saline in both subjects, however, failed to augment sodium excretion in response to intravenous 5 per cent sodium chloride.

DISCUSSION

In both the normotensive and hypertensive groups the increases in sodium excretion produced

by the infusion of hypertonic saline were associated with increases in the calculated load of filtered sodium. Although the latter changes were not measureably different between the groups, the increases in sodium excretion were greater in both the splanchnicectomized and the non-splanchnicectomized hypertensive groups than in the normotensive group. The differences in sodium

Pat	ient	Daily NoCl			Blood			
Age	Sex	intake	Duration	Weight	pressure	Procedure	$\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{Na}}\mathbf{V}$	UV
	-	Gms.	days	pounds	mm. Hg		MicroEq./min.	ml./min.
, w.	Р.			4 6 9 9	400 /440	Control	871	3.7
05	м	15	14	162.0	180/110	5% NaCl (300 ml.) Recovery	1,127 2,048	6.8 10.7
						Control	81	2.0
		2	10	160.0	170/120	5% NaCl (300 ml.)	221	9.3
					•	Recovery	303	2.5
A.	L. ,			450.0	050 // 50	Control	1,064	6.5
45	M	15	14	158.2	250/150	5% NaCl (300 ml.)	1,640	11.2
						Recovery	1,740	11.5
		2	10	156.0	240/145	Control	40 525	1.8
		. 4	10	130.0	240/145	S% NaCI (S00 mi.) Recovery	525	4.2
	0						500	5.5
E0 ^{1.}	ι. Γ	10	10	140.0	100/110	Control	242	7.2
30	1.	10	10	140.0	190/110	Recovery	878	8.7 4.9
						Control	ine	
		2	10	130 0	100/110	5% NaCl (300 ml)	101	5.9
		· · ·	10	107.0	170/110	Recovery	343	2.7
E.	н.					Control	206	67
12	F	10	9	165.5	130/80	5% NaCl (300 ml.)	331	47
					•	Recovery	326	1.5
						Control	9	4.8
		1	7	162.5	130/76	5% NaCl (300 ml.)	24	2.7
						Recovery	15	0.6
		4.0	6	160 5	106 /74	Control	235	10.2
		19	0	108.5	120/74	5% NaCl (300 ml.)	569	9.4
	~					Recovery	939	3.1
<u>, н.</u>	D.	10	-	114 5	110 /70	Control	117	10.1
29 ···	r _.	10	3	114.5	110/70	5% NaCl (300 ml.)	207	1.4
		·				Recovery	442	2.0
		10	F	114.2	110/60	Control 0.0% No Cl (1.0001)	214	3.2
		10	3	114.2	110/00	5% NaCl (1,000 ml.)	152	4.5
						Recovery	481	2.9 1.9
P.	G.					Control	393	20
22	F	10	7	161.5	120/70	5% NaCl (300 ml.)	586	5.8
						Recovery	589	1.9
		4.0			440 /24	Control	106	4.7
	•	10	5	162.0	118/74	0.9% NaCl (1,700 ml.)	166	4.1
						5% Naci (SUU mi.)	54U 202	2.7
						Recovery	323	1.1

TABLE IV

excretion therefore appeared to be due to a difference in the renal tubular handling of sodium. Abnormally high rates of sodium excretion in hypertensive individuals have also been reported to occur during mannitol diuresis (6), suggesting that the hypertensive renal response is not a specific one to the elevated serum sodium produced by intravenous 5 per cent sodium chloride but is a non-specific response to an increase in the effective osmotic pressure of the serum.

The lack of a high degree of correlation between the level of the blood pressure and the renal excretory response to a 5 per cent sodium chloride suggests that in addition to the arterial pressure other factors operate to enhance sodium excretion in patients with essential hypertension. Green and Ellis have been able to relate the renal response of hypertensive individuals to an elevated control sodium excretion (7), but in the present study this relationship was not found to be consistent. Control sodium excretion was considerably higher in untreated hypertensive than in normotensive individuals, but in splanchnicectomized hypertensive subjects the control sodium excretion was not significantly different from that of normotensive subjects. Although these findings indicate that an elevated control sodium excretion is not necessary for large increases in sodium excretion to occur after intravenous 5 per cent sodium chloride, the greater increases of sodium excretion in the untreated hypertensive group than in the sympathectomized hypertensive group suggest that it is capable of augmenting the excretory response to hypertonic saline.

By altering the sodium content of the diet in a small number of hypertensive and normotensive individuals, similar directional changes in sodium excretion following the infusion of 5 per cent sodium chloride appeared to result. These observations might be interpreted to indicate that the excretion of sodium is a function of total body sodium. However, the failure to augment sodium excretion by the prior expansion of the extracellular fluid volume with isotonic saline does not support this hypothesis. Luetscher and Curtis' studies (8) suggest that the observed changes in sodium excretion might be the result of an alteration in adrenal cortical activity. Green and Ellis have presented evidence that significant differences in dietary salt intake may actually exist between normotensive and hypertensive individuals and may account for their different excretory responses to intravenous hypertonic saline (7). The findings in the present study, however, indicate that sodium excretion may be abnormal in hypertensive subjects even though their dietary salt intake is normal.

Primary as well as secondary changes in adrenal cortical activity may affect the renal responses to intravenous 5 per cent sodium chloride. Burnett has found that non-hypertensive patients with adrenal cortical insufficiency (Addison's disease) have an impaired excretory response to intravenous hypertonic saline which is partially corrected by steroid treatment (9). On the other hand, Birchall, Tuthill, Jacobs, Trautman, and Findley have observed that in adrenal cortical hyperfunction (Cushing's syndrome) sodium excretion is abnormally high following an infusion of hypertonic saline. By pre-treating a normotensive individual with cortisone, the same investigators were able to augment sodium excretion following intravenous 5 per cent sodium chloride (3). Although the level of adrenal cortical activity appears to be capable of affecting sodium excretion, the observations in an adrenalectomized hypertensive patient, T. S., who had an increased capacity to excrete sodium while on replacement steroid therapy, suggests that the hypertensive response to intravenous 5 per cent sodium chloride does not necessarily depend upon an increase in adrenal function.

SUM MARY

Both splanchnicectomized and non-splanchnicectomized hypertensive individuals have an increased capacity to excrete sodium as indicated by their excretion of sodium following the infusion of 300 ml. of 5 per cent sodium chloride. The capacity to excrete sodium was significantly correlated with the systemic arterial pressure but not with the control sodium excretion, renal plasma flow and glomerular filtration rate. However, the lack of a high degree of correlation between blood pressure and sodium excretion suggests that additional factors operate to enhance sodium excretion in individuals with essential hypertension. Some of the factors considered were dietary sodium intake, extracellular fluid volume, total body sodium and adrenal cortical function.

REFERENCES

- Hollander, W., and Judson, W. E., The excretion of salt in hypertensive individuals before and during chronic drug therapy. Clin. Research Proc., 1954, 2, 70.
- Green, D. M., Wedell, H. G., Wald, M. H., and Learned, B., The relation of water and sodium excretion to blood pressure in human subjects. Circulation, 1952, 6, 919.
- 3. Birchall, R., Tuthill, S. W., Jacobs, W. S., Trautman, W. J., Jr., and Findley, T., Renal excretion of water, sodium, and chloride. Comparison of responses of hypertensive patients with those of normal subjects, patients with specific adrenal or pituitary defects, and a normal subject primed with various hormones. Circulation, 1953, 7, 258.

- Goldring, W., and Chasis, H., Hypertension and Hypertensive Disease. New York, The Commonwealth Fund, 1944.
- Roe, J. H., Epstein, J. H., and Goldstein, N. P., A photometric method for the determination of inulin in plasma and urine. J. Biol. Chem., 1949, 178, 839.
- Brodsky, W. A., and Graubarth, H. N., Excretion of water and electrolytes in patients with essential hypertension. J. Lab. & Clin. Med., 1953, 41, 43.
- Green, D. M., and Ellis, E. J., Sodium output-blood pressure relationships and their modification by treatment. Circulation, 1954, 10, 536.
- Luetscher, J. A., Jr., and Curtis, R. H., Aldosterone: Observations on the regulation of sodium and potassium balance. Ann. Int. Med., 1955, 43, 658.
- Burnett, C. H., The actions of ACTH and cortisone on renal function in man. Josiah Macy, Jr. Foundation, 2nd Conference on renal function, 1950, p. 106.